

# Capital Improvement Grant Program

Grant Amount Eligibility Public  
Workshop

May 12, 2026

# Public Comments

Those wishing to make public comment that are participating remotely may do so by calling (775) 321-6111 and using meeting extension 513 606 392#, or by raising your hand through the TEAMS module so the moderator may call on you.

# Workshop Materials

Workshop materials are available on our website:  
<https://ndep.nv.gov/water/financing-infrastructure>

# Reason for changes to Grant Scales

The Board for Financing Water Projects requested that the grant scales be updated to remove complicated match formulas so applicants to the program could estimate the amount a project would qualify for during the application process.

The total points awarded in the grant scale will now equal the percentage of grant funds the applicant is eligible for.

# Purveyors of Water

## Scale to Determine Grant Amount

**Capital Improvements Grant  
Scale to Determine Grant Amount**

|                       |                          |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>Borrower</b>       | <b>OLD SCALE SYSTEM</b>  |
| <b>Date of Review</b> | <b>PURVEYOR OF WATER</b> |
| <b>Reviewer</b>       |                          |

|              |
|--------------|
| <b>Notes</b> |
|              |

**Scale to Determine Grant Amount (Capital Improvements Grant Program) for Purveyors of Water**

| <b>Project Need</b>  | <b>Notes</b> | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|--|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Acute health concern per current Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Loan (SRF) priority list. |              | 15                     |                         |
| Chronic health concern per current SRF priority list.  |              | 10                     |                         |
| System condition per current SRF priority list.  | 05-26 #100   | 5                      | 5                       |
| <b>Project Points</b>  |              |                        | <b>5</b>                |

| <b>Community Need for State Assistance</b>  |  |           | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|---|--|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| State Mean Household Income/Public Water Supply Mean Household Income, recent income survey or most recent US census: | Percentage is using MEAN as opposed to Median per regulations. |           |                        |                         |
| Community's Value   | State Mean   | Mean      | 0 to 75 Possible       |                         |
| 121%  | 102,911.00   | 85,000.00 |                        | 21                      |
| <b>Project Points</b>   |  |           |                        | <b>21</b>               |

| <b>Adopted Sufficient Residential Water Rate</b>                           |           |                   |          | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|--|-----------|-------------------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sufficient rate is below 1.5% of the communities Median Household Income   | 68,500.00 | 1,140.00          | 1.664%   | 0                      |                         |
| Sufficient rate is 1.5% to 2.0% of the communities Median Household Income | MHI       | Annual Water Rate | % of MHI | 15                     | 15                      |
| Sufficient rate is above 2.0% of the communities Median Household Income   |           |                   |          | 25                     |                         |
| <b>Project Points</b>  |           |                   |          |                        | <b>15</b>               |

| <b>Persons Regularly Served per Bureau of Safe Drinking Water Information System</b> |  |  |     | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|--|--|--|-----|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Fewer than 500   |  |  |     | 25                     |                         |
| 500 - 999  |  |  | 650 | 15                     |                         |
| 1000 - 1999  |  |  |     | 8                      |                         |
| 2000 - 3999  |  |  |     | 4                      |                         |
| 4000 - 5999  |  |  |     | 2                      |                         |
| 6000 and above   |  |  |     | 0                      |                         |
| <b>Project Points</b>  |  |  |     |                        | <b>0</b>                |

| <b>Other Factors</b>  |           |  |  | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|---|-----------|--|--|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Approved, up-to-date and compliant Operations and Maintenance Manuals, Cross-Connection Control Plans, and Emergency Response Plans | 6/30/2024 |  |  | 5                      | 5                       |
| Approved, up-to-date Water Conservation Plan  | 6/30/2024 |  |  | 3                      | 3                       |
| Approved, up-to-date source water protection program in place   | 6/30/2024 |  |  | 5                      | 5                       |
| Asset management plan in place and updated within the last five (5) years   | 6/30/2024 |  |  | 15                     | 15                      |
| Applicant has obtained alternate funding up to the maximum extent possible  | 6/30/2024 |  |  | 15                     | 15                      |
| <b>Project Points</b>   |           |  |  |                        | <b>43</b>               |

| <b>Deductions</b>   |  |  |  | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|---|--|--|--|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Applicant has not committed to adequately funding a capital replacement fund  |  |  |  | -30                    | 0                       |
| Second home/vacation homes account for >30% of connections  |  |  |  | -30                    | 0                       |
| Applicant did not demonstrate adequate project management or project oversight on prior grant that reduced project delays and cost over-runs. |  |  |  | -25                    | 0                       |
| Applicant failed to timely submit required financial reports, draw requests, and progress reports for prior grant project                     |  |  |  | -10                    | 0                       |
| Opportunity for local or alternate funding not utilized   |  |  |  | -30                    | 0                       |
| <b>Project Points</b>   |  |  |  |                        | <b>0</b>                |

Scale effective as of January 20, 2021

|   |                       |
|---|-----------------------|
| <b>Subtotal Project Points</b>                              | <b>84.07176471</b>    |
| <b>Maximum Points are 150 (if negative, no grant award)</b> | <b>84.07176471</b>    |
| <br>  |                       |
| Grant %   | <b>59%</b>            |
| Eligible project costs                                      | <b>\$1,000,000.00</b> |
| Grant amount = Eligible project costs x Grant Scale         | <b>\$590,000.00</b>   |
| Matching money required from other sources                  | <b>\$410,000.00</b>   |

**Capital Improvements Grant  
Scale to Determine Grant Amount**

|                     |                   |              |                 |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Borrower            | <b>NEW SCALE</b>  | Project Cost | \$ 1,000,000.00 |
| Date Form Submitted | PURVEYOR OF WATER |              |                 |
| Submitted By:       |                   |              |                 |

Scale to Determine Grant Amount (Capital Improvements Grant Program) for **Purveyors of Water**

| Project Need  | NEW POINTS | Project Rank on Priority List | POINTS    |
|---|------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| DW SRF Priority List Ranking - CLASS I Acute Issue            | 25         |                               |           |
| DW SRF Priority List Ranking - CLASS II Chronic Issue         | 22         |                               |           |
| DW SRF Priority List Ranking - CLASS III Rehabilitation Issue | 20         | 05-26 #100                    | 20        |
| <b>POINTS</b>   |            |                               | <b>20</b> |

| Community Need for State Assistance  | NEW POINTS    | State Mean | Calculations     |
|--|---------------|------------|------------------|
| State Mean Household Income/Public Water Supply <b>Mean</b> Household Income, recent income survey or most recent US census: | 0 - 35        | 102,911.00 | Mean Income      |
| State Mean Income/Entity Mean Income = % % is rounded, max 35 point  | Entity Points | 21         | \$ 85,000.00     |
| <b>Percentage Below Median Household Income</b>  |               | 121.07%    | <b>POINTS 21</b> |

| Adopted Sufficient Residential Water Rate   | NEW POINTS |               | Calculations    |
|---|------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Sufficient rate is above 2.0% of the communities <b>Median</b> Household Income   | 5          | Monthly Rate  | \$ 95.00        |
| Sufficient rate is 1.5% to 2.0% of the communities <b>Median</b> Household Income | 3          | Annual Rate   | \$ 1,140.00     |
| Sufficient rate is below 1.5% of the communities <b>Median</b> Household Income   | 0          | Median Income | \$ 68,500.00    |
| <b>Median Household Income to Rate Ratio</b>                                      |            | 1.66%         | <b>POINTS 3</b> |

| Persons Regularly Served per Bureau of Safe Drinking Water Information System | NEW POINTS |     |                 |
|---|------------|-----|-----------------|
| Fewer than 500  | 5          |     |                 |
| 500 - 999   | 4          | 650 | 4               |
| 1000 - 1999   | 3          |     |                 |
| 2000 - 3999   | 2          |     |                 |
| 4000 - 5999   | 1          |     |                 |
| 6000 and above  | 0          |     |                 |
| <b>Project Points</b>   |            |     | <b>POINTS 4</b> |

| Other Factors   | NEW POINTS | Last Updated |                  |
|---|------------|--------------|------------------|
| Approved, up-to-date and compliant Operations and Maintenance Manuals, Cross-Connection Control Plans, and Emergency Response Plans | 3          | 6/30/2024    | 3                |
| Approved, up-to-date Water Conservation Plan  | 2          | 6/30/2024    | 2                |
| Approved, up-to-date source water protection program in place   | 2          | 6/30/2024    | 2                |
| Asset management plan in place and updated within the last five (5) years   | 8          | 6/30/2024    | 8                |
| <b>Project Points</b>   |            |              | <b>POINTS 15</b> |

| Deductions  | NEW POINTS |  |                 |
|---|------------|--|-----------------|
| Applicant has not committed to adequately funding a capital replacement fund  | -10        |  | 0               |
| Second home/vacation homes account for >30% of connections  | -20        |  | 0               |
| Prior SRF projects did not demonstrate adequate project management and/or did not timely submit financial reports, draw request, and/or progress reports. | -10        |  | 0               |
| <b>Project Points</b>   |            |  | <b>POINTS 0</b> |

Scale effective as of May 20, 2026

**Maximum Points are 85 (if below 25, no grant award)**

**Subtotal Project Points 63**

Grant % 63%

Eligible project costs \$ 1,000,000.00

Grant amount = Eligible project costs x Grant Scale \$ 630,000.00

Matching money required from other sources \$ 370,000.00

# Irrigation Districts

## Scale to Determine Grant Amount

**Capital Improvements Grant  
Scale to Determine Grant Amount**

|                       |                         |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Borrower</b>       | <b>OLD SCALE SYSTEM</b> |
| <b>Date of Review</b> | <b>IRRIGATION</b>       |
| <b>Reviewer</b>       |                         |
| <b>Notes</b>          |                         |
|                       |                         |

**Scale to Determine Grant Amount (Capital Improvements Grant Program) for Irrigation**

| <b>Water Conservation</b>  | <b>Notes</b> | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|--|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Project will improve the efficiency of the overall irrigation system through:            |              |                        |                         |
| Piping or lining or irrigation canals  |              | 5                      | 5                       |
| Recovery or recycling of waterwater or tailwater   |              | 5                      | 5                       |
| Measurement of metering of the use of water  |              | 5                      | 5                       |
| Improvements in irrigation system operations   |              | 5                      | 5                       |
| Project will conserve water and contribute to downstream uses and users:                 |              |                        |                         |
| Impace of the conservation project on groundwater recharge has been adequately evaluated |              | 5                      | 5                       |
| <b>Project Points</b>  |              |                        | <b>30</b>               |

| <b>Finance and Plainning</b>                                 | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Applicant has implemented a facility maintenance plan        | 5                      | 5                       |
| Applicant has developed a long term capital improvement plan | 5                      | 0                       |
| User fees support a resonable capital reserve fund           | 10                     | 10                      |
| <b>Project Points</b>  |                        | <b>15</b>               |

| <b>System Capacity and Economic Benefit</b>              | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|
| System users   |                        |                         |
| More than 200  | 5                      | 5                       |
| 70 to 200  | 3                      |                         |
| 10 to 70   | 1                      |                         |
| Irrigated acreage  |                        |                         |
| More than 20,000   | 5                      |                         |
| 5,000 to 20,000  | 3                      |                         |
| less than 5,000  | 1                      | 1                       |
| Storage capacity under control of the grantee            |                        |                         |
| More than 50,000 ac-ft                                   | 5                      |                         |
| 10,000 to 50,000 ac-ft                                   | 3                      | 3                       |
| less than 10,000 ac-ft                                   | 1                      |                         |
| Economic benift  |                        |                         |
| Project results in availability of new water resource    | 5                      |                         |
| Project restores irrigation storage and diversion system | 3                      | 3                       |
| Project maintains existing irrigation systems            | 1                      |                         |
| <b>Project Points</b>                                    |                        | <b>12</b>               |

| <b>Other benefits of the system and/or project</b>              | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Improves flood control for downstream population centers        | 10                     | 10                      |
| Provides significant public recreational opportunities          | 5                      | 5                       |
| Enhances tourism  | 5                      | 0                       |
| Provides public recreational opportunities related to a fishery | 5                      | 0                       |
| <b>Project Points</b>   |                        | <b>15</b>               |

| <b>Board Points</b>                  | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Evaluation of project value and need | 5                      | 5                       |
| <b>Project Points</b>                |                        | <b>5</b>                |

| <b>Deductions</b>  | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Applicant did not perform adequately on prior grant project as demonstrated by preventable project delays and cost over-runs | -20                    |                         |
| Applicant failed to submit required financial and progress reports for prior grant project                                   | -10                    | 0                       |
| <b>Project Points</b>  |                        | <b>0</b>                |

Subtotal Project Points 77  
 Maximum Points are 100 (if negative, no grant award) 77

Grant % 71%

Eligible project costs \$1,000,000.00

Grant amount = Eligible project costs x Grant Scale \$710,000.00

Matching money required from other sources \$290,000.00

**Capital Improvements Grant  
Scale to Determine Grant Amount**

|                            |                   |                        |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| <b>Borrower</b>            | <b>NEW SCALE</b>  | Project Cost           |
| <b>Date Form Submitted</b> | <b>IRRIGATION</b> | <b>\$ 1,000,000.00</b> |
| <b>Submitted By:</b>       |                   |                        |

**Scale to Determine Grant Amount (Capital Improvements Grant Program) for Irrigation Recipients**

| <b>Water Conservation</b>  | <b>NEW POINTS</b> | <b>POINTS</b> |
|--|-------------------|---------------|
| Project will improve the efficiency of the overall irrigation system through:  |                   |               |
| Piping or lining or irrigation canals  | 5                 | 5             |
| Recovery or recycling of waterwater or tailwater                               | 5                 | 5             |
| Measurement of metering of the use of water                                    | 5                 | 5             |
| Improvements in irrigation system operations                                   | 5                 | 5             |
| Project will conserve water and contribute to downstream uses and users:       | 5                 | 5             |
| Impact of the conservation project on groundwater recharge has been adequately | 5                 | 5             |
| <b>POINTS</b>  |                   | <b>30</b>     |

| <b>Finance and Plaining</b>                                  | <b>NEW POINTS</b> | <b>Calculations</b> |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| Applicant has implemented a facility maintenance plan        | 5                 | 5                   |
| Applicant has developed a long term capital improvement plan | 5                 | -                   |
| User fees support a resonable capital reserve fund           | 10                | 10                  |
| <b>POINTS</b>  |                   | <b>15</b>           |

| <b>System Capacity and Economic Benefit</b>              | <b>NEW POINTS</b> | <b>Calculations</b> |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| System users   |                   |                     |
| More than 200  | 5                 | 5                   |
| 70 to 200  | 3                 |                     |
| 10 to 70   | 1                 |                     |
| Irrigated acreage  |                   |                     |
| More than 20,000   | 5                 |                     |
| 5,000 to 20,000  | 3                 |                     |
| less than 5,000  | 1                 | 1                   |
| Storage capacity under control of the grantee            |                   |                     |
| More than 50,000 ac-ft                                   | 5                 |                     |
| 10,000 to 50,000 ac-ft                                   | 3                 | 3                   |
| less than 10,000 ac-ft                                   | 1                 |                     |
| Economic benift  |                   |                     |
| Project results in availability of new water resource    | 5                 |                     |
| Project restores irrigation storage and diversion system | 3                 | 3                   |
| Project maintains existing irrigation systems            | 1                 |                     |
| <b>POINTS</b>  |                   | <b>12</b>           |

| <b>Other benefits of the system and/or project</b>                        | <b>NEW POINTS</b> |           |
|---|-------------------|-----------|
| Improves flood control for downstream population centers                  | 10                | 10        |
| Project provides public recreation oppourtunities and/or enhances tourism | 5                 | 5         |
| <b>Project Points</b>   | <b>POINTS</b>     | <b>15</b> |

| <b>Deductions</b>   | <b>NEW POINTS</b> |          |
|---|-------------------|----------|
| Prior SRF projects did not demonstrate adequate project management and/or did not timely submit financial reports, draw request, and/or progress reports. | -10               |          |
| <b>Project Points</b>   | <b>POINTS</b>     | <b>0</b> |

Scale effective as of May 20, 2026

**Maximum Points are 85 (if below 25, no grant award)**

**72**

72%

Eligible project costs **\$ 1,000,000.00**

Grant amount = Eligible project costs x Grant Scale **\$ 720,000.00**

Matching money required from other sources **\$ 280,000.00**

# Eligible Recipients

## Scale to Determine Grant Amount

**Capital Improvements Grant  
Scale to Determine Grant Amount**

|                       |                            |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Borrower</b>       | <b>OLD SCALE SYSTEM</b>    |
| <b>Date of Review</b> | <b>ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS</b> |
| <b>Reviewer</b>       |                            |

|              |
|--------------|
| <b>Notes</b> |
|              |

**Scale to Determine Grant Amount (Capital Improvements Grant Program) for Eligible Recipients**

| <b>Project Need</b>  | <b>Notes</b> | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|--|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Project benefits public health and/or the environment per current Clean Water State Revolving Fund Loan (SRF) priority list. |              | 15                     |                         |
| Project is necessary to increase reliability or sustainability per current SRF priority list.                                |              | 10                     |                         |
| System condition per current SRF priority list.  | 05-26 #100   | 5                      | 5                       |
| <b>Project Points</b>  |              |                        | <b>5</b>                |

| <b>Community Need for State Assistance</b>  | <b>Possible Points</b>   | <b>Community Points</b>   |
|---|--|---------------------------|
| State Mean Household Income/Public Water Supply Mean Household Income, recent income survey or most recent US census: | Percentage is using MEAN as opposed to Median per regulations. |                           |
| Community's Value<br>103%   | State Mean<br>102,911.00<br>Mean<br>100,000.00                 | 0 to 75 Possible<br><br>3 |
| <b>Project Points</b>   |  | <b>3</b>                  |

| <b>Adopted Sufficient Residential Water Rate</b>                           | <b>Possible Points</b>               | <b>Community Points</b> |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sufficient rate is below 1.5% of the communities Median Household Income   | 85,000.00<br>540.00<br>0.635%        | 0<br>0                  |
| Sufficient rate is 1.5% to 2.0% of the communities Median Household Income | MHI<br>Annual Water Rate<br>% of MHI | 15                      |
| Sufficient rate is above 2.0% of the communities Median Household Income   |                                      | 25                      |
| <b>Project Points</b>  |                                      | <b>0</b>                |

| <b>Residential Connections Serviced by the System</b> | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Fewer than 250  |                        | 25                      |
| 250 – 499   |                        | 15                      |
| 500 – 999   |                        | 8                       |
| 1,000 – 2,999   |                        | 4                       |
| 3,000 – 4,999   |                        | 2                       |
| 5,000 and above                                       | 10,000                 | 0                       |
| <b>Project Points</b>                                 |                        | <b>0</b>                |

| <b>Other Factors</b>  | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Approved, up-to-date and compliant Operations and Maintenance Manuals                                       | 8/1/2024               | 5<br>5                  |
| Asset management plan and/or Fiscal Sustainability plan in place and updated within the last five (5) years | 6/30/2025              | 15<br>15                |
| Applicant has obtained alternate funding up to the maximum extent possible                                  |                        | 15<br>15                |
| <b>Project Points</b>   |                        | <b>35</b>               |

| <b>Deductions</b>   | <b>Possible Points</b> | <b>Community Points</b> |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Applicant has not committed to adequately funding a capital replacement fund  |                        | -30                     |
| Second home/vacation homes account for >30% of connections  |                        | -30                     |
| Applicant did not demonstrate adequate project management or project oversight on prior grant that reduced project delays and cost over-runs. |                        | -25                     |
| Applicant failed to timely submit required financial reports, draw requests, and progress reports for prior grant project                     |                        | -10                     |
| Opportunity for local or alternate funding not utilized   |                        | -30                     |
| <b>Project Points</b>   |                        | <b>0</b>                |

Scale effective as of January 20, 2021

|   |                       |
|---|-----------------------|
| <b>Subtotal Project Points</b>                              | <b>42,911</b>         |
| <b>Maximum Points are 150 (if negative, no grant award)</b> | <b>42,911</b>         |
| <br>Grant %   | <br><b>42%</b>        |
| Eligible project costs                                      | <b>\$1,000,000.00</b> |
| Grant amount = Eligible project costs x Grant Scale         | <b>\$420,000.00</b>   |
| Matching money required from other sources                  | <b>\$580,000.00</b>   |

**Capital Improvements Grant  
Scale to Determine Grant Amount**

|                     |                            |              |                 |
|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Borrower            | <b>NEW SCALE</b>           | Project Cost | \$ 1,000,000.00 |
| Date Form Submitted | <b>ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS</b> |              |                 |
| Submitted By:       |                            |              |                 |

Scale to Determine Grant Amount (Capital Improvements Grant Program) for **Eligible Recipients**

| Project Need  | NEW POINTS | Project Rank on Priority List | POINTS    |
|---|------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| CW SRF Priority List Ranking - CLASS A: benefits public health or environment | 25         |                               |           |
| CW SRF Priority List Ranking - CLASS B: increase reliability/sustainability   | 22         |                               |           |
| CW SRF Priority List Ranking - CLASS C: Other projects                        | 20         | 05-26 #100                    | 20        |
| <b>POINTS</b>   |            |                               | <b>20</b> |

| Community Need for State Assistance  | NEW POINTS    | State Mean | Calculations           |
|--|---------------|------------|------------------------|
| State Mean Household Income/Public Water Supply <b>Mean</b> Household Income, recent income survey or most recent US census: | 0 - 35        | 102,911.00 | Mean Income            |
| State Mean Income/Entity Mean Income = %    % is rounded, max 35 point   | Entity Points | 3          | \$ 100,000.00          |
| <b>Mean Household Income Ratio</b>   |               | 102.91%    | <b>POINTS</b> <b>3</b> |

| Adopted Sufficient Sewer Rate   | NEW POINTS |               | Calculations           |
|---|------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Sufficient rate is above 2.0% of the communities <b>Median</b> Household Income   | 5          | Monthly Rate  | \$ 45.00               |
| Sufficient rate is 1.5% to 2.0% of the communities <b>Median</b> Household Income | 3          | Annual Rate   | \$ 540.00              |
| Sufficient rate is below 1.5% of the communities <b>Median</b> Household Income   | 0          | Median Income | \$ 85,000.00           |
| <b>Median Household Income to Rate Ratio</b>                                      |            | 0.64%         | <b>POINTS</b> <b>0</b> |

| Residential Connections Served by the System | NEW POINTS |               |          |
|--|------------|---------------|----------|
| Fewer than 250                               | 5          |               |          |
| 250 – 499                                    | 4          |               |          |
| 500 – 999                                    | 3          |               |          |
| 1,000 – 2,999                                | 2          |               |          |
| 3,000 – 4,999                                | 1          |               |          |
| 5,000 and above                              | 0          | 10,000        | -        |
| <b>Project Points</b>                        |            | <b>POINTS</b> | <b>0</b> |

| Other Factors  | NEW POINTS | Last Updated  |           |
|--|------------|---------------|-----------|
| Approved, up-to-date and compliant Operations and Maintenance Manuals          | 5          | 8/1/2024      | 5         |
| Fiscal Sustainability plan in place and updated within the last five (5) years | 10         | 6/30/2025     | 10        |
| <b>Project Points</b>  |            | <b>POINTS</b> | <b>15</b> |

| Deductions  | NEW POINTS |               |          |
|---|------------|---------------|----------|
| Applicant has not committed to adequately funding a capital replacement fund  | -10        |               |          |
| Second home/vacation homes account for >30% of connections  | -20        |               |          |
| Prior SRF projects did not demonstrate adequate project management and/or did not timely submit financial reports, draw request, and/or progress reports. | -10        |               |          |
| <b>Project Points</b>   |            | <b>POINTS</b> | <b>0</b> |

Scale effective as of May 20, 2026

**Maximum Points are 85 (if below 25, no grant award)**

**Subtotal Project Points    38**

Grant %    38%

Eligible project costs    \$ 1,000,000.00

Grant amount = Eligible project costs x Grant Scale    \$ 380,000.00

Matching money required from other sources    \$ 620,000.00

# Public Comments

Scales to determine grant amounts moved to the Board for Financing Water Projects for adoption after comments.

# Questions?

[ndep-ofa@ndep.nv.gov](mailto:ndep-ofa@ndep.nv.gov)