

# STATE OF NEVADA

Department of Conservation & Natural Resources

Jim Gibbons, Governor Allen Biaggi, Director

## DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Leo M. Drozdoff, P.E., Administrator

### **December 7, 2007**

Mr. Mark Paris Basic Remediation Company 875 West Warm Springs Road Henderson, NV 89011 Ms. Susan Crowley Tronox LLC PO Box 55 Henderson, NV 89009 Mr. Curt Richards Olin Corporation PO Box 248, 1186 Lower River Road Charleston, TN 37310-0248

Mr. Joe Kelly Montrose Chemical Corp of CA 600 Ericksen Ave NE, Suite 380 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 Mr. Brian Spiller Stauffer Management Co LLC 1800 Concord Pike Wilmington, DE 19850-6438 Mr. Craig Wilkinson Titanium Metals Corporation PO Box 2128 Henderson, NV 89009

## Re. BMI Plant Sites and Common Areas Projects, Henderson, Nevada

Advisement Regarding Radionuclide Analyses for Uranium

#### Dear Sirs and Madam:

As noted to the Companies via e-mail, it has been discovered that there are differences in the preparatory (prep) methods used for isotopic uranium analyses. Specifically, the use of hydrofluoric acid (HF) versus not using HF. This difference has significant impacts on the data and represents a comparability problem.

## What has been discovered is as follows:

- 1. The BRC/TIMET background data set was analyzed by STL-Saint Louis (STL-SL) for isotopic uranium. STL-SL uses HF.
- 2. The TRX upgradient data set was analyzed by GEL for isotopic uranium. GEL uses HF.
- 3. The TRX Phase A data and several other BRC data sets were completed by STL-Richland. STL-Richland does not use HF. This results in significant low bias relative to the background data set for isotopic uranium.

All QAPPs shall be edited to specifically identify the prep method that uses HF for isotopic uranium analysis. Please address this issue by January 11, 2008.

#### There are several other issues as follows:

- 1. The Companies need to identify all data sets that are not comparable and report this to the NDEP. This will also be requested in the letter. Please address this issue by January 11, 2008.
- 2. All parties need to work to identify what other radionuclide data may be compromised. Each company should respond to this issue in the January 11, 2008 Deliverable. If additional radionuclide data is compromised additional changes to QAPPs will be required.

- 3. All parties need to contemplate how we might salvage the data from STL-Richland for isotopic uranium analysis for use in future background comparisons. Some ideas that have been mentioned thus far are as follows:
  - a. Complete a side by side study of the two methods and develop a correction factor that could be applied to data from STL-Richland. It should be noted that enough uranium (metal) data may exist to develop this correction factor currently. This is important if any of the data will be used for risk assessments. This item can be addressed by the NDEP for the Companies or the Companies can complete this exercise.
  - b. Discard the existing data that is affected by this difference and utilize the total uranium data for background comparisons. It is likely that this is a defensible procedure for addressing background comparisons.
  - c. Utilize gamma spectroscopy for future analyses of isotropic uranium (NDEP does not support this).
  - d. These ideas need to be contemplated for what will be defensible. NDEP is open to additional suggestions.
  - e. Each Company should respond to this issue in the January 11, 2008 Deliverable.

Please contact me with any questions (tel: 702-486-2850 x247; e-mail: brakvica@ndep.nv.gov).

Sincerely,

Brian A Rakvica, P.E. Supervisor, Special Projects Branch Bureau of Corrective Actions

BAR:s

CC: Jim Najima, NDEP, BCA, Carson City

Marysia Skorska, NDEP, BCA, Las Vegas

Shannon Harbour, NDEP, BCA, Las Vegas

Todd Croft, NDEP, BCA, Las Vegas

Greg Lovato, NDEP, BCA, Carson City

Barry Conaty, Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld, L.L.P., 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036

Brenda Pohlmann, City of Henderson, PO Box 95050, Henderson, NV 89009

Mitch Kaplan, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, mail code: WST-5,

75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Rob Mrowka, Clark County Comprehensive Planning, PO Box 551741, Las Vegas, NV, 89155-1741

Ranajit Sahu, BRC, 311 North Story Place, Alhambra, CA 91801

Rick Kellogg, BRC, 875 West Warm Springs, Henderson, NV 89011

Kirk Stowers, Broadbent & Associates, 8 West Pacific Avenue, Henderson, Nevada 89015

George Crouse, Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc., 410 Swing Road, Greensboro, NC 27409

Nicholas Pogoncheff, PES Environmental, Inc., 1682 Novato Blvd., Suite 100, Novato, CA 94947-7021

Lee Erickson, Stauffer Management Company LLC, P.O. Box 18890 Golden, CO 80402

Keith Bailey, Environmental Answers, 3229 Persimmon Creek Drive, Edmond, OK 73013

Jeff Gibson, AMPAC, 3770 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

Sally Bilodeau, ENSR, 1220 Avenida Acaso, Camarillo, CA 93012-8727

Stan Smith, Olin Chlor Alkali, PO Box 86, Henderson, Nevada 89009

Paul Sundberg, Montrose Chemical Corporation, 3846 Estate Drive, Stockton, California 95209

- Joe Kelly, Montrose Chemical Corporation of CA, 600 Ericksen Avenue NE, Suite 380, Bainbridge Island, WA 98110
- Jon Erskine, Northgate Environmental Management, Inc., 300 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 510, Oakland, CA 94612
- Deni Chambers, Northgate Environmental Management, Inc., 300 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 510, Oakland, CA 94612
- Robert Infelise, Cox Castle Nicholson, 555 California Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104-1513 Michael Ford, Bryan Cave, One Renaissance Square, Two North Central Avenue, Suite 2200, Phoenix, AZ 85004
- Dave Gratson, Neptune and Company, 1505 15th Street, Suite B, Los Alamos, NM 87544

Paul Black, Neptune and Company, Inc., 8550 West 14<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 100, Lakewood, CO 80215 Teri Copeland, 5737 Kanan Rd., #182, Agoura Hills, CA 91301 Paul Hackenberry, Hackenberry Associates, 550 West Plumb Lane, B425, Reno, NV, 89509