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DISCLAIMER

The Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) Basic Comparison Levels (BCLs) address common human health exposure pathways. They consider neither all potential human health exposure pathways nor do they address ecological concerns. The comparison of site characterization data against these risk-based media concentrations provides for an initial screening evaluation to assist users in risk assessment components such as the evaluation of data usability, determination of extent of contamination, identification of chemicals of potential concern, and identification of preliminary remediation goals. The values are derived using equations from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) guidance, USEPA toxicity criteria, and USEPA exposure factors. NDEP officials may decide to follow the guidance provided herein or act at variance with the guidance, based on analysis of site-specific circumstances or availability of new or more relevant data or regulatory policies. NDEP also reserves the right to change this guidance at any time without public notice. Every effort has been made to ensure accuracy in these tables; however, if an error is found, please send an e-mail to Carlton Parker at jcarltonparker@ndep.nv.gov.

These BCLs are designed for use at the BMI Complex and Common Areas in Henderson, Nevada. The applicability of the BCLs should be verified prior to use at any other site.

The guidance set out in this document is not final NDEP action. It is neither intended to nor can it be relied upon, to create any rights enforceable by a party in litigation with the state of Nevada.
1.0 BACKGROUND ON NDEP BASIC COMPARISON LEVELS (BCLs)

The Internet version of the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) Basic Comparison Levels (BCLs) can be found at the worldwide web address https://ndep.nv.gov/resources/risk-assessment-and-toxicology-basic-comparison-levels. The printable version is referred to herein as the “BCL Table” and the “BCL Calculations Table” and “Leaching BCLs” spreadsheets are also included in the Excel® file and provide the input parameters and pathway-specific BCLs.

Users are advised to employ these BCLs only after fully understanding this guidance. The BCL Table was not generated to represent action levels or final cleanup levels but rather as a technical screening tool to assist users in risk assessment components such as the evaluation of data usability, determination of extent of contamination, identifying chemicals of potential concern, and identifying preliminary remediation goals. The BCL Table contains current human health toxicity values that are combined with standard exposure factors to estimate contaminant concentrations in environmental media [air, soil (on a dry-weight basis), and water] that are considered by NDEP to be protective of human exposures (including sensitive sub-groups) over a lifetime. Human health BCLs have also been computed for eight radionuclides. Finally, leaching-based BCLs are provided for both chemicals and the many radionuclides. Exceedance of a BCL does not automatically designate the site as needing a response action. However, exceeding a BCL may suggest that further evaluation of the potential risks posed by site contaminants is appropriate. Further evaluation might include additional sampling, consideration of ambient levels in the environment, and/or a site-specific risk assessment.

It should be noted that the BCLs differ from USEPA Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) several ways, and thus, are often not directly comparable. For example:

- The BCLs present separate screening levels for an indoor and an outdoor worker and do not have a composite worker screening level like the RSLs.
- There are 53 chemicals that have BCLs but do not have RSLs.
- The hexachlorocyclohexane isomers are treated as threshold carcinogens for the BCL calculations.
- The BCLs use a Las Vegas specific PEF value based on the Q/C value for Las Vegas for particulate inhalation.
- The tapwater BCLs do not include the dermal contact pathway.
- The BCL for VOCs are set equal to the chemical’s saturation concentration when it is lower than the risk-based value. In contrast, the RSLs present the risk-based value and only flags the value as being above its saturation concentration.
- If the BCL for a chemical exceeds the maximum value of 100,000 mg/kg, then the BCL is set equal to the ceiling limit value while for the RSL still presents the risk-based value and flags the RSL.

For each chemical, BCLs are back-calculated from a target risk level for carcinogens and a target hazard level for non-carcinogens. For the inhalation and direct contact pathways, target risk levels for soil exposures are set at a one-in-a-million (1×10^(-6)) incremental lifetime cancer risk for each
chemical for the cancer endpoint and a hazard quotient (HQ) of one (1) for the non-cancer endpoint. Leaching-based BCLs (LBCLs) for the migration-to-groundwater pathway are back-calculated from the following groundwater concentration limits (in order of preference): non-zero maximum contaminant level goals (MCLGs), maximum contaminant levels (MCLs), or health-based limits (based on a cancer risk of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ or an HQ of 1), with the exception of the compounds discussed in Section 3.8. For residential tap water, USEPA MCLs (USEPA, 2020a) are employed as the BCL. For chemicals lacking an MCL, BCLs are back-calculated using a target cancer risk of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ for the cancer endpoint and a target hazard index of 1 for the non-cancer endpoint.

BCLs are intended to provide health protection without a full understanding of the specific exposure conditions at the site under study. BCLs are applicable when the exposure factors based on site-specific considerations are likely to be no more conservative than the default exposure assumptions used in the BCL Table. BCLs are media contaminant concentrations below which no further action or study at a site is generally warranted, provided that specified application conditions associated with the BCLs are met. In general, if adequate site data collection shows that the measured maximum or 95% upper confidence level (UCL) (where appropriate\(^1\)) concentration of a particular contaminant is below the relevant BCL (see Section 3.7 for addressing multiple chemicals), then further action at a site may not be warranted. If the maximum or the 95% UCL concentration for relevant media is above the BCL, further study, though not necessarily a cleanup action, is warranted. When considering BCLs as initial cleanup goals, it is recommended that the residential BCL be used, unless agreement has been reached with NDEP officials that a non-residential land use assumption can be justified.

The responsibility for using the BCL Table, and for determining its relevance to site-specific circumstances, lies with the entity recommending the values to be used and the user of the BCL Table. Before using the BCLs at a particular site, the user should determine whether the exposure pathways and exposure scenarios at the site are fully accounted for in the BCL calculations. NDEP BCLs address direct contact exposure pathways for human health (i.e., ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation) for which generally accepted methods, models, and assumptions have been developed for specific land uses, as well as the protection of groundwater (leaching) pathway. The BCLs do not consider other human exposure pathways or impact to ecological receptors [see Conceptual Site Model (CSM) Section 1.1]. The BCL Table contains guidance on soil chemical impacts to groundwater by identifying chemical-specific dilution-attenuation factors (DAF), that are multiplied by relevant soil concentrations to obtain the LBCL.

The BCLs will be updated over time, as appropriate, to reflect evolving USEPA guidance, changes in toxicological data, and derivation of toxicological surrogates (as applicable) for BMI Complex and Common Areas compounds of interest. There are a number of exotic chemicals associated with the BMI Complex and Common Areas and the need for surrogate derivation will be identified on a case-by-case basis and surrogates will be derived where warranted. BCL updates and special considerations identified by NDEP and users will be posted in Appendix A of the User’s Guide, and will be integrated into the BCL Table as needed. Therefore, users are urged to check this appendix for any changes relevant to their site-specific/media-specific chemicals.

---

\(^1\) If a 95% UCL is used, it must be specific to an exposure area.
1.1 Conceptual Site Model

Developing a CSM is a critical step in properly implementing the soil screening process at a site. The CSM is a comprehensive representation of the site that documents current site conditions. It characterizes the distribution of contaminant concentrations across the site in three dimensions and identifies all potential exposure pathways, migration routes, and potential receptors. The CSM is initially developed from existing site data. Where relevant, these site data should include input from stakeholders about their site knowledge, concerns, and interests, and should be revised continually as new site investigations produce updated or more accurate information. The final CSM represents links among contaminant sources, release mechanisms, exposure pathways, and routes and receptors based on historical information and site data. It summarizes the understanding of the contamination problem.

As an initial check, the CSM should answer the following questions:

- Are there potential ecological concerns?
- Is there potential for land use other than those covered by the BCLs (i.e., residential and commercial/industrial)?
- Are there other likely human exposure pathways that were not considered in development of the BCLs (e.g., impacts on areas used for gardens, farming, fishing, or raising beef, dairy, or other livestock)?
- Are there unusual site conditions (e.g., large areas of contamination, high fugitive dust levels, or wetland or floodplain issues)?
- Is there a probable source of vapor emissions from volatile soil or groundwater contaminants that may affect indoor air?
- Is there potential for a short-term construction scenario to result in higher risks than those associated with the long-term scenarios assumed for the BCLs?

If the answer to any of the questions is yes, then the BCLs may not be fully applicable to a site.

1.2 Application of the Basic Comparison Levels Table

The decision to use the BCLs at a site will be driven by the potential benefits of having generic risk-based concentrations in the absence of site-specific risk assessments. Additional potential uses include:

- Supporting quality assurance programs and data usability evaluations;
- Limiting the number of chemicals of potential concern (COPCs) evaluated in risk assessments;
- Screening sites to determine the need for further evaluation;
- Prioritizing multiple “hot spots” within a facility or exposure realm; and
- Focusing future risk assessment efforts.

In general, BCL concentrations provided in the Table are risk-based. However, for soil there are two important exceptions: (1) when the risk-based BCL for a volatile organic compound (VOC) exceeds its soil saturation limit, the BCL is based on the soil saturation limit (“sat”), and (2) when
the risk-based BCL for relatively less toxic non-VOCs exceeds $10^{-5}$ mg/kg (max), then the max is used as the basis for the BCL. It is important to note that the BCLs for inhalation are for outdoor air and are not applicable to indoor air. The pathways addressed by the BCLs and those not addressed are summarized below.

### Environmental Media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathways Addressed by BCLs</th>
<th>Pathways Not Addressed by BCLs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soil</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ingestion</td>
<td>• Intrusion of VOCs into indoor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inhalation of particulates</td>
<td>air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inhalation of VOCs</td>
<td>• Groundwater contact from soil-leached chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dermal contact</td>
<td>• Ingestion of livestock or produce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groundwater</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ingestion from drinking</td>
<td>• Dermal absorption while bathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inhalation of VOCs</td>
<td>• Intrusion of VOCs into indoor air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ingestion from drinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Inhalation of VOCs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Dermal absorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Intrusion of VOCs into indoor air</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 1.3 Potential Issues and Misapplication of BCLs

As discussed previously, the BCLs should be used only when the conditions at the site being screened are similar to those under which the BCLs were derived for use. Special care should be exercised to prevent misuse of the BCLs and to protect human health. Specifically, the following should be avoided:

- Applying BCLs to a site without adequately developing a conceptual site model that identifies relevant exposure pathways and exposure scenarios.
- Not considering background concentrations when choosing BCLs.
- Use of BCLs as cleanup levels without considering other relevant criteria.
- Use of BCLs as cleanup levels without verifying applicability with a qualified risk assessor.
- Use of outdated BCLs that have been superseded by more recent publications.
- Not considering the effects of the presence of multiple chemicals.

#### 2.0 NDEP BASIC COMPARISON LEVELS (BCLs)

The BCL Table was generated using equations incorporated into a calculation spreadsheet, except for the column “DAF” [the dilution-attenuation factor for use in calculating LBCLs]. Table 1 provides the Standard Default Exposure Factors used to calculate BCLs. Toxicity values, as well as physical and chemical parameters, are input into the spreadsheet. There are seven primary sections of the BCL Table: 1) toxicity values, 2) physical/chemical input parameters, 3) BCLs for residential land use scenarios, 4) BCLs for industrial/commercial land use scenarios (indoor and outdoor workers), 5) BCLs for ambient air, 6) BCLs for residential tap water, and 7) LBCLs for...
protection of groundwater. The “printable” version of the BCL Table contains only the toxicity values, volatile organic compound (VOC) designation, skin absorption value, and final comparison levels while the “BCLs Calculation Table” provides the actual spreadsheet used to derive the BCLs. The default values and equations used in developing the Table are discussed below.

2.1 Toxicity Values

Cancer and noncancer toxicity values were obtained from USEPA’s Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) on-line database (USEPA, 2020b), EPA’s Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values Database (PPRTV) (USEPA, 2008a), USEPA’s National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA), USEPA’s Health Effects Assessment Summary Table (HEAST) (USEPA, 1997a), and other sources. The OSWER Directive 9285.7-53 (dated December 5, 2003) (USEPA, 2003a) designates the following hierarchy for toxicity criteria: IRIS (indicated by “I” in the table), PPRTV (indicated by “P” in the table) and “other sources”. While no attempt was made by the USEPA to identify or rank the other sources of toxicity data in the 2003 document, USEPA established a hierarchy among these other sources as part of the development of their Regional Screening Levels (RSLs).

For the BCLs, in order to be consistent with the USEPA, these other sources included (in order of preference) are the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) minimal risk levels (MRLs) (“A”), the California Environmental Protection Agency (OEHHA) Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment’s Chronic Reference Exposure Levels (RELS) and the Cancer Potency Values (PDV) (“CA”), screening toxicity values in an appendix to certain PPRTV assessments (“X”), NCEA (“N”), HEAST (“H”), surrogate value (“S”), and (5) other documents (“o”). Finally, it should be noted that the USEPA has withdrawn toxicity values for certain chemicals. These are designated with an “x” in the BCL Table and should be discussed in the uncertainty section if used in a risk assessment.

In 2016, IRIS archived 51 chemical assessments for pesticides and for these, has instead recommended the use of the toxicity values presented in the Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Human Health Benchmarks for Pesticides (HHBPs) table. These include RfDs (also referred to as chronic PADs) and OSFs (referred to as cancer quantification values). OPP lists 363 pesticides in the HHBP table. Only the values for the 51 chemicals archived by IRIS are used in the BCL calculations and are labeled “OP”.

HEAST has not been updated since the last version was released in 1997 (USEPA, 1997a). HEAST values that have been externally peer reviewed are now in the PPRTV database and are noted by the letter “P” in the key column of the BCL Table next to the toxicity value. The PPRTV values currently represent the second tier of human health toxicity values for the USEPA Superfund and hazardous waste programs. In addition to the PPRTV values, some chemicals have screening toxicity values taken from an appendix of a PPRTV assessment. While these screening toxicity values are more recent, use current USEPA methods in the derivation, and also receive external peer review, there is considerably more uncertainty associated with the derivation of these “appendix” toxicity values versus those presented in the body of the PPRTV assessment. When these values are used, the key presents an “X” (for Appendix) rather than a “P” (for PPRTV).
The USEPA Superfund Program has updated its inhalation risk methodology (Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS), Part F”, USEPA, 2009a) to be consistent with USEPA’s Inhalation Dosimetry Methodology2, which represents USEPA’s current approach for inhalation dosimetry and derivation of inhalation toxicity criteria. RAGS Part F currently recommends that when estimating risk via inhalation, risk assessors should use the concentration of the chemical in air as the exposure metric (e.g., mg/m³), rather than inhalation intake of a contaminant in air based on IR [intake rate] and BW [body weight] (e.g., mg/kg-day) (as described in USEPA 1989a). The full details of this approach are provided in RAGS, Part F (USEPA, 2009a). Consistent with that guidance, cancer-based BCLs for the inhalation pathway were calculated using the inhalation unit risk (IUR3) rather than the inhalation slope factor (SFi4) (USEPA, 2009a). Based on the same rationale, USEPA also currently recommends that non-cancer hazard quotients should be calculated using the reference concentration (RfC5) rather than the inhalation reference dose (RfD6) (USEPA, 2009a). Accordingly, the non-cancer-based BCLs for the inhalation pathway were calculated using the chemical-specific RfC.

Several chemicals in the table did not have toxicity criteria from any of the USEPA hierarchy of sources used in this guidance (USEPA, 2003a). Therefore, other sources were used as the basis for the toxicity criteria for these chemicals. Table B-1 provides a listing of these chemicals and the source of the toxicity values used to calculate the BCLs.

In addition, due to the vast number of specialized compounds and analytical issues associated with the BMI Complex and Common Areas, toxicological surrogates have been derived for several compounds. The toxicity criteria for the surrogates are entered into the BCL Table for the applicable chemical lacking criteria. The derivations for the toxicological surrogates are summarized in Appendix B.

2.2 Soil-to-Air Volatilization Factors (VFs)

The physical/chemical data section of the BCL calculation spreadsheet provides the information used to calculate the volatilization factors (VFs) for VOCs. VOCs are defined as those chemicals that have a Henry’s Law constant greater than 10⁻⁵ (atm-m³/mol) and a molecular weight less than

2 http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=71993

3 The IUR is defined by USEPA as the upper-bound excess lifetime cancer risk estimated to results from continuous exposure to an agent at a concentration of 1 µg/m⁴ in air (USEPA, 2009a).

4 The SFi is defined by USEPA as the plausible upper-bound estimate of the probability of an increased cancer risk per unit intake of a chemical over a lifetime via inhalation, expressed in units of risk per mg of substance per kg body weight per day: (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ (USEPA, 1989a).

5 The RfC (expressed in units of mg of substance/m³ air) is an estimate of a daily inhalation exposure of the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime (USEPA, 2009a).

6 The RfD (expressed in units of mg of substance per kg body weight per day [mg/kg-day]) is an estimate of a daily exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime (USEPA, 2009a).
200 g/mole (USEPA, 1991a). The soil-to-air VF defines the relationship between the concentration of the contaminant in soil and the flux of the volatilized contaminant to air (USEPA, 1996a). The emission terms used in the VFs are chemical specific and were calculated using chemical-specific physical/chemical data obtained from the following sources: the May 2020 USEPA RSL table, the 1996 Soil Screening Guidance (USEPA, 1996a,b), the 1996 Superfund Chemical Data Matrix (USEPA, 1996c), and the 1988 Superfund Exposure Assessment Manual (USEPA, 1988). The VFs used to calculate the soil screening levels are presented in the physical/chemical data section of the spreadsheet, based on equation below, which is from the USEPA’s Soil Screening Guidance (USEPA, 1996a).

\[
VF_s \left( \frac{m^3}{kg} \right) = \left( \frac{Q}{C} \right) \times \frac{(3.14 \times D_A \times T)^{1/2}}{(2 \rho_B \times D_A)} \times 10^{-4} \left( \frac{m^2}{cm^2} \right)
\]

Default values for the soil-to-air VF input parameters, listed below, are taken from USEPA, 1996a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Parameter</th>
<th>Definition (units)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VF</td>
<td>Volatilization factor (m³/kg)</td>
<td>Chemical specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>Apparent diffusivity (cm²/s)</td>
<td>Chemical specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q/C</td>
<td>Inverse of the mean concentrate at the center of a 0.5-acre square source (g/m²-s per kg/m³)</td>
<td>68.18 (default)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Exposure interval (seconds [s])</td>
<td>8.2 x 10⁸ (26 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_B)</td>
<td>Dry soil bulk density(g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Theta_a)</td>
<td>Air-filled soil porosity ((L_{air}/L_{soil}))</td>
<td>0.28 ((n - \Theta_w))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N)</td>
<td>Total soil porosity ((L_{pore}/L_{soil}))</td>
<td>0.43 or (1 - (\rho_b/\rho_s))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Theta_w)</td>
<td>Water-filled soil porosity ((L_{water}/L_{soil}))</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_s)</td>
<td>Soil particle density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_i)</td>
<td>Diffusivity in air (cm²/s)</td>
<td>Chemical specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H)</td>
<td>Henry’s Law constant</td>
<td>Calculated from (H) by multiplying by 41 (USEPA, 1991a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H')</td>
<td>Dimensionless Henry’s Law constant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_w)</td>
<td>Diffusivity in water (cm²/s)</td>
<td>Chemical specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_d)</td>
<td>Soil/water partition coefficient (cm³/g) = (K_{oc}f_{oc})</td>
<td>Chemical specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_{oc})</td>
<td>Soil organic carbon/water partition coefficient (cm³/g)</td>
<td>Chemical specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f_{oc})</td>
<td>Fraction organic carbon in soil (g/g)</td>
<td>0.006 (0.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Volatilization Factor for Residential Water

For residential water, a default upper-bound volatilization constant \((VF_w)\) of 0.5 L/m³ is used that is based on all uses of household water (e.g., showering, laundering, and dish washing) (RAGS Part B; USEPA 1991a, b).

2.4 Soil Saturation Limits

The physical/chemical data section of the BCL calculation spreadsheet provides the information used to calculate the soil saturation limits. The soil saturation concentration limit, “sat”, corresponds to the contaminant concentration in soil at which the absorptive limits of the soil...
particles, the solubility limits of the soil pore water, and saturation of soil-pore air have been reached. Above this concentration, the soil contaminant may be present in free phase (i.e., nonaqueous-phase liquids [NAPLs]) for contaminants that are liquid at ambient soil temperatures and in pure solid phases for compounds that are solid at ambient soil temperatures.

The equation below was used to calculate “sat” for each volatile contaminant. As an update to RAGS HHEM, Part B (USEPA 1991a), the equation takes into account the amount of contaminant that is in the vapor phase in soil, in addition to the amount dissolved in the soil’s pore water and sorbed to soil particles. The VF is not applicable when free-phase contaminants are present. How these cases are handled depends on whether the contaminant is liquid or solid at ambient temperatures. Liquid contaminants for which screening levels exceed the “sat” concentration are set equal to “sat,” whereas for solids (e.g., non-VOCs), BCLs are based on other appropriate pathways of concern at the site (e.g., ingestion and dermal contact).

\[
Sat = \frac{S}{\rho_b} (K_d \rho_b + \Theta_W + H' \Theta_a)
\]

Default values for the soil “sat” input parameters, listed below, and are taken from USEPA, 1996a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition (units)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sat</td>
<td>Soil saturation concentration (mg/kg)</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Solubility in water (mg/L-water)</td>
<td>Chemical specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_b)</td>
<td>Dry soil bulk density (kg/L)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_d)</td>
<td>Soil-water partition coefficient (L/kg)</td>
<td>(K_{oc} \times f_{oc}) (chemical specific)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_{oc})</td>
<td>Soil organic carbon/water partition coefficient (L/kg)</td>
<td>Chemical specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f_{oc})</td>
<td>Fraction organic carbon content of soil (g/g)</td>
<td>0.006 or site specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Theta_W)</td>
<td>Water-filled soil porosity (L_water/L_soil)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Theta_a)</td>
<td>Air-filled soil porosity (L_air/L_soil)</td>
<td>0.28 or (n - \Theta_w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>Total soil porosity (L_pore/L_soil)</td>
<td>0.43 or (1 - (\rho_b / \rho_s))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_s)</td>
<td>Soil particle density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Henry’s Law constant (atm·m³/mol)</td>
<td>Chemical specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H')</td>
<td>Dimensionless Henry’s Law constant (unitless)</td>
<td>Calculated from (H) by multiplying by 41 (USEPA, 1991a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.5 Particulate Emission Factor for Soils

To address the soil-to-air pathway for particulate emission, the BCL calculations incorporate a particulate emission factor (PEF) for nonvolatile contaminants (designated as “0” in the VOC column of the BCL Table). The PEF relates the contaminant concentration in soil to the concentration of respirable particles in the air due to fugitive dust emissions from soil. The generic PEF was derived using default values that correspond to a receptor-point airborne particulate concentration of approximately 0.76 µg/m³ (USEPA, 1996a). The relationship is derived by Cowherd (1985 as part of USEPA, 1985) for a rapid assessment procedure applicable to a typical hazardous waste site where the surface contamination provides a relatively continuous and constant potential for emission over an extended period of time (e.g., years). This represents an annual average emission rate based on wind erosion. The PEF evaluates windborne emissions only
and does not consider dust emissions from traffic, or other forms of mechanical disturbance that are typical of short-term construction scenarios, which are not addressed in the BCLs.

The USEPA methodology was followed to derive a PEF for Las Vegas (UESPA, 1996a). Specifically, all standard default parameters were used (e.g., PEF calculation parameters “A”, “B”, and “C” as obtained from USEPA, 1996a) with the exception of air dispersion modeling constants for the climate zone of Las Vegas. The resulting PEF of $1.2 \times 10^9$ m$^3$/kg (USEPA, 1996a) was used to calculate BCLs.

### 2.6 Dermal Absorption Factors

Chemical-specific dermal absorption factors for contaminants in soil and dust based on USEPA (2004; RAGS Part E, *Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment*) are employed in the BCL derivations for arsenic, cadmium, chlordane, 2,4-D, DDT, lindane, PAHs, pentachlorophenol, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (collectively referred to as “dioxins”). For other chemicals, a default dermal absorption factor of 0.10 was applied for semi-volatile organic chemicals, in accordance with USEPA (2004a). USEPA does not recommend absorption factors for volatile organic chemicals (VOCs) based on the rationale that VOCs are volatilized from the soil on skin and exposure is accounted for via inhalation routes. USEPA does not provide absorption factors for inorganics based on the dependence of absorption on the speciation of the compound and the fact that there are inadequate data in this regard.

### 2.7 Age-Adjustment Factors

Because contact rates may be different for children and adults, carcinogenic risks during the first 26 years of life were calculated using age-adjusted factors (“adj”). Use of age-adjusted factors is especially important for soil ingestion exposures, which are higher during childhood and decrease with age. For purposes of combining exposures across pathways, additional age-adjusted factors are used for dermal exposures. These factors approximate the integrated exposure from birth until age 26, combining contact rates, body weights, and exposure durations for two age groups: small children and adults. Age-adjusted factors were obtained from USEPA RAGS Part B (USEPA, 1991a) or developed by analogy. Age-adjusted factors are not applicable to inhalation exposures based on USEPA RAGS Part F (USEPA, 2009a). The equations depicted below are for carcinogens.

(1) ingestion for soil ([mg × yr]/[kg × d]):

$$IFS_{adj} = \frac{ED_c \times IRS_c}{BW_c} + \frac{ED_a \times IRS_a}{BW_a}$$

---

7 See Exhibits D-1, D-2 and D-4 of USEPA, 1996a.
(2) skin contact (\([\text{mg} \times \text{yr}] / [\text{kg} \times \text{d}]\))

\[
SFS_{adj} = \frac{ED_c \times AF_c \times SA_c}{BW_c} + \frac{ED_a \times AF_a \times SA_a}{BW_a}
\]

(3) ingestion for water (\([\text{l} \times \text{yr}] / [\text{kg} \times \text{d}]\))

\[
IFW_{adj} = \frac{ED_c \times IRW_c}{BW_c} + \frac{ED_a \times IRW_a}{BW_a}
\]

Some of the cancer-causing chemicals operate by a mutagenic mode of action for carcinogenesis. Based on the USEPA (2005) cancer guidelines, some chemicals with a mutagenic mode of action, which may cause irreversible changes to DNA, may exhibit a greater effect in early-life versus later-life exposure. In keeping with this guidance, separate age-adjustment factors are presented for mutagens. The equations depicted below are for mutagens.

(1) ingestion for soil (\([\text{mg} \times \text{yr}] / [\text{kg} \times \text{d}]\))

\[
IFS_{madj} = \frac{ED_{0-2} \times IRS_{0-2}}{BW_{0-2}} + \frac{ED_{2-6} \times IRS_{2-6}}{BW_{2-6}} + \frac{ED_{6-16} \times IRS_{6-16}}{BW_{6-16}} + \frac{ED_{16-26} \times IRS_{16-26}}{BW_{16-26}}
\]

(2) skin contact (\([\text{mg} \times \text{yr}] / [\text{kg} \times \text{d}]\))

\[
SFS_{madj} = \frac{ED_{0-2} \times AF_{0-2} \times SA_{0-2}}{BW_{0-2}} + \frac{ED_{2-6} \times AF_{2-6} \times SA_{2-6}}{BW_{2-6}} + \frac{ED_{6-16} \times AF_{6-16} \times SA_{6-16}}{BW_{6-16}} + \frac{ED_{16-26} \times AF_{16-26} \times SA_{16-26}}{BW_{16-26}}
\]

(3) ingestion for water (\([\text{l} \times \text{yr}] / [\text{kg} \times \text{d}]\))

\[
IFW_{madj} = \frac{ED_{0-2} \times IRW_{0-2}}{BW_{0-2}} + \frac{ED_{2-6} \times IRW_{2-6}}{BW_{2-6}} + \frac{ED_{6-16} \times IRW_{6-16}}{BW_{6-16}} + \frac{ED_{16-26} \times IRW_{16-26}}{BW_{16-26}}
\]

The acronyms and their values are provided in Table 1. These values can also be found in the exposure default section of the BCL Calculations Table.

### 3.0 HUMAN HEALTH-BASED BCLs

A multi-pathway (integrated) soil BCL was calculated for each chemical for the noncancer and, where relevant, cancer endpoint. For contaminants that exhibit both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic endpoints, the more stringent (i.e., lower) of the two BCLs is presented in the BCL Table. The integrated soil BCLs were generated from the pathway-specific BCLs for each
exposure pathway (ingestion, inhalation, and dermal) which are listed separately in the BCL Calculations Table.

In addition to the multi-pathway soil BCL, tap water BCLs and ambient air BCLs were derived. Where available, the USEPA MCL was used as the basis for tap water BCLs. For chemicals not assigned an MCL, a risk-based tap water concentration was derived. Ambient air BCLs were derived in accordance with USEPA, 2009a.

Default exposure factors used to develop the BCL values were obtained primarily from the OSWER Directive 9200.1-120 Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance, Update of Standard Default Exposure Factors (USEPA, 2014), the USEPA Supplemental Soil Screening Guidance (USEPA, 2002a), and USEPA Regional Screening Levels (USEPA, 2020d). Table 1 lists all exposure factors used, their abbreviations used in the equations in this text, and the source. The equations for calculating the risk or hazard by exposure pathway, as well as for the combined soil pathway BCLs, are provided below.

### 3.1 Equations for Residential Land Use Scenario (Soil)

#### Ingestion of Carcinogenic Contaminants in Soil

**Eq. 1**

\[
BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{TR \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{SF_o \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times EF \times IFS_{adj}}
\]

where:

- \( TR \): Target risk of \(10^{-6}\)
- \( AT \): Averaging time (70 years)
- \( SF_o \): Oral cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day)\(^{-1}\)
- \( EF \): Exposure frequency (350 days)
- \( IFS_{adj} \): Adjusted soil ingestion (mg-year)/(kg-day) = 105

#### Ingestion of Non-carcinogenic Contaminants

**Eq. 2**

\[
BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{1}{RfD_o} \times \frac{THQ \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times EF \times ED \times IRS}
\]

where:

- \( THQ \): Target hazard quotient of 1
- \( BW \): Body weight of child (15 kg)
- \( AT \): Averaging time for child (6 years)
- \( RfD_o \): Oral reference dose (mg/kg-day)
- \( EF \): Exposure frequency (350 days/year)
ED = Exposure duration of child (6 years)
IRS = Soil ingestion rate for child (200 mg/day)

Inhalation of Carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 3

\[ BCL \, mg/kg = \frac{\text{TR} \times \text{AT}}{\text{IUR}_i \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{ET} \times \text{CF} \times [\frac{1}{\text{PEF}} \text{ or } \frac{1}{\text{VF}}]} \]

where:

TR = Target risk of 10^{-6}
AT = Averaging time (70 years x 365 days/year x 24 hours/day)
IUR_i = Inhalation unit risk (chemical-specific) (\mu g/m^3)^{-1}
EF = Exposure frequency (350 days/year)
ED = Exposure duration (26 years)
ET = Exposure time (24 hours/day)
CF = Conversion factor (1,000 \mu g/mg)
PEF = Particulate emission factor used for dusts (1.2 \times 10^9 m^3/kg)
VF = Volatilization factor used for volatile organic chemicals (m^3/kg)

Inhalation of Non-carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 4

\[ BCL \, mg/kg = \frac{\text{THQ} \times \text{AT}}{\text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{ET} \times \frac{1}{\text{RfC}_i} \times [\frac{1}{\text{PEF}} \text{ or } \frac{1}{\text{VF}}]} \]

where:

THQ = Target hazard quotient of 1
AT = Averaging time for child (6 years x 365 days/year x 24 hours/day)
EF = Exposure frequency (350 days/year)
ED = Exposure duration for child (6 years)
ET = Exposure time (24 hours/day)
RfC_i = Inhalation reference concentration in (chemical specific) (mg/m^3)
PEF = Particulate emission factor used for dusts (1.2 \times 10^9 m^3/kg)
VF = Volatilization factor used for volatile organic chemicals (m^3/kg)
Skin Contact of Carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 5

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{TR \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{SF_o \times EF \times SFS_{adj} \times ABS \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg}} \]

where:

- TR = Target risk of 10^-6
- AT = Averaging time (70 years)
- SF_o = Oral cancer slope factor (chemical specific) (mg/kg-day)^{-1}
- EF = Exposure frequency (350 days/year)
- SFS_{adj} = Skin contact factor for soils (295 mg-year/kg-day)
- ABS = Skin absorption (chemical specific)

Skin Contact of Non-carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 6

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{THQ \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ day/year}}{EF \times ED \times \frac{1}{RfD_o} \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times SA \times AF \times ABS} \]

where:

- THQ = Target hazard quotient of 1
- BW = Body weight of child (15 kg)
- AT = Averaging time of child (6 years)
- EF = Exposure frequency (350 days/year)
- ED = Exposure duration of child (6 years)
- RfD_o = Oral reference dose (chemical-specific) (mg/kg-day)
- SA = Surface area of child (2,373 cm^2/day)
- AF = Adherence factor of child (0.2 mg/cm^2)
- ABS = Skin absorption (chemical specific)

Soil BCL for Combined Exposure Pathways for Carcinogenic Contaminants for Residential Receptor

Eq. 7

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\text{Eq. 1}}} + \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 3}} + \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 5}} \]
Soil BCL for Combined Exposure Pathways for Non-carcinogenic Contaminants for Residential Receptor-

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 2}} + \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 4}} + \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 6}} \]

Equation 4 for uses the PEF approach for solids and the VF approach for volatile compounds.

3.2 Equations for the Indoor Commercial/Industrial Worker Scenario (Soil)

**Ingestion of Carcinogenic Contaminants**

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{\text{TR} \times \text{BW} \times \text{AT} \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{\text{SF}_o \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{IRS}} \]

where:
- TR = Target risk of \(10^{-6}\)
- AT = Averaging time (70 years)
- BW = Body weight of adult (80 kg)
- SF\(_o\) = Oral cancer slope factor (chemical specific) (mg/kg-day\(^{-1}\))
- EF = Exposure frequency (250 days/year)
- ED = Exposure duration (25 years)
- IRS = Soil ingestion rate for adult (50 mg/day)

**Ingestion of Non-carcinogenic Contaminants**

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{\text{THQ} \times \text{BW} \times \text{AT} \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{\frac{1}{\text{RfD}_o} \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{IRS}} \]

where:
- THQ = Target hazard quotient of 1
- BW = Body weight of adult (80 kg)
- AT = Averaging time (25 years)
- RfD\(_o\) = Oral reference dose (chemical specific) (mg/kg-day)
- EF = Exposure frequency (250 days/year)
- ED = Exposure duration (25 years)
\[ \text{IRS} = \text{Ingestion rate for soil (50 mg/day)} \]

**Inhalation of Carcinogenic Contaminants**

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{\text{TR} \times \text{AT}}{\text{IUR}_i \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{ET} \times \text{CF} \times [(\frac{1}{\text{PEF}}) \text{ or } (\frac{1}{\text{VF}})]} \]

where:
- \( \text{TR} = \text{Target risk of } 10^{-6} \)
- \( \text{AT} = \text{Averaging time (70 years x 365 days/year x 24 hours/day)} \)
- \( \text{IUR}_i = \text{Inhalation unit risk (chemical-specific) } (\mu g/m^3)^{-1} \)
- \( \text{EF} = \text{Exposure frequency (250 days/year)} \)
- \( \text{ED} = \text{Exposure duration (25 years)} \)
- \( \text{ET} = \text{Exposure time (8 hours/day)} \)
- \( \text{CF} = \text{Conversion Factor (1,000 } \mu g/mg) \)
- \( \text{PEF} = \text{Particulate emission factor used for dusts (1.2} \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg)} \)
- \( \text{VF} = \text{Volatilization factor used for volatile organic chemicals (m}^3/\text{kg)} \)

**Inhalation of Non-carcinogenic Contaminants**

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{\text{THQ} \times \text{AT}}{\text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{ET} \times (\frac{1}{\text{RfC}_i}) \times [(\frac{1}{\text{PEF}}) \text{ or } (\frac{1}{\text{VF}})]} \]

where:
- \( \text{THQ} = \text{Target hazard quotient of 1} \)
- \( \text{AT} = \text{Averaging time (25 years x 365 days/year x 24 hours/day)} \)
- \( \text{EF} = \text{Exposure frequency (250 days/year)} \)
- \( \text{ED} = \text{Exposure duration (25 years)} \)
- \( \text{ET} = \text{Exposure time (8 hours/day)} \)
- \( \text{RfC}_i = \text{Inhalation reference concentration in (chemical specific) (mg/m}^3) \)
- \( \text{PEF} = \text{Particulate emission factor used for dusts (1.2} \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg)} \)
- \( \text{VF} = \text{Volatilization factor used for volatile organic chemicals (m}^3/\text{kg)} \)

Dermal contact pathway is not quantitatively evaluated as per USEPA (2002a, 2004a).
Soil BCL for Combined Exposure Pathways for Carcinogenic Contaminants for Indoor Commercial/Industrial Worker

Eq. 13

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 9}} + \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 11}} \]

Soil BCL for Combined Exposure Pathways for Non-carcinogenic Contaminants for Indoor Commercial/Industrial Worker

Eq. 14

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 10}} + \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 12}} \]

3.3 Equations for the Outdoor Commercial/Industrial Worker Scenario (Soil)

Ingestion of Carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 15

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{\text{TR} \times \text{BW} \times \text{AT} \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{\text{SF}_O \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{IRS}} \]

where:

TR = Target risk of $10^{-6}$
AT = Averaging time (70 years)
BW = Body weight of adult (80 kg)
SF$_O$ = Oral cancer slope factor (chemical-specific) (mg/kg-day)$^{-1}$
EF = Exposure frequency (225 days/year)
ED = Exposure duration (25 years)
IRS = Soil ingestion rate for adult (100 mg/day)

Ingestion of Non-carcinogenic Contaminants
Eq. 16

\[ BCL \, \text{mg/kg} = \frac{\text{THQ} \times \text{BW} \times \text{AT} \times 365 \, \text{days/year}}{\frac{1}{\text{RfD}_o} \times 10^{-6} \, \text{kg/mg} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{IRS}} \]

where:
- \( \text{THQ} \) = Target hazard quotient of 1
- \( \text{BW} \) = Body weight of adult (80 kg)
- \( \text{AT} \) = Averaging time (25 years)
- \( \text{RfD}_o \) = Oral reference dose (chemical-specific) (mg/kg-day)
- \( \text{EF} \) = Exposure frequency (225 days/year)
- \( \text{ED} \) = Exposure duration (25 years)
- \( \text{IRS} \) = Soil ingestion rate for adult (100 mg/day)

Inhalation of Carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 17

\[ BCL \, \text{mg/kg} = \frac{\text{TR} \times \text{AT}}{\text{IUR}_i \times \text{EF} \times \text{ET} \times \text{CF} \times [(\frac{1}{\text{PEF}}) \text{ or } (\frac{1}{\text{VF}})]} \]

where:
- \( \text{TR} \) = Target risk of 10^{-6}
- \( \text{AT} \) = Averaging time (70 years x 365 days/year x 24 hours/day)
- \( \text{IUR}_i \) = Inhalation unit risk (chemical specific) (\( \mu \)g/m³)-1
- \( \text{EF} \) = Exposure frequency (225 days/year)
- \( \text{ED} \) = Exposure duration (25 years)
- \( \text{ET} \) = Exposure time (8 hours/day)
- \( \text{CF} \) = Conversion Factor (1,000 \( \mu \)g/mg)
- \( \text{PEF} \) = Particulate emission factor used for dusts (1.2\times10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg})
- \( \text{VF} \) = Volatilization factor used for volatile organic chemicals (m³/kg)

Inhalation of Non-carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 18

\[ BCL \, \text{mg/kg} = \frac{\text{THQ} \times \text{AT}}{\text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{ET} \times \left(\frac{1}{\text{RfC}_i}\right) \times [(\frac{1}{\text{PEF}}) \text{ or } (\frac{1}{\text{VF}})]} \]

where:
- \( \text{THQ} \) = Target hazard quotient of 1
- \( \text{AT} \) = Averaging time (25 years x 365 days/year x 24 hours/day)
- \( \text{EF} \) = Exposure frequency (225 days/year)
Skin Contact with Carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 19

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{TR \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times ED \times SF_0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times SA \times AF \times ABS} \]

where:
- TR = Target risk of $10^{-6}$
- BW = Body weight of adult (80 kg)
- AT = Averaging time of worker (25 years)
- EF = Exposure frequency (225 days/year)
- ED = Exposure duration of worker (25 years)
- SF_0 = Oral cancer slope factor (chemical specific) (mg/kg-day)$^{-1}$
- SA = Surface area exposed for adult (3470 cm$^2$/day)
- AF = Adherence factor (0.12 mg/cm$^2$)
- ABS = Skin absorption (chemical specific)

Skin Contact with Non-carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 20

\[ BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{THQ \times BW \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times ED \times 1 \times \frac{1}{RfD_0} \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mg} \times SA \times AF \times ABS} \]

where:
- THQ = Target hazard quotient of 1
- BW = Body weight of adult (80 kg)
- AT = Averaging time of outdoor worker (25 years)
- EF = Exposure frequency (225 days/year)
- ED = Exposure duration of worker (25 years)
- RfD_0 = Oral reference dose (chemical specific) (mg/kg-day)
- SA = Surface area exposed for adult (3527 cm$^2$/day)
- AF = Adherence factor (0.12 mg/cm$^2$)
- ABS = Skin absorption (chemical-specific)
Soil BCL for Combined Exposure Pathways for Carcinogenic Contaminants for Outdoor Commercial/Industrial Worker

Eq. 21

\[
BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\text{Eq. 15}} + \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 17}} + \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 19}}}
\]

Soil BCL for Combined Exposure Pathways for Non-carcinogenic Contaminants for Outdoor Commercial/Industrial Worker

Eq. 22

\[
BCL \text{ mg/kg} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\text{Eq. 16}} + \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 18}} + \frac{1}{\text{Eq. 20}}}
\]

3.4 Equations for Ambient Air

Inhalation of Carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 23

\[
BCL \text{ (µg/m}^3\text{)} = \frac{\text{TR} \times \text{AT}}{\text{ET} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{IUR}_i}
\]

where:
- TR = Target risk of $10^{-6}$
- AT = Averaging time (70 years x 365 days/year x 24 hours/day)
- ET = Exposure time (24 hours/day)
- EF = Exposure frequency (350 days/year)
- ED = Exposure duration of adult resident (26 years)
- IUR$_i$ = Inhalation unit risk (chemical specific) (µg/m$^3$)$^{-1}$

Inhalation of Non-carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 24

\[
BCL \text{ (µg/m}^3\text{)} = \frac{\text{THQ} \times \text{AT} \times 1,000 \text{ µg/mg}}{\text{ET} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \frac{1}{\text{RfC}_i}}
\]

where:
- THQ = Target hazard quotient of 1
- AT = Averaging time (6 years x 365 days/year x 24 hours/day)
ET = Exposure time (24 hours/day)
EF = Exposure frequency (350 days/year)
ED = Exposure duration (6 years)
RfCi = Inhalation reference concentration (chemical-specific) (mg/m³).

3.5 Equations for Residential Tap Water

Ingestion and Inhalation of Carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 25

\[
BCL (\mu g/l) = \frac{TR \times AT \times 365 \text{ days/year}}{EF \times [(IFW_{adj} \times SF_o \times 0.001 \text{ mg/\mu g}) + (ED \times VF \times IUR_i)hsi]}
\]

where:
TR = Target risk of 10⁻⁶
AT = Averaging time (70 years)
EF = Exposure frequency (350 days/year)
ED = Exposure duration (26 years)
IFW_{adj} = Ingestion factor for water (0.94 L-year/kg-day)
SF_o = Oral cancer slope factor (chemical specific) (mg/kg-day)⁻¹
VF = Volatilization factor for water (0.5 L/m³)
IUR_i = Inhalation unit risk (chemical specific) (\mu g/m³)⁻¹
* Inhalation component of the equation is calculated only for volatile organic chemicals.

Ingestion and Inhalation of Non-carcinogenic Contaminants

Eq. 26

\[
BCL \mu g/L = \frac{THQ \times ED \times 365 \text{ days/year} \times 1,000 \mu g/mg}{EF \times ED \times [(\frac{IRW}{RfD_o \times BW}) + (VF \times \frac{1}{RfCi})hsi]}
\]

where:
THQ = Target hazard quotient of 1
BW = Body weight of adult (80 kg)
EF = Exposure frequency (350 days/year)
ED = Exposure duration (26 years)
IRW = Drinking water ingestion (2.5 L/day)
RfD_o = Oral reference dose (chemical specific) (mg/kg-day)
VF = Volatilization factor for water (0.5 L/m³)
RfCi = Inhalation reference concentration (chemical specific) (mg/m³)
* Inhalation part of equation only calculated for volatile organic chemicals
Table 1 provides the Standard Default Exposure Factors used in the preceding equations.

### 3.6 Development of Final Human Health Soil BCLs

Several values are compared to develop the final soil BCL. These include the comparison of the health-based BCL to a maximum soil concentration of 100,000 mg/kg for the less toxic chemicals, and to the soil saturation limit, the lower of which is used as the final BCL. These equations are listed below.

#### Residential Soil BCL

If the contaminant is a solid, the following applies:

**Eq. 27a** \[
\text{BCL (mg/kg)} = \text{Minimum value from Eq. 7, Eq. 8*, or 100,000 mg/kg}
\]

*Equation 8 uses the Eq. 4 option.

If the contaminant is not a solid, then the following applies:

**Eq. 27b** \[
\text{BCL (mg/kg)} = \text{Minimum value from saturation, Eq. 7, Eq. 8*, or 100,000 mg/kg}
\]

*Equation 8 uses the Eq. 4 option.

#### Commercial/Industrial Soil BCL

If the contaminant is a solid, the following applies:

**Eq. 28a** \[
\text{BCL (mg/kg)} = \text{Minimum value from Eq. 13, Eq. 14, or 100,000 mg/kg}
\]

If the contaminant is not a solid, the following applies:

**Eq. 28b** \[
\text{BCL (mg/kg)} = \text{Minimum value from saturation, Eq. 13, Eq. 14, or 100,000 mg/kg}
\]
Commercial/Industrial BCL

If the contaminant is a solid, the following applies:

Eq. 29a  \[ \text{BCL (mg/kg)} = \text{Minimum value from Eq. 21, Eq. 22, or 100,000 mg/kg} \]

If the contaminant is not a solid, the following applies:

Eq. 29b  \[ \text{BCL (mg/kg)} = \text{Minimum value from saturation, Eq. 21, Eq. 22, or 100,000 mg/kg} \]

Ambient Air BCL

Eq. 30  \[ \text{BCL (µg/m}^3) = \text{Minimum value from Eq. 23 or Eq. 24} \]

Residential Water BCL

Eq. 31  \[ \text{BCL (µg/L)} = \text{MCL. If an MCL is not assigned, then the minimum value from Eq. 25 or Eq. 26 is used.} \]

3.7 Screening with Multiple Contaminants

A suggested stepwise approach for BCL-screening of sites with multiple pollutants (for each environmental medium of interest) is as follows:

- Compile existing site data.
- Use the CSM to identify all known and potential site contaminants in the BCL Table. Record the BCL concentrations for various media and note whether the chemical has been assigned cancer (indicated by “ca”) and/or non-cancer (indicated by “nc”) toxicological criteria. Segregate cancer BCLs from non-cancer BCLs and exclude (but do not eliminate) non-risk based BCLs (“sat” or “max”).
- For cancer risk estimates, divide the site exposure point concentration (maximum or 95% UCL) by the BCL concentration designated for cancer evaluation (“ca”). Multiply this ratio by 10^-6 to estimate chemical-specific risk for a reasonable maximum exposure (RME). For multiple pollutants, add this risk estimate for each chemical as follows:

\[ \text{Risk} = \left( \frac{\text{Conc}_x}{\text{BCL}_x} \right) + \left( \frac{\text{Conc}_y}{\text{BCL}_y} \right) + \cdots + \left( \frac{\text{Conc}_z}{\text{BCL}_z} \right) \times 10^{-6} \]

- For non-cancer hazard estimates, divide the site exposure point concentration term by the respective non-cancer BCL (designated as “nc”) and sum the ratios for multiple
The cumulative ratio represents a screening non-cancer hazard index (HI). A screening hazard index of 1 or less is considered “safe”. A ratio greater than 1 suggests the need for further evaluation (see USEPA, 1989a, page 8-14 for segregation of hazard indices by effect and mechanism of action). [Note that carcinogens may also have an associated non-cancer BCL that is not listed in the BCL Table. To obtain these values, the user should view or download the BCL Calculations Tables at the BCL website and display the appropriate sections.]

\[
\text{Hazard Index} = \left[ \frac{(\text{Conc}_x)}{(\text{BCL}_x)} + \frac{(\text{Conc}_y)}{(\text{BCL}_y)} + \ldots + \frac{(\text{Conc}_z)}{(\text{BCL}_z)} \right]
\]

For initial screening of data when multiple chemicals have been released, a simplified conservative approach of employing one-tenth of the BCL can be applied.

3.8 BCLs for Chemicals with Special Considerations

Most of the BCLs are derived using the equations provided in Sections 3.1 through 3.5. However, there are some chemicals for which the additional information is required. These special cases are discussed below

Asbestos
Technical Guidance for the Calculation of Asbestos-Related Risk in Soils for the Basic Management Incorporated (BMI) Complex and Common Areas (NDEP, 2009a) (https://ndep.nv.gov/resources/asbestos-and-asbestos-specific-risk-assessment) provides a guidance framework for characterizing asbestos-related risks (ARR) in soils. This NDEP guidance document provides methodological direction to evaluate soil disturbing activities in areas with known or suspected presence of asbestos contaminated soils and is based on the 2003 draft protocol for assessing ARR prepared for USEPA’s Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) (Berman and Crump, 2003, Berman 2003a; 2003b; 2005). This guidance document is also accompanied by a spreadsheet that can be used as a template for estimating ARR. At present, the inhalation cancer potency factor for asbestos fibers provided by USEPA in the IRIS electronic database\(^8\) is based on dose-response information summarized in USEPA (1986). The NDEP has chosen to utilize the more recent method for assessing ARR proposed in Berman and Crump (2003) and fully described in the guidance document.

Bromide
The World Health Organization (WHO) has established an acceptable daily intake (ADI) of 0.4 mg/kg body weight in drinking water (WHO, 2009). This ADI is used as the RfD for bromide in for the calculation of BCLs.

Cadmium
Because IRIS provides different oral RfDs for cadmium in water and in foods, the BCL for cadmium in water is based on the oral RfD for water of 0.0005 mg/kg-d, and the BCL for soil

---

\(^8\) A database of non-cancer and cancer health effects information maintained by USEPA’s National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA), used to support risk assessment activities under Superfund and other USEPA programs.
ingestion is based on the RfD for food of 0.001 mg/kg-d. It should be noted that the BCL for tap water is based on the MCL for cadmium of 5 µg/l.

**Chlorate**
In 2002, the California Environmental Protection Agency’s (Cal-EPA) Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA, 2002) conducted a review of the published toxicology literature for chlorate during their review of a proposed water action level derived by the Cal-EPA’s Department of Pesticide Regulation. During this review, OEHHA recommended using a rodent study by McCauley et al. (1995) to derive the water action level for chlorate. McCauley et al. (1995) exposed male and female Sprague-Dawley rats to 3, 12, or 48 mM sodium chlorate in drinking water for 90 days. Body weight changes and effects to the blood, pituitary, and thyroid were noted in the two higher dose groups. The NOAEL for pituitary and thyroid effects were 30 and 42 mg/kg-day for males and females, respectively. Cal-EPA recommended a composite uncertainty factor of 1,000 (100 to account for inter- and intra-species differences and 10 to account for subchronic to chronic). An oral RfD of 0.03 mg/kg-day is based on a NOAEL of 30 mg/kg-day and a composite uncertainty factor of 1,000.

**4,4-Dichlorobenzil**
In the absence of 4,4-dichlorobenzil toxicity criteria from standard hierarchy of sources, NDEP has provided interim guidance on this chemical (NDEP, 2009b). This guidance may be found at [https://ndep.nv.gov/resources/risk-assessment-and-toxicology-dichlorobenzil](https://ndep.nv.gov/resources/risk-assessment-and-toxicology-dichlorobenzil) and provides an interim RfD for dichlorobenzil of 3.0 x 10⁻⁴ mg/kg-d.

**Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH)**
The NDEP has adopted a threshold dose value for alpha-, beta-, and gamma-HCH for use in quantifying potential human health risks at the BMI Complex (Integral 2011a, b, and c). These values are 0.0003, 0.00006, and 0.00001 mg/kg-d, respectively. The criteria documents supporting this decision are provided on the NDEP BMI Complex website ([https://ndep.nv.gov/resources/risk-assessment-and-toxicology-hch](https://ndep.nv.gov/resources/risk-assessment-and-toxicology-hch)). These toxicity values have been incorporated into the BCLs for these compounds.

There are insufficient toxicity data to derive a reference dose or slope factor for delta-HCH. In the absence of such data, the Department has adopted the reference dose for alpha-HCH as a surrogate for delta-HCH. This selection was based on similar physical and chemical properties of delta-HCH and alpha-HCH as shown in Table 4-2 of the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) Toxicological Profile for HCH isomers (ATSDR, 2005).

**Niobium**
An oral reference dose for niobium has not been derived by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). In addition, a search to locate an oral reference dose for niobium was conducted using various database sites for other U.S., state and local regulatory agencies as well as international regulatory agencies. This search was unsuccessful. Therefore, we conducted a literature search on PubMed to identify any published toxicity data on the ingestion of niobium. From this literature search, two chronic toxicity studies in rodents were discovered (Schroeder et al. 1970; Schroeder et al. 1968). A review of these studies is briefly discussed below.
Chronic Toxicity Studies of Niobium in Rodents

In both studies, male and female mice and rats were exposed to 5 parts per million (ppm) of sodium niobate in drinking water. This equates to 2.8 mg of Niobium per liter (mg/L) in drinking water (sodium niobate molecular weight is 163.89 g/mol and Niobium is 92.91 g/mol or approximately 56% of sodium niobate; 5 ppm x 56% = 2.8 ppm Niobium). The authors note trace amounts of niobium in the rodent chow fed to both the mice and rats (1.62 micrograms per gram). In mice, chronic exposure to 2.8 mg/L of niobium was associated with statistically significant decrease in overall survival in female mice only compared to the control group. In addition, hepatic fatty liver degeneration occurred in approximately 41% of the niobium exposed animals (Schroeder et al. 1968). In rats, chronic exposure to 2.8 mg/L of niobium was associated with statistically significant decrease in overall survival in male rats only compared to the control group. In addition, glucose in the urine was also reported as well as increased body weight gain in the male mice compared to the control group. No other microscopic changes were reported (Schroeder et al. 1970). The common statistically significant endpoint reported in both studies was decreased survival; although this was not consistent in both genders in mice and rats. Both studies administered a single dose of 5 ppm of sodium niobate in the drinking water. Because effects were reported at this level, the administered amount is considered the lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) in both mice and rats.

The rat study was used to derive a dose of niobium in drinking water. The average weight of the male rat at the end of the study (540 days) was 497 grams or 0.497 kilograms (kg). A daily water ingestion rate was not reported in the study; however, the USEPA has developed an equation to estimate drinking water intake rates based on weight (\( \text{DW l/day} = 0.099 \times \text{BW}^{0.90} \))\(^9\). The estimated daily water ingestion rate for the male rat is 0.053 l/day (\( \text{DW l/day} = 0.099 \times 0.497^{0.90} \)). The daily dose of niobium in the male rat was 2.8 mg/l multiplied by 0.053 l/day divided by 0.497 kg = 0.3 mg niobium/kg body weight per day.

In both studies, the drinking water also had chromium approximately 1 ppm as well as lead in the rat study at 25 ppm and fluoride in the mice study at 10 ppm. The effect of these additional metals in the drinking water and the decrease in survival in both mice and rats is unknown.

Derivation of Screening Level Oral Reference Dose for Niobium

Per USEPA recommendations (2002c), several safety or uncertainty factors (UFs) are applied to the daily dose of niobium of 0.3 mg/kg-day in drinking water to derive a conservative screening level oral reference dose. The composite UF of 3,000 was applied. The composite UF includes standard UFs; these UFs include: a factor of 10 for extrapolating from animals to humans; a factor of 10 to account for sensitive subpopulation of humans (e.g., infants, elderly); a factor of 10 to account for LOAEL to no-observed adverse effect level (NOAEL); and a factor of 3 to account for the lack of a multigenerational developmental toxicity study. The USEPA recommends that the maximum composite UF not exceed 3,000. The toxicity endpoint selected is increased mortality, which is considered a frank effect level (FEL). An FEL is defined as the level of exposure that produces irreversible effects (e.g., mortality) at a statistically significant increased frequency.

The resultant screening level oral reference dose for niobium is 0.0001 mg/kg-day (0.3 mg/kg-day divided by 3,000).

Platinum
Insufficient toxicity information for the oral route of exposure was found for platinum upon which to base the derivation of a reference dose. The European Medicines Agency (EMEA) has derived an oral permitted daily exposure (PDE) level of 100 µg/day for a 50 kg person (EMEA, 2008). This PDE was derived from a sub-chronic drinking water study in rats with a no-observed effect level of 13 mg Pt/kg/day by incorporating a 5,000 safety factor. The USEPA recommends a maximum safety factor (or uncertainty factor) of 3,000 (10 to account for differences between animals and humans; 10 to account for sensitive subpopulations; 10 to account for a sub-chronic to chronic study; and 3 to account for lack of multi-generational reproductive study). Consistent with this USEPA protocol, an oral reference dose of 0.004 mg/kg-day is calculated using the NOEL of 13 mg/kg-day divided by a composite uncertainty factor of 3,000.

Essential Nutrients; Specifically, Calcium, Potassium, and Sodium
Calcium, potassium, and sodium are essentially nutrients and are on the Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) list of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA, 2011).

“GRAS exemptions are granted for substances that are generally recognized, among experts qualified by scientific training and experience to evaluate their safety, as having been adequately shown through scientific procedures …to be safe under the conditions of their intended use.” FDA, 2011

“There is no evidence in the available information on [substance] that demonstrates, or suggests reasonable grounds to suspect, a hazard to the public when they are used at levels that are now current or might reasonably be expected in the future.” FDA, 2011

Therefore, these three elements do not need to be included in a risk assessment for potential human health impacts unless they are present in a compound that is a regulated chemical agent and/or in compounds present at concentrations that may create a health hazard through physical/chemical properties (e.g., extremely low or high pH having caustic potential).

Lead
The residential soil value for lead of 400 mg/kg is based on the Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic (IEUBK) Model for lead in children developed using default parameters (USEPA, 1994). More information on this model and other lead risk assessment guidance can be found at https://www.epa.gov/lead/lead-policy-and-guidance. The industrial BCL of 800 mg/kg is based on equations developed by the technical review group (adult lead model), as described below. The ambient air value is based upon the NAAQS standard of 0.15 µg/mg³ (USEPA, 2008b).

The Adult Lead Model (ALM) is a tool for assessing risks associated with non-residential adult exposures to lead in soil. The ALM focuses on estimating fetal blood lead concentrations in pregnant women exposed to lead-containing soils in a commercial/industrial setting. It is the product of extensive evaluations by the Technical Review Workgroup for Lead (TRW). In December 1996, the TRW released the document Recommendations of the Technical Review
Magnesium
Magnesium does not have a toxicity criterion, thus an oral RfD was derived using the National Institute of Health (NIH) Recommended Daily Allowance data. An age-adjusted oral RfD was derived using the age-specific RDAs provided by NIH (http://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/magnesium.asp). The soil BCLs were derived as described in Section 3.0 using the derived oral RfD of 5.7 mg/kg-d. The tap water BCL was calculated using the oral RfD and the methods described in Section 3.5 and was subsequently used in the derivation of a LBCL for magnesium.

Methyl Iodide
The USEPA has determined a human equivalent concentration (HEC)-based on a NOAEL for methyl iodide of 0.89 ppm (5.2 mg/m³). The toxic endpoint is based on an increased incidence of salivary gland squamous cell metaplasia (USEPA, 2006a). USEPA applied a total uncertainty factor of 30 to account for interspecies extrapolation (UF = 3) and intraspecies extrapolation (UF = 10), resulting in an RfC of 0.17 mg/m³.

Perchlorate
The residential drinking water BCL for perchlorate is based upon the provisional Nevada Action Level of 18 ppb (https://ndep.nv.gov/environmental-cleanup/black-mountain-industrial-bmi-complex/perchlorate).

Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorosulfonic Acid (PFOS)
To derive BCLs for the emerging contaminants PFOA and PFOS, the toxicity criteria used by the USEPA (2016a, b) to develop drinking water health advisories for these two chemicals was used. For PFOA, the oral cancer slope factor of 0.07 (mg/kg-day)-1 and the RfD of 0.00002 mg/kg-day were used. IARC classifies PFOA as being possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B). The oral slope factor is based on a rat study by Butenhoff et al. (2012) that found an increased incidence in testicular Leydig cell tumors in rats. The RfD is based on developmental effects in mice from Lau et al. (2006). For PFOS, the RfD of 0.00002 mg/kg-day based on developmental effects in rats from a study by Luebker et al. (2005).

Polychlorinated Dibenzo-p-dioxins, Dibenzofurans, and Some Polychlorinated Biphenyls
NDEP previously utilized the 1989 OSWER Directive with a modification to address the identified uncertainties regarding cancer potency in humans. For this revision update, the USEPA’s oral reference dose and California EPA’s oral cancer slope factor, inhalation unit risk value and inhalation reference concentration to calculate risk-based screening levels.

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)
USEPA has developed a potency factors approach for calculating the potential health risks from PAHs with the characteristic “Bay-K region,” a structural distinction that defers carcinogenic properties to benzo-a-pyrene (BaP) and the other carcinogenic PAHs (USEPA, 1993). BaP is the

---

10 https://semspub.epa.gov/work/HQ/174425.pdf
best characterized and most potent of the carcinogenic PAH compounds, and hence, the slope factors for BaP are used in conjunction with the potency factor approach to calculate a BaP equivalent (BaPEq) concentration. Accordingly, each of the carcinogenic PAHs must be multiplied by its associated potency factor to calculate the BaPEq. For each site sample, the summed BaPEq concentration is compared to the BCL for BaP. The TEFs are as follows: benzo(a)pyrene (1.0), benzo(a)anthracene (0.1), benzo(b)fluoranthene (0.1), benzo(k)fluoranthene (0.01), chrysene (0.001), dibenz(a,h)anthracene (1.0), and indeno(1,2,3,-cd)pyrene (0.1) (USEPA, 1993). If one of these seven PAHs is detected at a site, then all seven should be addressed for BaPEqs. One half the detection limit in BaPEqs should be used for all non-detect results.

**Refractory Ceramic Fibers**
The reference concentration and ambient air BCL for refractory ceramic fibers are in units of f/m³ instead of the units of µg/m³ as listed in the heading of the BCL tables.

**Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons**
Petroleum hydrocarbon mixtures in soils, such as gasoline, kerosene, diesel, or waste oils, are relatively common, and some groups have attempted to develop non-cancer toxicity criteria based on selected petroleum fractions such as gasoline- or diesel-range hydrocarbons. At present, NDEP does not recommend using these petroleum fraction toxicity criteria. Instead, the indicator chemicals for common petroleum hydrocarbon mixtures should be evaluated, including benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX); MTBE (and other oxygenates and/or additives, where relevant); and PAHs. Demonstrating compliance with respect to these indicator compounds will be assumed to also minimize any risks attributable to other petroleum-fraction components in soils.

However, there may be sites where petroleum hydrocarbons may be present but samples were either not analyzed for the indicator chemicals or the indicator chemicals were not detected in petroleum hydrocarbons present. In those cases, BCLs for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) by hydrocarbon type (aliphatic or aromatic) and by molecular weight (low, medium, and high) were developed. The six TPH fractions were assigned representative compounds for determination of toxicity values and chemical-specific parameters to calculate BCLs. The PPRTV document for TPH (USEPA, 2009c) was the principal source for these toxicity values. The carbon ranges and representative compounds are listed below. An average of the chemical-specific parameters for 2-methylnaphthalene and naphthalene was calculated for the medium aromatic fraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TPH Fractions</th>
<th>Number of Carbons</th>
<th>Equivalent Carbon Number Index</th>
<th>Representative Compound (RfD/RfC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low aliphatic</td>
<td>C5-C8</td>
<td>EC5-EC8</td>
<td>n-hexane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium aliphatic</td>
<td>C9-C18</td>
<td>EC&gt;8-EC16</td>
<td>n-nonane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPH Fractions</td>
<td>Number of Carbons</td>
<td>Equivalent Carbon Number Index</td>
<td>Representative Compound (RfD/RfC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High aliphatic</td>
<td>C19-C32</td>
<td>EC&gt;16-EC35</td>
<td>white mineral oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low aromatic</td>
<td>C6-C8</td>
<td>EC6-EC&lt;9</td>
<td>benzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium aromatic</td>
<td>C9-C16</td>
<td>EC9-EC&lt;22</td>
<td>2-methylnaphthalene/naphthalene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High aromatic</td>
<td>C17-C32</td>
<td>EC&gt;22-EC35</td>
<td>fluoranthene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is important to note that the NDEP’s reporting limit for TPH spills is 100 mg/kg and concentrations less than the BCL do not negate this requirement.11

**Vinyl Chloride**
IRIS (USEPA, 2020b) presents two cancer slope factors for vinyl chloride—one for adult exposures and a second, more protective, slope factor to account for the unique susceptibility identified in young animals that suggests a greater susceptibility to vinyl chloride carcinogenicity in young children. The more conservative cancer slope factor for children of 1.5 (mg/kg-d)-1 and inhalation unit risk of $8.8 \times 10^{-6} \ (\mu g/m^3)^{-1}$ is applied for the BCL corresponding to residential vinyl chloride exposure scenarios, and includes an assumption of lifetime (70 years) exposure for residential receptors as an added conservative measure based on USEPA Region 9 recommendations. The adult exposure cancer slope factor of 0.72 (mg/kg-day)-1 and inhalation unit risk of $4.4 \times 10^{-6} \ (\mu g/m^3)^{-1}$ is used as the basis for the commercial/industrial BCL.

**Chemicals for Which the BCL is Based on a Toxicological Surrogate**
Soil BCLs for the following chemicals that are based on a toxicological surrogate approach include:
- Acenaphthene
- Benzo[g,h,i]perylene
- Phenanthrene
- Diethyl phosphorodithioate (DEPT)
- Dimethyl phosphorodithioate (DMPT)
- m-Phthalic acid

11 [http://ndep.nv.gov/bca/spil_rpt.htm](http://ndep.nv.gov/bca/spil_rpt.htm)
- o-Phthalic acid
- p-Chlorobenzene sulfonic acid (pCBSA)
- Benzene sulfonic acid (BSA)

Documentation of the basis of the surrogate selection for each of these chemicals is provided in Appendix B, Table B-1.

In addition to the surrogate toxicity values discussed above, several VOCs in the BCL tables had oral toxicity values but were lacking inhalation (RfC) values. In the absence of toxicity values from the usual hierarchy of sources, surrogate values were derived based upon structure activity relationships and similar target organs (when possible). These chemicals along with their surrogate RfCs and the source chemical for the surrogate values are provided in Table B-2.

4.0 LEACHING-BASED BCLS (LBCLS)

Leaching-based soil screening levels (LBCLs) (on a dry-weight basis) are provided to evaluate the migration to groundwater pathway. Migration of contaminants from soil to groundwater is evaluated as a two-stage process: (1) release of contaminant in soil leachate into groundwater, and (2) dilution of the contaminant upon mixing in groundwater. The LBCL methodology considers both of these transport mechanisms. The USEPA has previously derived soil to groundwater screening levels for several constituents in their Soil Screening Guidance (USEPA, 1996a). These values are presented in the BCL Table and the reader is advised to refer to the original USEPA guidance document for their derivation.

Additional LBCLs were derived in accordance with USEPA methodology (1996a) for 15 inorganic constituents that have not been derived by the USEPA (1996a) but are included as Site-Related Chemicals (SRCs) at the BMI Complex and Common Areas site, which are:

- Aluminum
- Boron
- Cobalt
- Copper
- Iron
- Lithium
- Magnesium
- Manganese
- Mercury
- Molybdenum
- Nitrate
- Perchlorate
- Titanium
- Tungsten
- Uranium
Also, LBCLs were derived in accordance with USEPA methodology (1996a) for α-hexachlorocyclohexane and β-hexachlorocyclohexane for which the noncancer RfD were updated. Other than the RfD used to estimate the risk-based groundwater concentration for these two chemicals, the same parameters used in USEPA (1996a) were used to develop their LBCLs.

LBCLs were calculated for the 15 inorganic constituents based upon the assumption that the constituent is in equilibrium with the concentration in the adsorbed (soil matrix) phase, the soils are near neutral pH (~6.8), and application of a simple water-balance equation that calculates a dilution factor to account for dilution of soil leachate in an aquifer (USEPA, 1996a). The dilution factor is expressed as the ratio of leachate concentration to the concentration in groundwater at the receptor point. Accordingly, USEPA refers to this factor as a dilution attenuation factor (DAF). It should be noted that if the soils of interest are not near the specified pH used to develop the LBCLs of 6.8, then the LBCLs may not be used for screening purposes.

The chemical-specific LBCL is back-calculated from a risk-based groundwater concentration (RBCG) (e.g., non-zero MCLGs, MCLs, or other risk-based screening level). As a first step, the RBCG is derived based on the assumptions of a 70-kilogram body weight and ingestion of two liters of water per day. For carcinogens, a target risk of 10⁻⁶ was employed; for non-carcinogens, a hazard quotient of 1 was employed. As a second step, the RBGC is multiplied by a dilution factor to obtain a target leachate concentration.

Dilution-attenuation processes are physical, chemical, and biological processes that tend to reduce the eventual contaminant concentration at the receptor point and are expressed by a DAF (USEPA, 1996a). When calculating a LBCL value, a DAF is used to back-calculate the target soil leachate concentration from a risk-based groundwater concentration (e.g., maximum contaminant level [MCL] or tap water BCL as presented in the BCL Table). For example, if the RBGC is 0.05 milligrams per liter (mg/L) and the DAF is 10, the target leachate concentration would be 0.5 mg/L. Expressed mathematically:

\[
C_L = DAF \times RBGC
\]

Where

- \( C_L \) = target leachate concentration (mg/Lw)
- \( DAF \) = dilution-attenuation factor (unitless)
- \( RBGC \) = risk-based groundwater concentration (e.g., maximum contaminant level [MCL] or tap water BCL) (mg/Lw)

The target leachate concentration \( C_L \) is related to the concentration sorbed on the soil matrix \( C_s \) by the soil-water partition coefficient \( K_d \). Assuming equilibrium between the aqueous phase and adsorbed (soil matrix) phase in the unsaturated zone and that adsorption is linear with respect to concentration:

\( 12 \) The calculation of LBCLs assumes that non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPLs) are not present.
\[ K_d = \frac{C_S}{C_L} \]

where:

- \( K_d \) = soil-water partition coefficient (mg/kg per mg/L or L/kg)
- \( C_S \) = concentration sorbed on soil matrix (mg/kg)
- \( C_L \) = target leachate concentration (mg/L)

To develop the LBCLs the sorbed concentration \( C_S \) needs to be related to the total concentration measured in a soil sample. Equation 22 of USEPA 1996a relates \( C_S \), using the above relationship between \( C_S \) and \( C_L \), to the total concentration measured in soil \( C_T \) on a dry weight basis as follows:

\[ C_T = C_L \left( K_d + \frac{\theta_w}{\rho_b} + \frac{\theta_A H'}{\rho_b} \right) \]

where:

- \( C_T \) = total concentration (on a dry weight basis) based on mass of analyte in soil, air, soil moisture, and soil matrix (mg/kg)
- \( C_L \) = target leachate concentration (mg/L)
- \( K_d \) = soil-water partition coefficient (mg/kg per mg/L or L/kg)
- \( \theta_w \) = moisture content (cm\(^3\)/cm\(^3\))
- \( \rho_b \) = dry bulk density (g/cm\(^3\))
- \( \theta_A \) = air-filled porosity (cm\(^3\)/cm\(^3\))
- \( H' \) = dimensionless Henry’s law constant (unitless)

Substituting Eqn. A into Eqn. C gives:

\[ C_T = (DAF \times RBGC) \times \left( K_d + \frac{\theta_w}{\rho_b} + \frac{\theta_A H'}{\rho_b} \right) \]

when expressed in this manner, \( C_T \) is equal to LBCL:

\[ LBCL = (DAF \times RBGC) \times \left( K_d + \frac{\theta_w}{\rho_b} + \frac{\theta_A H'}{\rho_b} \right) \]

Consistent with USEPA 1996a (page 37) mercury is the only volatile inorganic constituent for which an LBCL was derived; the remaining inorganic constituents are assumed to be non-volatile (i.e., \( H' \) is assumed to be zero).
Also consistent with USEPA 1996a, LBCL values are presented in this guidance for DAF values of 1 and 20. The LBCLs were developed using a DAF of 20 to account for natural processes that reduce chemical concentrations in the subsurface soil and groundwater. Also included are LBCLs that assume no dilution or attenuation between the source and the receptor (i.e., a DAF of 1). These values can be used at sites where little or no dilution or attenuation of soil leachate concentrations is expected at a site (e.g., sites with shallow water tables, fractured media, karst topography, or source size greater than 30 acres).

The LBCL values (for DAF = 1 and 20) calculated using Equation E, along with the sources of the various parameter values, are listed in Appendix D Table D-1.

Further NDEP guidance on leaching from soil to groundwater is provided at the following: https://ndep.nv.gov/resources/hydrogeologic-and-lithologic-issues

In the absence of LBCLs in the provided tables, they may be derived following the methodology outlined above using chemical-specific physical parameters obtained from the following hierarchy of sources:

6. Open literature and other sources as requested by the Responsible Party and upon prior approval from NDEP for use in the subject report.

LBCLs calculated via the above provided methodology and sources may be used at BMI Complex sites only following prior approval by the NDEP.

5.0 BCLS FOR RADIONUCLIDES

Radionuclide health effects are based on the deposition of energy in body tissues resulting from radioactive decay. Soil BCLs were calculated for direct exposure pathways related to an individual exposed to site soils, and also for protection of groundwater from leaching of soil radionuclides over time. For each radionuclide, soil BCLs related to direct exposure (ingestion, inhalation, and external irradiation) are back-calculated from a target risk level of one-in-a-million (1×10^-6) incremental lifetime cancer risk. BCLs for the migration-to-groundwater pathway are back-calculated from the following groundwater activity limits (in order of preference): non-zero
maximum contaminant level goals (MCLGs), maximum contaminant levels (MCLs), or risk-based limits based on a cancer risk of 1×10⁻⁶.

Radionuclide BCLs are calculated for a limited number of radionuclides for which soil samples are routinely analyzed at the BMI Complex and Common Areas. These radionuclides include isotopic uranium (uranium-234, uranium-235, uranium-238), isotopic radium (radium-226 and radium-228), and isotopic thorium (thorium-228, thorium-230, and thorium-232). The BCLs for these eight radionuclides and the basis of their derivation are presented in Appendix E.
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https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyNET.exe/9100944U.TXT?ZyActionD=ZyDocument&Client=EPA&Index=1995+Thru+1999&Docs=&Query=&Time=&EndTime=&SearchMethod=1&TocRestrict=n&Toc=&TocEntry=&QField=&QFieldYear=&QFieldMonth=&QFieldDay=&IntQFieldOp=0&ExtQFieldOp=0&XmlQuery=&File=D%3A%5Czyfiles%5CIndex%20Data%5C95thru99%5CTxt%5C00000025%5C9100944U.txt&User=ANONYMOUS&Password=anonymous&SortMethod=h%7C-%MaximumDocuments=1&FuzzyDegree=0&ImageQuality=r75g8/r75g8/x150v150g16/i425&Display=hpfr&DefSeekPage=x&SearchBack=ZyActionL&Back=ZyActionS&BackDesc=Results%20page&MaximumPages=1&ZyEntry=1&SeekPage=x&ZyPURL


ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDED REFERENCES


Appendix A

Annotation of Updates to the BCL Table
February 2009

1. Corrections to Equations 1 and 4 under Section 2.7.
2. Addition of an Indoor Commercial/Industrial Worker screening values to the BCL Table.
3. Addition of BCLs for lithium, titanium, tungsten, and uranium.
4. Correlation of the “a” footnote in the BCL table to lead.
5. Update to the PEF to reflect the Las Vegas meteorological zone per USEPA (1996a) guidance.
6. Update to the iron oral reference dose from 0.003 to 0.7 mg/kg-day.
7. Removal of the cancer classification for 1,2-dibromoethane from the BCL table.
8. Oral SF for dicofol added to BCL table.
9. Inhalation RfD updated for ethylene glycol.
10. Inhalation RfD for tetrachloroethylene removed from BCL table.
11. Appendix C and Table C-1 added to present source of “other” toxicity criteria.

June 2009

1. Citations corrected in Table 1.
3. BCL for magnesium added to table.
4. Radionuclide BCLs added as Appendix D.
5. Leaching based BCLs (LBCLs) added for Aluminum, Boron, Cobalt, Copper, Iron, Magnesium, Manganese, Mercury, Molybdenum, Titanium, Tungsten, and Uranium.
6. Asbestos BCL added.
7. Inhalation pathways revised consistent with USEPA RAGS Part F guidance.
8. Toxicity criteria updated with latest values from IRIS.
9. MCLs used as residential tap water BCLs when available.
10. Dioxin/Furan TEQ BCLs updated.

November 2009

1. Technical HCH removed from table.
2. Soil pH for LBCLs stated.
3. Links in the calculation spreadsheet were corrected for the IURs and their associated citations.
   It is believed that this error occurred during the June 2009 update. This error is not believed to have materially impacted the resulting BCLs and is noted here for completeness.
4. Uranium LBCL removed from Appendix E; the main BCL table provides an LBCL for this constituent.
August, 2010

1. Email contact for questions or errors updated.
2. Typographical errors corrected.
3. BCL Spreadsheet updated to reflect correct BCLs for dioxin TEQs.
4. Removed route-to-route extrapolations for inhalation toxicity criteria including Table B-1.
5. Clarification that air BCLs are for outdoor air only. Indoor air is not addressed by BCLs.
6. PAH BaPeqs methodology clarified to direct user to include all seven carcinogenic PAHs if one or more are detected in site media.
7. 2-Hexanone added to BCL spreadsheets.
8. Updated BCLs with additions of surrogate-based inhalation toxicity values as provided in Table B-2.
9. Corrected the tapwater BCLs to reflect MCLs when available.
10. Updated Industrial/Commercial worker BCLs for 8 of 24 hours (as appropriate).

January, 2011

1. Minor typographical errors corrected for various entries.
2. Toxicity criteria values updated.
3. For 2,3,7,8-TCDD (1746-01-6), there were two entries in the BCL table and BCL calculation sheet for this chemical, one labeled “Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)” and another labeled “2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin).” The entry labeled “Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)” was deleted from the BCL table and the BCL calculations.
4. For octahydro-1357-tetranitro-1357-tetrazocine (HMX) (2691-41-0), there were two entries in the BCL table and BCL calculation sheet for this chemical, one labeled “HMX” and another labeled “octahydro-1357-tetranitro-1357-tetrazocine (HMX).” The entry labeled “HMX” was deleted from the BCL table and the BCL calculations.
5. Four chemicals were added to the BCL tables and BCL calculation tables: 1,2-dichlorotetrafluorethane (1717-00-6), ethanol (64-17-5), n-heptane (142-82-5), and n-octane (111-65-9). All four are VOCs and surrogate RfC values were used.

January, 2012

1. NDEP approved HCH reference doses included in the BCL tables.
2. Equations C, D, and E were edited for minor corrections in Section 4.0.
3. Toxicity criteria values updated.
4. Tap water BCLs for VOCs were corrected for a calculation error.
May, 2012

1. Leaching LBCL added for lithium, nitrate, and perchlorates.

November, 2012

1. 2,3,7,8-TCDD (dioxin) residential and worker BCLs were set to 50 ppt and 1 ppb, respectively. Pathway-specific numbers were deleted.
2. For cadmium, the overall RfD of 0.001 mg/kg-d for food was used to calculate the BCL for ingestion. The BCL for residential water is the MCL of 5 µg/L.
3. For vinyl chloride, the oral slope factor and inhalation unit risk value for the residential BCL was adjusted to account for the 2-fold difference between these values for adults and children. This was accomplished by multiplying by the age-adjusted value of $36/30 = [(1\times24/30) + (2\times6/30)]$.

January, 2013

1. The toxicity criteria for 11 chemicals were updated: cyanide, di-n-octyl phthalate, methacrylonitrile, methyl acrylate, p-toluidine, thallium acetate, thallium carbonate, thallium chloride, thallium nitrate, thallium sulfate, and thiocyanate.
2. The solubilities for crotonaldehyde and vinyl bromide were updated.
3. The Henry’s Law constant for methyl styrene (mixed isomers) was updated.
4. The MCLs for aldicarb (3.0 µg/L) and aldicarb sulfone (2.0 µg/L) were updated.
   Twenty-one chemicals were added to the BCL and BCL calculation tables: acetone cyanohydrin, 2-acetylaminofluorene, aldicarb sulfoxide, ammonium sulfate, boron trichloride, 2-chloroethanol, 4-chloro-2-methylaniline HCl, cyclohexene, Decabromodiphenyl ether, 2,2',3,3',4,4',5,5',6,6'-(BDE-209), diethanolamine, ethylene cyanohydrin, hexamethylphosphoramidate, lead acetate, lead subacetate, mercuric chloride, 2-methylaniline hydrochloride, N,N’-diphenyl-1,4-benzenediamine, safrole, triacetin, tris(1-chloro-2-propyl)phosphate, and zirconium. Two of these chemicals were VOCs: acetone cyanohydrins and cyclohexene.

April, 2013

1. Oral SF withdrawn for formaldehyde.
2. Bromide, chlorate, delta-HCH, niobium and platinum added.

August, 2013

1. Hierarchy of sources for physical chemical data used in the derivation of LBCLs.
February, 2015

1. The toxicity criteria for 12 chemicals updated: 1,1’-biphenyl, caprolactam, 1,2-dichloroethylene (trans), dicyclopentadiene, 3,3’-dimethoxybenzidine, 1,4-dioxane, 2-ethoxyethanol, isopropyl alcohol, lead subacetate, methanol, octahydro-1357-tetranitro-1357-tetrazocine (HMX), and trinitrophenylmethylnitramine.

2. Twenty-nine chemicals were added: Aroclor 5460, azodicarbonamide, barium chromate, calcium chromate, 1,3-dibromobenzene, direct black 38, direct blue 6, direct brown 95, ethyl acrylate, guanidine, guanidine chloride, lead chromate, lead phosphate, nickel acetate, nickel carbonate, nickel carbonyl, nickel hydroxide, nickel oxide, nickelocene, nitromethane, n-nonane, perfluorobutane sulfonate, potassium perfluorobutane sulfonate, sodium dichromate, strontium chromate, styrene-acrylonitrile (SAN) trimer, sulfolane, sulfur trioxide, and triethylene glycol.

3. The exposure factors used to calculate the BCLs were updated using the values from USEPA (2014) Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance: Update of Standard Default Exposure Factors, OSWER Directive 9200.1-120. The exposure factors that changed were: the drinking water ingestion rates for an adult and child; the body weight for an adult, the skin surface area exposed to soil for the adult resident, child resident, and adult worker; the adherence factor for the outdoor worker; and the overall exposure duration for a resident.

July, 2017

1. Chemicals with toxicity value changes due to Cal EPA updates are: lead acetate, Toluene-2,4-diisocyanate, Toluene-2,6-diisocyanate, Dibromochloromethane, tris(2,3-dibromopropyl)phosphate,

2. Chemicals with new toxicity values due to PPRTV updates are: Chloronitrobenzene, p-, Benzoaldehyde, Thallic oxide RfD was derived by molecular weight adjustment, Thallium Selenite RfD was derived by MW adjustment, Picric Acid (2,4,6-Trinitrophenol), Trimethylpentene, 2,4,4-, Tungsten, Sodium Tungstate, Sodium Tungstate Dihydrate, Ethanol, 2-(2-methoxyethoxy)-, Lewisite, Carbonyl Sulfide, and Trifluoroethane, 1,1,1-.

3. Chemicals from HEAST that have lost toxicity values due to PPRTV updates are: Dibromomethane (Methylene Bromide), Trichlorofluoromethane, Methyl Acrylate, Ethyl Methacrylate, Methyl Isobutyl Ketone (4-methyl-2-pentanone), Bis(2-chloro-1-methylethyl) ether, Hexane, N-, Ethyl Acrylate, Antimony Potassium Tartrate, Propylene Glycol Monoethyl Ether, and Chloroacetic Acid.

4. The chemical name associated with CAS 114-26-1 was changed to Phenol, 2-(1-methylethoxy)-, methylcarbamate from Propanediol, 1,2-.

Physical-chemical properties were updated for the following chemicals: Chlordane, Hydrogen cyanide, Cyanide (CN-), and White phosphorus
5. Common chemical names were replaced with technical names for the following: Express (101200-48-0) changed to Tribenuron-methyl; Baygon (114-26-1) changed to Propanediol, 1,2-; Aramite (140-57-8) changed to Sulfurous acid, 2-chloroethoxy 2-[4-(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenoxy]-1-methylethyl ester; Bidrin (141-66-2) changed to Dicrotophos; ALAR (1596-84-5) changed to Daminozide; Dacthal (1861-32-1) changed to Chlorothalonil; Kerb (23950-58-5) changed to Propyzamide; Goal (42874-03-3) changed to Oxyfluorfen.; Bayleton (43121-43-3) changed to Triadimefon; Pydrin (51630-58-1) changed to Fenvalerate; Amdro (67485-29-4) changed to Hydramethylnon; Cyhalothrin/karate (68085-85-8) changed to Cyhalothrin; Baythroid (68359-37-5) changed to Cyfluthrin; Apollo (74115-24-5) changed to Clofentezine; Ally (74223-64-6) changed to Metsulfuron-methyl; Assure (76578-14-8) changed to Quizalofop-ethyl; Savey (78587-05-0) changed to Hexythiazox; Harmony (79277-27-3) changed to Thifensulfuron-methyl; Pursuit (81335-77-5) changed to Imazethapyr; Londax (83055-99-6) changed to Bensulfuron methyl; Nustar (85509-19-9) changed to Flusilazole; Guthion (86-50-0) changed to Azinphos-methyl; Sythane (88671-89-0) changed to Myclobutanil; and Benefin (1861-40-1) changed to Benfluralin.

6. The chemicals perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctanoic sulfonate (PFOS) were added.

7. BCLs categorized by chemical type and molecular weight for total petroleum hydrocarbons were added.

August 2020

1. Updated exposure parameters to be consistent with RSLs. This included changing SA_child to 2,373 cm²/day, ED_A to 20 years, and updating IFS_adj, IFS_madj, SFS_adj, SFS_madj, IFW_adj, and IFW_madj based on changes to SA_child and ED_A
2. Updated T in the VF calculation to equal residential exposure duration of 26 years in terms of seconds
3. Edited equation 26 in guidance document to be consistent with BCL calculation spreadsheet
4. Edited text so exposure parameters were consistent with BCL calculation tables
5. Edited Q/C for VF to 68.18 to be consistent with RSL Q/C
6. Put in vinyl chloride and trichloroethylene RSLs for cancer residential soil ingestion, residential soil inhalation, residential air, and residential tapwater BCLs due to chemical specific equations used in RSLs.
7. Added RBA factor for inorganic arsenic of 0.6 and made all others 1 for the soil ingestion pathways to be consistent with the RSLs.
8. Text was added text on the handling of mutagenic chemicals.
9. The oral cancer slope factors for these chemicals were updated: Butadiene, 1,3-, Chlorothalonil, Cyclohexane, 1,2,3,4,5-pentabromo-6-chloro-, Dichloropropane, 1,2-, Dimethylaniline,N,N-, Direct Black 38, Formaldehyde, Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-
triazine (RDX), Lead acetate, Lead subacetate, 2-Mercaptobenzothiazole, 
Phenylenediamine, o-, Benz[a]anthracene, Benzo[a]pyrene, Benzo[b]fluoranthene, 
Benzo[k]fluoranthene, Chrysene, Diben[a,h]anthracene, Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene, and 
Tetrachlorotoluene, p- alpha, alpha, alpha-.

10. The oral Reference Doses for the following chemicals were updated: Chlorobenzene, p-sulfonic acid, DDD, Dichloropropane, 1,2-, Dinitrotoluene, 2-Amino-4,6-, Dinitrotoluene, 4-Amino-2,6-, Glutaraldehyde, Heptane, n-, 2-Mercaptobenzothiazole, and Phenylenediamine, p-.

11. EPA’s Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Human Health Benchmarks for Pesticides (HHBPs). IRIS has archived 51 chemical assessments for pesticides and for these pesticides has instead recommended the use of the toxicity values presented in the HHBP table. The following chemicals have revised oral cancer slope factors and RfDs based on this guidance: Acephate, Asulam, Benfuracil, Bromoxynil, Bromoxynil Octanoate, Chlorimuron, Ethyl-, Chlorpropham, Cyhalothrin, Cyromazine, Dichlorophenoxybutyric Acid, 4-(2,4-, Dicrotophos, Difenzoquat, Dimethipin, Dimethoate, Diphenylamine, 
Dodine, EPTC, Flurprimidol, Flusilazole, Flutolanil, Folpet, Fomesan, Fosetyl-AL, 
Glufosinate, Ammonium, Hydramethylnon, Imazalil, Imazethapyr, Lactofen, Linuron, 
MCPB, Merphos Oxide, Methidathion, Napropamide, Norflurazon, Oryzalin, 
Oxyfluorfen, Pendimethalin, Phenmedipham, Pyrimiphos, Methyl, Prometryn, Propargite, 
Propiconazole, Sethoxydim, Thifensulfuron-methyl, Thiophanate, Methyl, Thiram, 
Triadimefon, Triallate, and Vinclozolin.

12. The inhalation unit risks for the following chemicals were updated: Direct Black 38, 
Direct Blue 6, Direct Brown 95, Ethylene Oxide, Lead acetate, Lead subacetate, 
Nitropropane, 2-, Benz[a]anthracene, Benzo[a]pyrene, Benzo[b]fluoranthene, 
Benzo[k]fluoranthene, Chrysene, Diben[a,h]anthracene, and Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene.

13. The Reference Concentrations for the following chemicals were updated: Ammonia, Heptane, n-, Refractory Ceramic Fibers, Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, 1,1,2-, Trimethylbenzene, and 1,2,3-, Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-.

14. The following chemicals were listed as mutagens: Acrylamide, Benzidine, 
Chromium(VI), Coke Oven Emissions, Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-, Ethylene Oxide, 
Methylcholanthrene, 3-, Methylene Chloride, Methylene-bis(2-chloroaniline), 4,4'- 
Nitroso-N-ethylurea, N-, Nitroso-N-methyleneurea, N-, Nitrosodiethylamine, N-, 
Nitrosodimethylamine, N-, Benz[a]anthracene, Benzo[a]pyrene, Benzo[b]fluoranthene, 
Benzo[k]fluoranthene, Chrysene, Diben[a,h]anthracene, Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene, 
7,12-, Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene, Safrole, Trichloroethylene, Trichloroethylene, 1,2,3-, 
Urethane, and Vinyl Chloride.

15. These chemicals were added as VOCs to the BCL calculations based on their USEPA RSL designation: Carbonyl Sulfide, Chloral Hydrate, Chlordane, Chlorine Dioxide, 
Chloro-1,3-butadiene, 2-, Chloroacetaldehyde, 2-, Chlorobenzene, Chloromethyl Methyl 
Ether, Chloropicrin, Chlorotoluene, p-, Coke Oven Emissions, Cumene, Hydrogen
Cyanide, Thiocyanic Acid, Cyclohexane, Cyclohexylamine, DDE, Dibromomethane (Methylene Bromide), Dichloro-2-butene, trans-1,4-, Dichlorobenzene, 1,4-, Dichloroethylene, 1,2-trans, Dichloropropane, 1,3-, Dichloropropene, 1,3-, Dicyclopentadiene, Diethylformamide, Diisopropyl Methylphosphonate, Dimethylaniline, N,N-, Dimethylhydrazine, 1,2-, Dimethylvinylchloride, Dioxane, 1,4-, TCDD, 2,3,7,8-, Dithiane, 1,4-, Endosulfan, Epoxybutane, 1,2-, Ethyl Methacrylate, Ethylbenzene, Ethylene Diamine, Ethylene Oxide, Ethyleneimine, Naphtha, High Flash Aromatic (HFAN), Sulfur Trioxide, Thallium Acetate, Thallium Carbonate, and Titanium Tetrachloride.

16. These chemicals were removed as VOCs based on their USEPA RSL designation or lack of VF in the RSL spreadsheet: Carbofuran, Chloranil, Chlorimuron, Ethyl-, Chloro-2-methylaniline, 4-, Chloroaniline, p-, Chlorobenzene, p- sulfonic acid, Chlorobenzoic Acid, p, Chloronitrobenzene, p-, Chlorothalonil, Cresols, Cyclohexane, 1,2,3,4,5-pentabromo-6-chloro-, DDD, Diazinon, Dichloroacetic Acid, Dichlorobenzophenone, 4,4', Dichlorophenoxy)butyric Acid, 4-(2,4-, Dichloropropanol, 2,3-, Dicrotophos, Diethylene Glycol Monoethyl Ether, Diflubenzuron, Dimethylaniline, 2,4-, Dimethylbenzidine, 3,3'-, Dimethylphenol, 3,4-, Dinoseb, Hexachlorobenzo-p-dioxin, Mixture, Disulfoton, Dodine, Endrin, Ethion, Ethyl-p-nitrophenyl Phosphonate, Ethylene Cyanohydrin, Ethylene Glycol, Monobutyl Ether, Ethylene Thiourea, and Perfluorobutane Sulfonate.

17. The following chemicals are listed as VOCs in the USEPA RSLs but don't have VFs calculated for them so they are not listed as VOCs in the BCL table for consistency: Boron trichloride, Chlorine dioxide, Hydrogen chloride, and Hydrogen sulfide.

18. The dermal absorption factor was revised for the following chemicals: Cacodylic Acid, Chloranil, Chlordane, DDT, Dichlorophenol, 2,4-, Dichlorophenoxy Acetic Acid, 2,4-, Dinitrotoluene, 2,4-, Dinitrotoluene, 2,6-, Dinitrotoluene, 2-Amino-4,6-, TCDD, 2,3,7,8-, Hexachlorocyclohexane, Alpha-, Hexachlorocyclohexane, Beta-, and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons: Aromatic High MW.

19. The dermal absorption factor was added for the following chemicals: Carbofuran, Carboxin, Chlorimuron, Ethyl-, Chloro-2-methylaniline, 4-, Chloroaniline, p-, Chlorobenzene, p- sulfonic acid, Chlorobenzoic Acid, p-, Chloronitrobenzene, p-, Chlorothalonil, Chlorthiophos, Clofentezine, Cresols, Cyanazine, Cyclohexane, 1,2,3,4,5-pentabromo-6-chloro-, DDD, Diazinon, Dichloroacetic Acid, Dichlorobenzophenone, 4,4', Dichlorophenoxy)butyric Acid, 4-(2,4-, Dichloropropanol, 2,3-, Dicrotophos, Diethylene Glycol Monoethyl Ether, Diflubenzuron, Dimethylaniline, 2,4-, Dimethylbenzidine, 3,3'-, Dimethylphenol, 3,4-, Dinoseb, Disulfoton, Dodine, Endrin, Ethion, Ethyl-p-nitrophenyl Phosphonate, Ethylene Cyanohydrin, Ethylene Glycol Monobutyl Ether, Ethylene Thiourea, Ethylphthalyl Ethyl Glycolate, Perfluorobutane Sulfonate, and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons: Aromatic Medium MW.
Appendix B

Documentation for Toxicological Surrogates
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Surrogate</th>
<th>Surrogate CAS Number</th>
<th>Oral RfD (mg/kg·day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acenaphthalene</td>
<td>208-96-8</td>
<td>pyrene</td>
<td>129-00-0</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-2}$ (IRIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo[g,h,i]perylene</td>
<td>191-24-2</td>
<td>pyrene</td>
<td>129-00-0</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-2}$ (IRIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenanthrene</td>
<td>85-01-8</td>
<td>pyrene</td>
<td>129-00-0</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-2}$ (IRIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl phosphorodithioate</td>
<td>298-06-6</td>
<td>diisopropyl methylphosphonate (DIMP)</td>
<td>1445-75-6</td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^{-2}$ (Integral, 2006; NDEP, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl phosphorodithioate</td>
<td>756-80-9</td>
<td>isopropyl methylphosphonate (IMPA)</td>
<td>1832-54-8</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-1}$ (Integral, 2006; NDEP, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-Phthalic acid</td>
<td>121-91-5</td>
<td>phthalic anhydride</td>
<td>85-44-9</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{0}$ (IRIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Phthalic acid</td>
<td>88-99-3</td>
<td>phthalic anhydride</td>
<td>85-44-9</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{0}$ (IRIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Chlorobenzene sulfonic acid</td>
<td>98-66-8</td>
<td>NA (RfD based on pCBSA study)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{0}$ (derived by Integral, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene sulfonic acid (BSA)</td>
<td>98-11-3</td>
<td>p-toluenesulfonic acid (pTSA)</td>
<td>104-15-4</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{1}$ (derived by Integral, 2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://ndep.nv.gov/bmi/docs/061031%20surrogate_toxicity_report_20061031_final_integral.pdf

http://ndep.nv.gov/bmi/docs/071116-organicacidprofiles.pdf
TABLE B-1  TOXICOLOGICAL SURROGATES APPLIED FOR BCLS

NDEP, 2007. NDEP concurrence regarding the derivation of toxicological surrogates for DEPT and DMPT, February 12.  
http://ndep.nv.gov/bmi/docs/070212_dmpt_dept.pdf

Note: all surrogate derivations can be found at http://ndep.nv.gov/bmi/technical.htm under “Toxicology”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surrogate</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEPT</td>
<td>Description of DEPT surrogate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMPT</td>
<td>Description of DMPT surrogate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table B-2.
Surrogate Inhalation Toxicity Criteria for Volatile Organic Compounds

**NDEP Basic Comparison Levels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Constituents</th>
<th>CAS</th>
<th>Why On List?</th>
<th>Chemical Surrogate</th>
<th>Surrogate CAS</th>
<th>Surrogate RLC (mg/m³)</th>
<th>Surrogate Criterion Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetophenone</td>
<td>98-86-2</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)</td>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>4.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzaldehyde</td>
<td>100-52-7</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)</td>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>4.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>1.00E+00</td>
<td>ATSDR, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>104-51-8</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)</td>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>4.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>135-98-8</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)</td>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>4.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tert-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>98-06-6</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)</td>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>4.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Chlorobutane</td>
<td>109-69-3</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Ethyl chloride</td>
<td>75-03-1</td>
<td>1.00E+01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beta-Chloronaphthalene</td>
<td>91-58-7</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>beta-Chloronaphthalene</td>
<td>91-58-7</td>
<td>1.00E-03</td>
<td>RIVM (TERA 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Chlorophenol</td>
<td>95-57-8</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>5.00E-02</td>
<td>PPRTV (USEPA, 2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Chlorotoluene</td>
<td>95-49-8</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>5.00E-02</td>
<td>PPRTV (USEPA, 2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethylene (cis)</td>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>6.00E-02</td>
<td>PPRTV (USEPA, 2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>142-28-9</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>4.00E-03</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethylaniline</td>
<td>121-69-7</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Aniline</td>
<td>62-53-3</td>
<td>1.00E-03</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Methyl methacrylate</td>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>7.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl ether</td>
<td>60-29-7</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)</td>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>3.00E+00</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl methacrylate</td>
<td>97-63-2</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Methyl methacrylate</td>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>7.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furan</td>
<td>110-00-9</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Tetrhydrofurane</td>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>3.50E-02</td>
<td>RIVM (TERA 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutanol</td>
<td>78-83-1</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>sec-Butyl Alcohol</td>
<td>78-92-2</td>
<td>3.00E+01</td>
<td>PPRTV (USEPA, 2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl acetate</td>
<td>79-20-9</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Methyl methacrylate</td>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>7.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl acrylate</td>
<td>96-33-3</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Methyl methacrylate</td>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>7.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene bromide</td>
<td>74-95-3</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Methylene bromide</td>
<td>74-95-3</td>
<td>4.00E-03</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl styrene (alpha)</td>
<td>98-83-9</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>1.00E+00</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acenaphthene</td>
<td>83-32-9</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>3.00E-03</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acenaphthylene</td>
<td>208-96-8</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>3.00E-03</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthracene</td>
<td>120-12-7</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>3.00E-03</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorene</td>
<td>86-73-7</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>3.00E-03</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenanthrene</td>
<td>85-01-8</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>3.00E-03</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>129-00-0</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>3.00E-03</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)</td>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>4.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>110-86-1</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>Trichloracetic acid</td>
<td>76-03-9</td>
<td>1.20E+01</td>
<td>TERA 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloropropane</td>
<td>598-77-6</td>
<td>BCLs</td>
<td>1,2,3-Trichloropropane</td>
<td>96-18-4</td>
<td>3.00E-04</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>TO-15</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>2.00E-01</td>
<td>HEAST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Isopropyltoluene</td>
<td>99-87-6</td>
<td>TO-15</td>
<td>Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)</td>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>4.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl iodide</td>
<td>74-88-4</td>
<td>TO-15</td>
<td>USEPA HEC</td>
<td>74-88-4</td>
<td>1.70E+01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethyl Benzene</td>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>TO-15</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>7.00E-03</td>
<td>PPRTV (USEPA, 2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>para-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>TO-15</td>
<td>Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)</td>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>4.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutylbenzene</td>
<td>538-91-2</td>
<td>TO-15</td>
<td>Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)</td>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>4.00E-01</td>
<td>USEPA, 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>TO-15</td>
<td>sec-Butyl Alcohol</td>
<td>78-92-2</td>
<td>3.00E+01</td>
<td>PPRTV (USEPA, 2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tert-Butyl Alcohol</td>
<td>75-65-0</td>
<td>TO-15</td>
<td>sec-Butyl Alcohol</td>
<td>78-92-2</td>
<td>3.00E+01</td>
<td>PPRTV (USEPA, 2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Butanol</td>
<td>71-36-3</td>
<td>TO-15</td>
<td>sec-Butyl Alcohol</td>
<td>78-92-2</td>
<td>3.00E+01</td>
<td>PPRTV (USEPA, 2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propyl Alcohol</td>
<td>71-23-8</td>
<td>TO-15</td>
<td>sec-Butyl Alcohol</td>
<td>78-92-2</td>
<td>3.00E+01</td>
<td>PPRTV (USEPA, 2010b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Appendix C

Documentation of “Other” Toxicity Value
Table C-1 Source of “Other” Toxicity Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Toxicity Value</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methyl terbutyl ether (MTBE)</td>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Oral and Inhalation SF</td>
<td>CalEPA, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)</td>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Oral and Inhalation SF</td>
<td>CalEPA, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Oral and Inhalation RfD</td>
<td>USEPA (Region 9), 2008 Kerger, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tungsten</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>RfD</td>
<td>Kerger, 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


[http://ndep.nv.gov/bmi/docs/ndeptechmemotitaniumtungsten.pdf](http://ndep.nv.gov/bmi/docs/ndeptechmemotitaniumtungsten.pdf)

USEPA Region 9, 2008. Risk Assessment Issue Paper for: derivation of interim oral and inhalation toxicity values for titanium (CAS No. 7440-32-6) and compounds, especially titanium dioxide (CAS No. 13463-67-7), but excluding titanium tetrachloride (CAS No. 7550-45-0_, titanium dichloride and organic complexes of titanium such as titanocenes. DRAFT document; 95-019/05-26-95).
Appendix D

Documentation for the Derivation of Leaching BCL
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>RBGC (mg/Lw)</th>
<th>$K_d$ (Lw/kgS)</th>
<th>$\theta_m$ (Lw/LT)</th>
<th>$\rho_d$ (kgS/LT)</th>
<th>$\theta_a$ (Lw/LT)</th>
<th>$K'_H$ (Lw/LA)</th>
<th>DAF = 1</th>
<th>DAF = 20</th>
<th>MCL Notes</th>
<th>$K_d$ Notes</th>
<th>$K'_H$ Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.5E+03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>7.5E+01</td>
<td>1.5E+03</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromide</td>
<td>11.34628571</td>
<td>7.5E+00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>8.7E+01</td>
<td>1.7E+03</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>6.674285714</td>
<td>3.0E+00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>2.1E+01</td>
<td>4.3E+02</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorate</td>
<td>1.001142857</td>
<td>8.3E-01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>1.0E+00</td>
<td>2.1E+01</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>4.5E+01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>4.5E-01</td>
<td>9.1E+00</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.5E+01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>4.6E+01</td>
<td>9.2E+02</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.5E+00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>7.6E+00</td>
<td>1.5E+02</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCH (alpha) noncancer</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>2.5E+00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>4.4E-04</td>
<td>2.7E-02</td>
<td>5.3E-01</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd c</td>
<td>K'H a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCH (beta) noncancer</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>2.5E-03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.1E-05</td>
<td>5.4E-03</td>
<td>1.1E-01</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd c</td>
<td>K'H a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCH (delta) noncancer</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>2.8E-03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.1E-04</td>
<td>2.8E+01</td>
<td>5.6E+02</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd f</td>
<td>K'H a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td>0.066742857</td>
<td>3.0E+02</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>2.0E+01</td>
<td>4.0E+02</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>189.216</td>
<td>4.5E+00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>8.9E+02</td>
<td>1.8E+04</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6.5E-01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>1.3E+00</td>
<td>2.6E+01</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>5.2E+00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>4.7E-01</td>
<td>1.0E+01</td>
<td>2.1E+00</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>Kd b</td>
<td>K'H b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>2.0E+01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>3.4E+00</td>
<td>6.7E+01</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niobium</td>
<td>0.00334</td>
<td>3.5E-02</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>1.2E+00</td>
<td>2.3E+01</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.0E-01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>7.0E+00</td>
<td>1.4E+02</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>Kd d</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>8.3E-01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>1.9E-02</td>
<td>3.7E-01</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd e</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>9.0E-01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>1.5E+00</td>
<td>3.0E+01</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8.3E+00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>8.5E-01</td>
<td>1.7E+01</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>Kd b</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>133.4857143</td>
<td>1.0E+03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>1.3E+05</td>
<td>2.7E+06</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tungsten</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.5E+02</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>3.8E+01</td>
<td>7.5E+02</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.5E+02</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0E+00</td>
<td>1.4E+01</td>
<td>2.7E+02</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>Kd a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SYMBOLS AND UNITS**

- $K_d$ (Lw/kgS) = soil-water partition coefficient
- $\theta_m$ (Lw/LT) = moisture content (value from USEPA, 1996; page 36)
- $\rho_d$ (kgS/LT) = dry bulk density (default value from USEPA, 1996; page 36)
- $\theta_a$ (Lw/LT) = air-filled porosity (default value from USEPA, 1996; page 36)
- $K'_H$ (Lw/LA) = dimensionless Henry's constant
- DAF (Cleachate/MCL) = dilution-attenuation factor
- LBCL = Leaching-based comparison level
- RBCL = Risk-based groundwater concentrations

**Notes**

- Hierarchy of values used for RBGCs as follows: 1) Primary Federal (USEPA) MCL, 2) Secondary USEPA MCL, 3) USEPA tap water PRG (USEPA, 2004), and 4) NDEP tap water basic comparison levels (NDEP, 2009 BCLs).
- All MCLs from http://www.epa.gov/safewater/consumer/pdf/mcl.pdf and are primary MCLs unless otherwise noted.
- a - Minimum of range given for secondary MCL for aluminum (0.05 to 0.2 mg/Lw).
- b - NDEP, 2009 tap water basic comparison level (BCL)
- c - Secondary MCL
- d - Primary MCL
- e - Value is from Clausen et al. (2007).
- f - Value is from RAIS online database: http://rais.oml.gov/
- K'H a - Value is from Appendix C, Table C-3 of the USEPA (1996) Soil Screening Guidance.
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1.0 BACKGROUND

This guidance, which describes radionuclide basic comparison levels (BCLs) for soils at the BMI Complex and Common Areas, is a supplement to an existing User’s Guide and Background Technical Document for chemical BCLs (NDEP, 2015). The objective of both the chemical and radionuclide BCLs is to assist users in conducting aspects of human health risk assessment such as the evaluation of data usability, determination of extent of contamination, identifying chemicals of potential concern, and identifying preliminary remediation goals. The radionuclide BCLs tabulated in this guidance are considered by NDEP to be protective of most reasonably-anticipated human exposures. It is important to note that unlike non-radiological chemical agents for which quantities are based upon mass in an environmental medium, quantities of radionuclide BCLs are expressed as a measure of radioactivity [i.e. picoCuries (pCi)] in an environmental medium.

A radionuclide activity measured above the relevant BCL does not automatically designate the site as needing a response action. However, exceeding a BCL may suggest that further evaluation of the potential risks posed by site contaminants is appropriate. Such evaluation might include additional sampling, consideration of ambient levels in the environment, or a reassessment of the screening-level assumptions used in the calculation of the BCLs.

The adverse health effects of radionuclides are based on the deposition of energy in body tissues resulting from radiation emitted during radioactive decay. Radionuclides decay by a number of different processes. All types of radiation may cause cellular damage by internal exposure (such as after ingestion or inhalation), and some types of radiation may also contribute to external exposure (from outside of the body). At exposure levels related to environmental contamination, the major kinds of adverse health effects caused by radionuclide exposure are cancer, cell mutation, and birth defects. However, cancer risk is considered the limiting effect of radionuclides, meaning that USEPA considers risk-based decisions using the cancer risk endpoint to be protective of noncancer effects (USEPA, 1989). Therefore, cancer risk is used as the basis for assessing human health risks at sites with radionuclide contamination.

Soil BCLs are calculated for direct exposure pathways related to an individual exposed to site soils, and also for protection of groundwater from leaching of soil radionuclides over time. For each radionuclide, soil BCLs related to direct exposure (ingestion, inhalation, and external irradiation) are back-calculated from a target risk level of one-in-a-million (1×10^{-6}) incremental lifetime cancer risk. BCLs for the migration-to-groundwater pathway are back-calculated from the following groundwater limits (in order of preference): non-zero maximum contaminant level goals (MCLGs), maximum contaminant levels (MCLs), or health-based limits based on a cancer risk of 1×10^{-6}.

BCLs are intended to provide protection of human health without detailed knowledge of site-specific exposure conditions. Direct-contact BCLs are applicable when the anticipated exposure at a site is consistent with, or less intensive than the default exposure assumptions used in calculating the BCLs. When considering BCLs as initial cleanup goals, it is recommended that the residential BCL be used, unless agreement has been reached with NDEP officials that a non-residential land use assumption can be justified. The responsibility for applying BCLs for site-
specific remedial decisions lies with the entity recommending the values and with the risk manager. Before using the BCLs at a particular site, users should consider whether the land use scenarios and associated potential exposure pathways for the site are fully accounted for in the BCL calculations. For example, NDEP BCLs do not consider impact to ecological receptors or agricultural uses of a site.

Radionuclide BCLs are calculated for a limited number of radionuclides for which soil and groundwater samples are routinely analyzed at the BMI Complex and Common Areas. These radionuclides include isotopic uranium (uranium-234, uranium-235, uranium-238), isotopic radium (radium-226 and radium-228), and isotopic thorium (thorium-228, thorium-230, and thorium-232).

1.1 Conceptual Site Model
The utility of a conceptual site model (CSM) for appropriately implementing soil screening is reviewed in NDEP (2015) and described in detail in various USEPA guidance documents, such as Soil Screening Guidance: User’s Guide (USEPA, 1996a), Soil Screening Guidance for Radionuclides: User’s Guide (USEPA, 2000a), and Supplemental Guidance for Developing Soil Screening Levels for Superfund Sites (USEPA, 2002a).

Questions suggested in NDEP (2015) as an initial check for determining the suitability of BCLs relative to the site-specific CSM include:

- Are there potential ecological concerns?
- Is there potential for land use other than those covered by the BCLs (i.e., residential and commercial/industrial)?
- Are there other likely human exposure pathways that were not considered in development of the BCLs (e.g., impacts on areas used for gardens, farming, fishing, or raising beef, dairy, or other livestock)?
- Are there unusual site conditions (e.g., large areas of contamination, high fugitive dust levels, or wetland or floodplain issues)?
- Is there a probable source of gas-phase emissions from soil or groundwater contaminants that may affect indoor air?
- Is there potential for a short-term construction scenario to result in higher risks than those associated with the long-term scenarios assumed for the BCLs?

If the answer to any of these questions is yes, then the BCLs may not be applicable to a site.

The exposure pathways encompassed in the calculation of the radionuclide BCLs are summarized below. Consistent with USEPA guidance (USEPA, 1989; Section 10.5.5), radiation risk via dermal absorption is not quantified as it is likely to be negligible compared with other pathways of radiation exposure.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Media</th>
<th>Pathways Addressed by Radionuclide BCLs</th>
<th>Pathways Not Addressed by Radionuclide BCLs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>Industrial/Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>• incidental ingestion</td>
<td>• incidental ingestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• particulate inhalation</td>
<td>• particulate inhalation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• external irradiation</td>
<td>• external irradiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil (Groundwater Protection)</td>
<td>• drinking water ingestion</td>
<td>• not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.0 INPUTS FOR CALCULATING RADIONUCLIDE BCLs

The inputs to the calculation of radionuclide BCLs may be organized in four categories; 1) toxicity criteria, 2) behavioral and receptor variables, 3) inputs to transport models used to relate radionuclide activities in soil to other exposure media, and 4) a target risk threshold. The toxicity criteria pertain to individual radionuclides, whereas the behavioral and receptor variables are related to particular land use scenarios and receptors. Transport models are used in the derivation of radionuclide BCLs to estimate airborne particulate activities in ambient air and to screen for possible impacts due to leaching of soil radionuclides to groundwater.

### 2.1 Toxicity Criteria

The toxicity criterion used to evaluate chemical and radionuclide carcinogenic health effects is the cancer slope factor (SF). A SF is a quantitative relationship between the dose of an agent and a carcinogenic response. For chemical carcinogens, the SF is usually representative of a plausible upper-bound estimate of the lifetime probability of developing cancer (USEPA, 1989). The radionuclide SF, however, reflects an average estimate of the lifetime risk of cancer (USEPA, 1999). The units of a radionuclide SF are expressed as cancer risk per annual intake of radionuclide activity, with units of risk per activity (pCi⁻¹). For external irradiation, radionuclide SFs define the relationship between annual cancer risk and the radionuclide activity in the source medium (risk/year per pCi/g). Radionuclide SFs are published for both mortality and morbidity, and for exposure routes of inhalation, ingestion, and external exposure. A morbidity SF is an estimate of the risk to an average member of the U.S. population of developing a radiogenic cancer as a result of intake of the radionuclide or external exposure to its emitted radiations.

Morbidity SFs employing the most current nuclear decay data from ICRP Publication 107 (ICRP 2008) are used in the calculation of the BCLs. These SFs are tabulated in the Dose Coefficient File Package (DCFPAK) web application DC_PAK3.02 (https://www.epa.gov/radiation/tools-calculating-radiation-dose-and-risk). Separate ingestion SFs are published for tap water ingestion and dietary exposures. The SFs for food ingestion are applied to the soil ingestion exposure.
pathway. The contribution of short-lived progeny (aka, daughters) are included in the SFs, where applicable, according to the decay chains described in ICRP (2008).

The SFs used to calculate radionuclide BCLs were developed by USEPA using age- and gender-specific values for intake and radionuclide dosimetry. The SFs pertain to the general U.S. population and are, therefore, applicable for use in estimating cancer risks for a general population comprised of adults and children. Radionuclide SFs used in the radionuclide BCL calculations are described in Section 3.0. Radionuclides for which the contribution of short-lived daughters are included in the SFs are indicated using the convention “+D”.
2.2 Behavioral and Receptor Variables

Behavioral and receptor parameter values used in the calculation of radionuclide BCLs are largely identical to those used to derive chemical BCLs in NDEP (2015). NDEP (2015) notes, “…exposure factors used to develop the BCL values were obtained primarily from the USEPA Exposure Factors Handbook and the USEPA Supplemental Guidance: Update of Default Exposure Factors.” These parameters include contact rates with environmental media (daily soil ingestion, water ingestion, and inhalation rates), temporal parameters (exposure frequency and duration), body weights, etc. Behavioral and receptor parameter values used in the radionuclide BCL calculations are described in Section 3.0.

2.3 Transport Model Equations and Parameters

The particulate emission factor (PEF) model described in Section 2.6 of NDEP (2015) is an USEPA screening model for estimating the concentration of respirable particles in air. The PEF model combines an atmospheric dispersion term with a particulate emission model related to wind erosion. As described in NDEP (2015), the PEF model was used with default parameter values for all inputs with the exception of the air dispersion term, which was calculated using model constants pertaining to the Las Vegas climatic zone (USEPA, 1996b). The results of the PEF model calculation are expressed as the volume of air associated with a unit mass of suspended particles. A PEF value of $1.2 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}$ is given in NDEP (2015), corresponding to a 1-acre site. The PEF equation and associated parameter values are provided in NDEP (2015).

Radionuclide BCLs were also calculated that are protective of impacts to groundwater that may be used as a drinking water source. The methodology for these leaching-based BCLs (LBCLs) is described in Section 3.6.2 of NDEP (2015). Unlike the PEF model, which is independent of individual analytes, the soil leaching model is dependent on the physical characteristics of each chemical element or compound. For radionuclides, the equation used to calculate soil activity levels protective of groundwater is provided in USEPA (1996b; equations 22 and 24) and USEPA (2002a; equations 19 and 20). The equation with units for radionuclides is:

$$BCL = A_w \times DAF \times \left(K_d + \frac{\Theta_w}{\rho_b}\right) \times CF$$

Where,

- \(BCL\) = Basic comparison level for groundwater protection (pCi/g)
- \(A_w\) = Target groundwater activity\(^1\) (pCi/L)
- \(DAF\) = Dilution attenuation factor (unitless)
- \(K_d\) = Soil-water partition coefficient (chemical-specific) (L/kg)
- \(\Theta_w\) = Water-filled soil porosity (L\(_{water}\)/L\(_{soil}\))
- \(\rho_b\) = Dry bulk soil density (kg/L)
- \(CF\) = Conversion factor (0.001 kg/g)

\(^1\) The target groundwater activity is the MCL for uranium and radium isotopes and the risk based activity for thorium isotopes (see Table E-1).
The dilution attenuation factor (DAF) relates the vadose zone soil pore water activity to the groundwater target activity ($A_w$). The remaining terms in the model relate the bulk soil activity of a radionuclide to its activity in pore water. Input parameter values for calculating groundwater-protective activities of radionuclides in soil, and the resulting radionuclide specific BCLs, are shown in Table E-1.

As described in NDEP (2015; Section 1.0), BCLs for the migration-to-groundwater pathway will prioritize groundwater limits as follows: 1) non-zero maximum contaminant level goals (MCLGs), 2) maximum contaminant levels (MCLs), and 3) health-based limits (based on a cancer risk of $1 \times 10^{-6}$). The radium MCL is based upon the sum of radium-226 and radium-228. In this instance, a user simply sums the measured soil activity (in pCi/g) and compares that value to the LBCL for radium shown in Table E-1.

Thorium has not been assigned an MCL. Accordingly, the health-based groundwater BCLs for each isotope are used, calculated on the basis of tap water ingestion as described in Section 3.1. Assumptions for drinking water ingestion rate, exposure frequency, and exposure duration used to calculate isotope-specific target water activity are described in Table E-2. All three thorium isotopes decay by alpha emission. If BCLs are exceeded for thorium isotopes, it is recommended that groundwater sampling for gross alpha levels in groundwater (minus uranium and radon) be conducted according to USEPA methods in order to compare alpha levels to the gross alpha MCL value of 15 pCi/L. Only if the gross alpha MCL is exceeded would additional investigation to identify specific alpha-emitters be considered.

Table E-1. Parameter Values and Basic Comparison Levels for Groundwater Protection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element / Isotope</th>
<th>Target Water Activity</th>
<th>Ka</th>
<th>Groundwater Protection BCL DAF = 1</th>
<th>Groundwater Protection BCL DAF = 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uranium¹</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.0060 pCi/g</td>
<td>0.12 pCi/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radium</td>
<td>MCL ( 5 pCi/L)²</td>
<td>1 (L/kg)³</td>
<td>0.0027 pCi/g</td>
<td>0.055 pCi/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorium-228</td>
<td>Risk-based ( 0.14 pCi/L)²</td>
<td>20 (L/kg)³</td>
<td>0.0010 pCi/g</td>
<td>0.020 pCi/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorium-230</td>
<td>Risk-based ( 0.050 pCi/L)²</td>
<td>20 (L/kg)³</td>
<td>0.0035 pCi/g</td>
<td>0.070 pCi/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorium-232</td>
<td>Risk-based ( 0.17 pCi/L)²</td>
<td>20 (L/kg)³</td>
<td>0.0035 pCi/g</td>
<td>0.070 pCi/g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Common Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAF</td>
<td>Dilution attenuation factor</td>
<td>1 or 20</td>
<td>USEPA 1996b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_w$</td>
<td>Water-filled soil porosity</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>USEPA 1996b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_b$</td>
<td>Dry bulk soil density (kg/L)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>USEPA 1996b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Please refer to the main BCL table for the LBCL for this constituent.
² ³http://www.epa.gov/safewater/contaminants/index.html
2.4 Cancer Risk Threshold

Cancer risk is evaluated as the incremental probability that an individual will develop cancer during their lifetime. This cancer risk is the product of the average daily dose (i.e., radionuclide intake or external irradiation) and a cancer SF. The acceptability of any calculated incremental cancer risk is generally evaluated relative to the target risk range of $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-4}$ described in the National Contingency Plan (USEPA 1993).

As the BCLs are to be used as conservative screening values, the *de minimis* cancer risk threshold of $10^{-6}$ is used to calculate BCLs for individual radionuclides in soil and tap water (USEPA 1993).

3.0 RADIONUCLIDE BCL CALCULATIONS

Intake for radiation cancer risk is calculated in a somewhat different manner than for chemical agents. As described in Chapter 10 of USEPA (1989), the general intake equation for radiation dose is analogous to that for chemical exposures, except that averaging time and body weight are omitted. These terms are effectively incorporated within the radionuclide cancer slope factors. Instead of chemical mass, radionuclide activity (e.g., pCi) is used to quantify the amount of a radionuclide in an environmental medium.

With the exception of the radionuclide SFs, the exposure parameter values used for calculating radionuclide BCLs are mostly identical to those defined for chemical BCLs in NDEP (2015). Behavioral and receptor exposure parameter values for the radionuclide BCLs are listed in Table E-2. Parameter names are defined in the pathway-specific equations provided below. Cancer SFs are provided in Table E-3. Radionuclide BCLs for soil are shown in Table E-4. Radionuclide BCLs that relate to protection of groundwater are discussed in Section 2.3.

The general equation for radiation cancer risk that serves as the basis for pathway-specific equations is:

$$\text{Radionuclide Risk} = A \times CR \times EF \times ED \times SF$$

Where:

- $A$ = Activity at exposure point (e.g., pCi/g soil, pCi/L water)
- $CR$ = Contact rate with the environmental medium (e.g., mg soil per day; L water ingestion per day)
- $EF$ = Exposure frequency (days/year)
- $ED$ = Exposure duration (year)
- $SF$ = Cancer slope factor (risk/pCi).
- $CF$ = Conversion factor (0.001 g/mg)

To calculate radionuclide BCLs, the cancer risk equation is rearranged to solve for $A$, based on a predetermined cancer risk threshold (e.g., $10^{-6}$).
3.1 Residential Scenario BCL Equations

For residential scenario BCLs, the contribution to lifetime exposure of both children and adults is addressed.

Soil Ingestion. The pathway-specific residential scenario equation for $A$ for soil ingestion is:

$$ A = TR \times \frac{CF}{[[IRSc \times EFc \times EDc] + (IRSa \times EFa \times EDa)] \times B \times SFo} $$

Where:
- $A$ = Activity in exposure area soil (pCi/g)
- $TR$ = Target cancer risk
- $CF$ = Conversion factor (1,000 mg/g)
- $IRSc$ = Child daily soil ingestion rate (mg of soil/day)
- $EFc$ = Child exposure frequency (days/year)
- $EDc$ = Child exposure duration (year)
- $IRSa$ = Adult daily soil ingestion rate (mg of soil/day)
- $EFa$ = Adult exposure frequency (days/year)
- $EDa$ = Adult exposure duration (year)
- $B$ = Bioavailability
- $SFo$ = Oral cancer slope factor (risk/pCi).

Inhalation of Particulates. The pathway-specific residential scenario equation for $A$ for the inhalation of particulates is:

$$ A = TR \times PEF \times CF \times \frac{{[[(IRA_c \times EFc \times EDc) + (IRA_a \times ETA \times EFa \times EDa)] \times SFi}}{}}$$

Where:
- $A$ = Activity in exposure area soil (pCi/g)
- $TR$ = target cancer risk
- $PEF$ = Particulate emission factor (m$^3$/kg)
- $CF$ = Units conversion factor (0.001 kg/g)
- $IRA_c$ = Child inhalation rate (m$^3$/day)
- $EFc$ = Child exposure frequency (days/year)
- $EDc$ = Child exposure duration (year)
- $IRA_a$ = Adult inhalation rate (m$^3$/hr)
- $ETa$ = Adult exposure time on-site (hr/day)
- $EFa$ = Adult exposure frequency (days/year)
- $EDa$ = Adult exposure duration (year)
- $SFi$ = Inhalation cancer slope factor (risk/pCi).
External Irradiation. For external irradiation from soil, contact is a function of daily exposure time, and the pathway-specific residential scenario equation for A is:

\[
A = \frac{TR}{\left[(\text{ET}_{\text{c,in}} \times \text{GSF}) + \text{ET}_{\text{c,out}} \right] \times \text{EF}_c \times \text{ED}_c} + \left[(\text{ET}_{\text{a,in}} \times \text{GSF}) + \text{ET}_{\text{a,out}} \right] \times \text{EF}_a \times \text{ED}_a \times \text{CF} \times \text{SF}_{\text{ext}}}
\]

Where:

- \(A\) = Activity in exposure area soil (pCi/g)
- \(TR\) = Target cancer risk
- \(\text{ET}_{\text{c,in}}\) = Child indoor exposure time on-site (hr/day)
- \(\text{ET}_{\text{c,out}}\) = Child outdoor exposure time on-site (hr/day)
- \(\text{EF}_c\) = Child exposure frequency (days/year)
- \(\text{ED}_c\) = Child exposure duration (year)
- \(\text{ET}_{\text{a,in}}\) = Adult indoor exposure time on-site (hr/day)
- \(\text{ET}_{\text{a,out}}\) = Adult outdoor exposure time on-site (hr/day)
- \(\text{EF}_a\) = Adult exposure frequency (days/year)
- \(\text{ED}_a\) = Adult exposure duration (year)
- \(\text{GSF}\) = Gamma shielding factor for indoor exposure
- \(\text{CF}\) = Conversion factor (0.000114 yr/hr)
- \(\text{SF}_{\text{ext}}\) = Cancer slope factor for external exposure (risk/yr per pCi/g).

Tap Water Ingestion. The drinking water equation pertains to the calculation of risk-based drinking water radionuclide activities, which may be used as an input for calculating soil BCLs that are protective of groundwater uses (see Section 2.3). The pathway-specific equation for A for drinking water ingestion is:

\[
A = \frac{TR}{\left[(\text{IRW}_c \times \text{EF}_c \times \text{ED}_c) + (\text{IRW}_a \times \text{EF}_a \times \text{ED}_a)\right] \times \text{SF}_o}
\]

Where:

- \(A\) = Activity in drinking water (pCi/L)
- \(\text{IRW}_c\) = Child daily water ingestion rate (L of water/day)
- \(\text{EF}_c\) = Child exposure frequency (days/year)
- \(\text{ED}_c\) = Child exposure duration (year)
- \(\text{IRW}_a\) = Adult daily water ingestion rate (L of water/day)
- \(\text{EF}_a\) = Adult exposure frequency (days/year)
- \(\text{ED}_a\) = Adult exposure duration (year)
- \(\text{SF}_o\) = Oral cancer slope factor for ingestion exposure (risk/pCi).
3.2 Industrial / Commercial Scenario BCL Equations

Adults are the only receptors exposed in the industrial/commercial scenario.

**Soil Ingestion.** The pathway-specific industrial/commercial scenario equation for $A$ for soil ingestion is:

$$A = \frac{TR \times CF}{(IRS_a \times EF_a \times ED_a \times B \times SF_o)}$$

Where:
- $A$ = Activity in exposure area soil (pCi/g)
- $TR$ = Target cancer risk
- $CF$ = Conversion factor (1,000 mg/g)
- $IRS_a$ = Adult daily soil ingestion rate (mg of soil/day)
- $EF_a$ = Adult exposure frequency (days/year)
- $ED_a$ = Adult exposure duration (year)
- $B$ = Bioavailability
- $SF_o$ = Oral cancer slope factor for ingestion exposure (risk/pCi).

**Inhalation of Particulates.** The pathway-specific industrial/commercial scenario equation for $C_i$ for the inhalation of particulates is:

$$A = \frac{TR \times PEF \times CF}{(IRA_a \times ET_a \times EF_a \times ED_a \times SF_i)}$$

Where:
- $A$ = Activity in exposure area soil (pCi/g)
- $TR$ = Target cancer risk
- $PEF$ = particulate emission factor (m$^3$/kg)
- $CF$ = Conversion factor (0.001 kg/g)
- $IRA_a$ = Adult inhalation rate (m$^3$/hr)
- $ET_a$ = Adult exposure time on-site (hr/day)
- $EF_a$ = Adult exposure frequency (days/year)
- $ED_a$ = Adult exposure duration (year)
- $SF_i$ = Cancer slope factor for inhalation exposure (risk/pCi).

**External Irradiation.** For external irradiation from soil, contact is a function of daily exposure time, and the pathway-specific industrial/commercial scenario equation for $A$ is:

$$A = \frac{TR}{\left\{ \left( ET_{a,in} \times GSF \right) + ET_{a,\text{out}} \right\} \times EF_a \times ED_a \times CF \times SF_{ext}}$$
Where:

- \( A \) = Activity in exposure area soil (pCi/g)
- \( TR \) = Target cancer risk
- \( ET_{a,in} \) = Adult indoor exposure time on-site (hr/day)
- \( ET_{a,out} \) = Adult outdoor exposure time on-site (hr/day)
- \( EF_a \) = Adult exposure frequency (days/year)
- \( ED_a \) = Adult exposure duration (year)
- \( GSF \) = Gamma shielding factor for indoor exposure
- \( CF \) = Conversion factor (0.000114 yr/hr)
- \( SF_{ext} \) = Cancer slope factor for external exposure (risk/yr per pCi/g).

3.3 Summation of Pathway-Specific BCLs

The soil BCLs are calculated for each of three exposure pathways; soil ingestion, inhalation of particulates, and external irradiation. These exposure pathways must be integrated to compute a final BCL. Normally, cancer risks are summed for multiple pathways of exposure. In the case of soil BCLs, cancer risk is inversely proportional to the activity of the radionuclide in soil. A lower BCL indicates a more potent carcinogen. Similarly, a BCL based on one exposure pathway must necessarily decrease as additional pathways of exposure are added. Soil BCLs across all exposure pathways are computed as follows:

\[
BCL = \left( \frac{1}{BCL_{soil\,ing}} + \frac{1}{BCL_{part\,inh}} + \frac{1}{BCL_{external}} \right)^{-1}
\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Parameter Definition</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>Target cancer risk</td>
<td>unitless</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>NDEP 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS,</td>
<td>Child soil ingestion rate</td>
<td>mg/day</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRSa (resident and outdoor worker)</td>
<td>Adult resident and outdoor worker soil ingestion rate</td>
<td>mg/day</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRa,adult (indoor worker)</td>
<td>Adult indoor worker soil ingestion rate</td>
<td>mg/day</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Bioavailability</td>
<td>unitless</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(USEPA 2011; unweighted average of means in age categories birth to 6 years (males and females) in Table ES-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRA,</td>
<td>Child inhalation rate</td>
<td>m³/day</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>(USEPA 2011; unweighted average of means in age categories 16 to 81 years (males and females) in Table ES-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRA, (residential)</td>
<td>Adult resident inhalation rate</td>
<td>m³/hr</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>(USEPA 2011; unweighted average of means in age categories 16 to 81 years (males and females) in Table ES-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRA, (worker)</td>
<td>Adult worker inhalation rate</td>
<td>m³/hr</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>(USEPA 2011; unweighted average of means in age categories 16 to 71 years (males and females) in Table ES-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF</td>
<td>Particulate emission factor</td>
<td>m³/kg</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>NDEP 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRW,c</td>
<td>Child water ingestion rate</td>
<td>L/day</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRW,a</td>
<td>Adult water ingestion rate</td>
<td>L/day</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF,b</td>
<td>Child exposure frequency</td>
<td>day/yr</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFb, (resident)</td>
<td>Adult resident exposure frequency</td>
<td>day/yr</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFb, (resident)</td>
<td>Child resident exposure frequency</td>
<td>day/yr</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFb, (outdoor worker)</td>
<td>Adult outdoor worker exposure frequency</td>
<td>day/yr</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFb, (indoor worker)</td>
<td>Adult indoor worker exposure frequency</td>
<td>day/yr</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDb</td>
<td>Child exposure duration</td>
<td>yr</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDb, (resident)</td>
<td>Adult resident exposure duration</td>
<td>yr</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDb, (workers)</td>
<td>Adult worker exposure duration</td>
<td>yr</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETC,in</td>
<td>Child indoor exposure time</td>
<td>hr/day</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>USEPA 2011; Table ES-1. unweighted average in age categories 1 to 6 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETc, out</td>
<td>Child outdoor exposure time</td>
<td>hr/day</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24 hr/day - ETc,in (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETi, in, (resident)</td>
<td>Adult resident indoor exposure time</td>
<td>hr/day</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>USEPA 2011; Table ES-1. unweighted average; adult age categories 16 to &gt;64 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETi, out, (resident)</td>
<td>Adult resident outdoor exposure time</td>
<td>hr/day</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24 hr/day – ETi, in (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETi, in, (indoor worker)</td>
<td>Adult indoor worker outdoor exposure time</td>
<td>hr/day</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETi, out, (indoor worker)</td>
<td>Adult indoor worker indoor exposure time</td>
<td>hr/day</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETi, out, (outdoor worker)</td>
<td>Adult outdoor worker outdoor exposure time</td>
<td>hr/day</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NDEP 2015 (USEPA 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Parameter Definition</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET&lt;sub&gt;a,in&lt;/sub&gt; (outdoor worker)</td>
<td>Adult outdoor worker indoor exposure time</td>
<td>hr/day</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>scenario definition is outdoor exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSF</td>
<td>Indoor exposure gamma shielding factor</td>
<td>unitless</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>USEPA, 2000a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References in parentheses indicate a primary reference. These are included when a parameter value obtained from the chemical BCL guidance (NDEP 2009) is directly cited from that reference.
Table E-3. Radionuclide Cancer Slope Factor Values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide</th>
<th>Soil Ingestion</th>
<th>Water Ingestion</th>
<th>Inhalation</th>
<th>External</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>risk/pCi</td>
<td>risk/pCi</td>
<td>risk/pCi</td>
<td>risk/yr per pCi/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radium-226+D</td>
<td>5.16E-10</td>
<td>3.86E-10</td>
<td>1.15E-08</td>
<td>8.37E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radium-228+D</td>
<td>1.43E-09</td>
<td>1.04E-09</td>
<td>5.22E-09</td>
<td>4.04E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thorium-228+D</td>
<td>4.23E-10</td>
<td>3.01E-10</td>
<td>1.43E-07</td>
<td>7.34E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thorium-230</td>
<td>1.19E-10</td>
<td>9.15E-11</td>
<td>2.85E-08</td>
<td>8.46E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thorium-232</td>
<td>1.33E-10</td>
<td>1.01E-10</td>
<td>4.33E-08</td>
<td>3.59E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uranium-234</td>
<td>9.56E-11</td>
<td>7.07E-11</td>
<td>1.44E-08</td>
<td>2.53E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uranium-235+D</td>
<td>9.77E-11</td>
<td>7.18E-11</td>
<td>1.01E-08</td>
<td>5.76E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uranium-238+D</td>
<td>1.21E-10</td>
<td>8.72E-11</td>
<td>9.36E-09</td>
<td>1.19E-07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SF values obtained from USEPA’s DC_PAK3.02 software, on-line at https://www.epa.gov/radiation/tools-calculating-radiation-dose-and-risk.

+D: Values include the contribution of short-lived daughters.

Table E-4. Radionuclide Soil Basic Comparison Levels (pCi/g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide</th>
<th>Residential BCL</th>
<th>Indoor Worker BCL</th>
<th>Outdoor Worker BCL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>radium-226</td>
<td>9.9E-03</td>
<td>5.2E-02</td>
<td>2.3E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radium-228</td>
<td>2.0E-02</td>
<td>1.0E-01</td>
<td>4.6E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thorium-228</td>
<td>1.1E-02</td>
<td>5.9E-02</td>
<td>2.6E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thorium-230</td>
<td>6.8E+00</td>
<td>2.4E+01</td>
<td>1.4E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thorium-232</td>
<td>6.3E+00</td>
<td>2.2E+01</td>
<td>1.3E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uranium-234</td>
<td>9.0E+00</td>
<td>3.2E+01</td>
<td>1.8E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uranium-235</td>
<td>1.4E-01</td>
<td>7.4E-01</td>
<td>3.3E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uranium-238</td>
<td>6.4E-01</td>
<td>3.2E+00</td>
<td>1.5E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.0 APPLICATION OF RADIONUCLIDE BCLS

Radionuclide soil BCLs were calculated for eight radionuclides; isotopes of radium, thorium, and uranium. BCLs were developed for direct soil contact (including soil ingestion, inhalation of particulates, and external irradiation) and for protection of groundwater due to leaching of soil contamination with precipitation or irrigation. The groundwater protection soil BCLs are related to either risk-based groundwater radionuclide activities (isotopes of thorium) or regulatory drinking water standards (isotopes of radium and uranium). As described in Section 2.3, if groundwater protection BCLs for thorium isotopes are exceeded, groundwater sampling for gross alpha radiation levels could be conducted to determine if current groundwater activities of alpha-emitting radionuclides (including thorium isotopes) are above the USEPA drinking water standard.

Unlike the case with drinking water MCLs, there are no published regulatory standards for chemical and radionuclide contamination in soil. However, USEPA has published regulations under 40 CFR Part 192.12 pertaining to protective levels of radium isotopes in soil. These regulations were developed for sites where uranium ore had been processed, but they have also been used as “applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements” (ARAR) levels at Superfund sites with uranium, thorium, or radium contamination (USEPA, 2002b).
As described in Section 1.1, inhalation of radon gas within commercial or residential buildings is not addressed in the radionuclide BCLs. This exposure pathway could be a significant contributor to potential human health risks, particularly if activities of radium-226 are elevated in soils beneath a building. Risk management decisions related to radium-226 in soil should recognize that indoor radon inhalation is potentially of greater concern than exposure to radium-226 via soil ingestion, inhalation of particulates, and external irradiation.

A suggested stepwise approach for BCL-screening of sites with multiple radionuclides (for each environmental medium of interest) is as follows:

- Perform an extensive records search and compile existing data.
- Take the site exposure point activity and divide by the BCL. Multiply this ratio by $10^{-6}$ to estimate radionuclide-specific risk. For multiple radionuclides, add this risk estimate for each radionuclide as follows:

$$\text{Risk} = \left[ \left( \frac{\text{Activity}_x}{BCL_x} \right) + \left( \frac{\text{Activity}_y}{BCL_y} \right) + \ldots + \left( \frac{\text{Activity}_z}{BCL_z} \right) \right] \times 10^{-6}$$

Alternatively, a simplified conservative approach of employing one-tenth of the BCL can be applied.
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