



Joe Lombardo, *Governor* James A. Settelmeyer, *Director* Jennifer L. Carr, *Administrator*

Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification Application

Please refer to the "Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification Application Guidance" document for assistance with completing this application.

| A. Pre-Filing Meeting | | |
|--|---------------|--|
| Please provide the date that a pre-filing meeting was requested from Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) Bureau of Water Quality Planning (BWQP). | April 5, 2024 | |
| Note: If a pre-filing meeting has not been requested, please schedule a pre-filing meeting with NDEP BWQP. | | |

| B. Contact Information | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Project Proponent Information | Project Proponent Information | | |
| Company Name: Squaw Valley Ranch LLC | | Address: PO Box 1770 | |
| Applicant Name: Jesse Braatz | | City: Winnemucca | |
| Phone: (775) 304-6414 | Fax: N/A | State: NV | |
| Email:rjbraatz@gmail.com | | Zip Code: 89446 | |
| Agent Information | | | |
| Company Name: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | | Address: 815 E 4 th St | |
| Agent Name: Cheryl Mandich | | City: Winnemucca | |
| Phone: (775) 447-0818 | Fax: N/A | State: NV | |
| Email: cheryl_mandich@fws.gov | | Zip Code: 89445 | |

| C. Project General Information | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Project Location | | | |
| Project/Site Name: | Name of receiving waterbody: | | |
| Northeastern Nevada Sagebrush Ecosystem Enhancement | Frazer Creek and Rock Creek | | |
| Project | | | |
| Address: | Type of waterbody present at project location (<i>select all that apply</i>): | | |
| | Perennial River or Stream | | |
| City: N/A | ☐ Intermittent River or Stream | | |
| | ☐ Ephemeral River or Stream | | |
| County: Elko | ☐ Lake/Pond/Reservoir | | |
| | □ Wetland | | |
| State: Nevada | ☐ Other: | | |
| | | | |
| Zip Code: N/A | | | |
| | | | |
| Latitude (UTM or Dec/Deg): (center of proposed project area) | Longitude (UTM or Dec/Deg): (center of proposed project area) | | |
| UTM NAD83 Z11 | | | |
| Frazer Creek: 524928 | 4567649 | | |
| Rock Creek: 527157 | 4564030 | | |

| Township: 39N | Range: 47 E | Section: | ¼ Section: | 1ge 2 01 10 |
|---|-------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| | | Frazer Creek 7,18,17 Rock Creek 21,28,32,33 | | |
| Project Details | | , , , | | |
| Project purpose: | | To improve riverscape health by increasing channel-floodplain connectivity. The resulting annual floodplain reconnection in both creeks will allow for a greater groundwater recharge. The rising water table will assist in the reestablishment of riparian vegetation and perennial forbs and grasses associated with wet to moist meadows in adjacent areas. The project is being planned, designed, and implemented so that it results in aquatic and riparian habitat that resembles an ecological reference. | | |
| Describe current site conditions: | | Both creeks are incised to the | level where the stream ch | |
| Attachments can include, but are not limited to, relevant site data, photographs that represent current site conditions, or other relevant documentation. | | bed has dropped and become floodplains. Rock Creek is in the restoration activities will acce | he process of repairing itse | |
| | | | | |
| Estimate the nature, specific discharge(s) expected to be a activity: | - | The specific location of the pr map provided at the end of th building 119 structures in Fraz Creek. The specific locations of designed. The precise location treatment area does not exer of the proposed work. | nis application. We anticipa zer Creek and 4 structures of individual structures will n of instream structures wi | in Rock be field thin the |


| | | Page 3 of 16 |
|---|---|---|
| Provide the date(s) on which the proposed activity is planned to begin and end and the approximate date(s) when any discharge(s) may commence: | Work will begin when the water flows slow down. This is typically the summer months through the end of the year. Instream work will be completed within 20 days. This may not be a continuous 20 days as work is dependent on weather conditions. | |
| Provide a list of the federal permit(s) or license(s) required to conduct the activity which may result in a discharge into regulated waters (see mandatory attachments): | Army Corp of Engineers project SPK-2024-00258: 2021 Nationwide Permit 27. | |
| Provide a list of all other federal, state, interstate, tribal, territorial, or local agency authorizations required for the proposed activity and the current status of each authorization: | We are in the process of applying for a Working in Waterways Permit through the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection. We have initiated contact with the Nevada Division of Water Resources. Nevada Department of Wildlife was informed of this project last year. No concerns or additional authorizations have been needed for similar projects. | |
| Total area of impact to regulated waterbodies (acres): | Frazer Creek: 0.146 ac Rock Creek: 0.005 ac (Represents the actual footprint of all structures.) | |
| Total distance of impact to regulated waterbodies (linear feet): | Frazer Creek: 119 ft Rock Creek: 4 ft | |
| Amount excavation and/or fill discharged within regulated waters (acres, linear feet, and cubic yards): | Temporary: | Permanent: Woody material: Frazer Creek: 401.8 cu yds Rock Creek: 25.0 cu yds |
| Amount of dredge material discharged within regulated waters (acres, linear feet, and cubic yards): | Temporary: | Permanent: Sediment: Frazer Creek: 47.4 cu yds Rock Creek: 4.4 cu yds |
| Describe the reason(s) why avoidance of temporary fill in regulated waters is not practicable (if applicable): | · | |
| Describe the Best Management Practices (BMPs) to be implemented to avoid and/or minimize impacts to regulated waters: | dewatering, or increased erosion will occur during constructio We will fill any power equipment (e.g., post-pounder, chainsaws) more than 100 ft away from the stream. | |
| Examples include sediment and erosion control measures, habitat preservation, flow diversions, dewatering, hazardous materials management, water quality monitoring, equipment or plans to treat, control, or manage discharges, etc. | Structures will be built when the water flows slow down. This will minimize the transport of sediment outside of the project area. | |
| | Structures at the lower/downstream end of the project area will be constructed first. These structures will then capture sediment as the upstream structures are created and will minimize turbidity. | |
| | Soil will be collected mainly fr immediately upstream from t | rom the stream channel the structure and used as structure |


| | fill material. The soil will be collected using hand shovels and transported via shovels and/or buckets. No stockpiling of the soil will occur. When needed, wooded posts are driven approximately every 1-3-feet to support the structure. These posts are untreated. |
|--|---|
| Describe how the activity has been designed to avoid and/or minimize adverse effects, both temporary and permanent, to regulated waters: | No negative impacts are expected during implementation of the restoration. |
| Describe any compensatory mitigation planned for this project (if applicable): | N/A |


| | D. Signature | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Name and Title (Print): Jesse Braatz | Phone Number: (775) 304-6414 | Date: June | 12 2024 |
| X Signature of Responsible Official | | | |

Mandatory Attachments:


- Federal Permit or License Identification:
 - Project proponents seeking a federal general permit or license must include a copy of the draft federal license or permit and any readily available water quality-related materials that informed the development of the draft federal license or permit, or;
 - Project proponents seeking a federal <u>individual permit or license</u> must include a copy of the federal permit
 or license application and any readily available water quality-related materials that informed the
 development of the federal license or permit application.
- Site Map A map or diagram of the proposed project site including project boundaries in relation to regulated waters, local streets, roads, and highways.
- Engineered Drawings Engineered drawings are preferred to be submitted at the 70% design level. If only
 conceptual designs are available at the time of application, plans for construction should be submitted prior to
 the start of the project. Specific locations of the proposed activities and details of specific work elements planned
 for the project should be identified (e.g., staging areas, concrete washouts, perimeter controls, water diversions,
 or other BMPs).











Frazer Creek Photos













Rock Creek Photos
















BEAVER DAM ANALOG (BDA)





POST-ASSISTED LOG STRUCTURE (PAL)







U S Army Corps of Engineers Sacramento District

2021 Nationwide Permit Summary

33 CFR Part 330; Issuance of Nationwide Permits – February 25, 2022

27. Aquatic Habitat Restoration, Enhancement, and Establishment Activities. Activities in waters of the United States associated with the restoration, enhancement, and establishment of tidal and non-tidal wetlands and riparian areas, the restoration and enhancement of nontidal streams and other non-tidal open waters, and the rehabilitation or enhancement of tidal streams, tidal wetlands, and tidal open waters, provided those activities result in net increases in a quatic resource functions and services.

To be a uthorized by this NWP, the a quatic habitat restoration, enhancement, or establishment activity must be planned, designed, and implemented so that it results in a quatic habitat that resembles a necological reference. An ecological reference may be based on the characteristics of one or more intact aquatic habitats or riparian areas of the same type that exist in the region. An ecological reference may be based on a conceptual model developed from regional ecological knowledge of the target a quatic habitat type or ripa rian a rea.

To the extent that a Corps permit is required, activities authorized by this NWP include, but are not limited to the removal of accumulated sediments; releases of sediment from reservoirs to maintain sediment transport continuity to restore downstream habitats; the installation, removal, and maintenance of small water control structures, dikes, and berms, as well as discharges of dredged or fill material to restore appropriate stream channel configurations after small water control structures, dikes, and berms are removed; the installation of current deflectors; the enhancement, rehabilitation, or reestablishment of riffle and pool stream structure; the placement of in-stream habitat structures; modifications of the stream bed and/or banks to enhance, rehabilitate, or re-establish stream meanders; the removal of stream barriers, such as undersized culverts, fords, and grade control structures; the backfilling of artificial channels; the removal of existing drainage structures, such as drain tiles, and the filling, blocking, or reshaping of drainage ditches to restore wetland hydrology; the installation of structures or fills necessary to restore or enhance wetland or stream hydrology; the construction of small nesting islands; the construction of open water a reas; the construction of oyster habitat over unvegetated bottom in tidal waters; coral restoration or relocation activities; shellfish seeding; activities needed to reestablish vegetation, including plowing or discing for seed bed preparation and the planting of appropriate wetland species; reestablishment of submerged a quatic vegetation in a reas where those plant communities previously existed; reestablishment of tidal wetlands in tidal waters where those wetlands previously existed; mechanized land clearing to remove non-native invasive, exotic, or nuisance vegetation; and other related activities. Only native plant species should be planted at the site.

This NWP authorizes the relocation of non-tidal waters, including non-tidal wetlands and streams, on the project site provided there are net increases in a quatic resource functions and services. Except for the relocation of non-tidal waters on the project site, this NWP does not authorize the conversion of a stream or natural wetlands to a nother aquatic habitat type (e.g., the conversion of a stream to wetland or vice versa) or uplands. Changes in wetland plant communities that occur when wetland hydrology is more fully restored during wetland rehabilitation activities are not considered a conversion to a nother a quatic habitat type. This NWP does not authorize stream channelization. This NWP does not authorize the relocation of tidal waters or the conversion of tidal waters, including tidal wetlands, to other a quatic uses, such as the conversion of tidal wetlands into open water impoundments.

Compensatory mitigation is not required for a ctivities authorized by this NWP since these a ctivities must result in net increases in a quatic resource functions and services.

Reversion. For enhancement, restoration, and establishment activities conducted:

- (1) In accordance with the terms and conditions of a binding stream or wetland enhancement or restoration agreement, or a wetland establishment agreement, between the landowner and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), the Farm Service Agency (FSA), the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), the National Ocean Service (NOS), U.S. Forest Service (USFS), or their designated state cooperating agencies;
- (2) as voluntary wetland restoration, enhancement, and establishment actions documented by the NRCS or USDA Technical Service Provider pursuant to NRCS Field Office Technical Guide standards; or
- (3) on reclaimed surface coal mine lands, in a ccordance with a Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act permit issued by the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSMRE) or the applicable state a gency, this NWP also authorizes any future discharge of dredged or fill material a ssociated with the reversion of the area to its documented prior condition and use (i.e., prior to the restoration, enhancement, or establishment activities).

The reversion must occur within five years a fter expiration of a limited term wetland restoration or establishment a greement or permit, and is authorized in these circumstances even if the

BUILDING STRONG®

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS - SACRAMENTO DISTRICT

1325 J ST. - SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

www.spk.usace.army.mil www.facebook.com/sacramentodistrict www.youtube.com/sacramentodistrict www.twitter.com/USACESacramento www.flickr.com/photos/sacramentodistrict discharge of dredged or fill material occurs after this NWP expires. The five-year reversion limit does not apply to a greements without time limits reached between the landowner and the FWS, NRCS, FSA, NMFS, NOS, USFS, or an appropriate state cooperating a gency. This NWP also authorizes discharges of dredged or fill material in waters of the United States for the reversion of wetlands that were restored, enhanced, or established on prior-converted cropland or on uplands, in a ccordance with a binding a greement between the landowner and NRCS, FSA, FWS, or their designated state cooperating a gencies (even though the restoration, enhancement, or establishment activity did not require a section 404 permit). The prior condition will be documented in the original a greement or permit, and the determination of return to prior conditions will be made by the Federal a gency or appropriate state agency executing the agreement or permit. Before conducting any reversion activity, the permittee or the appropriate Federal or state a gency must notify the district engineer and include the documentation of the prior condition. Once an area has reverted to its prior physical condition, it will be subject to whatever the Corps Regulatory requirements are applicable to that type of land at the time. The requirement that the activity results in a net increase in a quatic resource functions and services does not apply to reversion activities meeting the above conditions. Except for the activities described above, this NWP does not authorize any future discharge of dredged or fill material a ssociated with the reversion of the area to its prior condition. In such cases a separate permit would be required for any reversion.

Reporting. For those activities that do not require preconstruction notification, the permittee must submit to the district engineer a copy of:

- (1) The binding stream enhancement or restoration a greement or wetland enhancement, restoration, or establishment a greement, or a project description, including project plans and location map;
- (2) the NRCS or USDA Technical Service Provider documentation for the voluntary stream enhancement or restoration action or wetland restoration, enhancement, or esta blishment action; or
- (3) the SMCRA permit issued by OSMRE or the applicable state a gency.

The report must a lso include information on baseline ecological conditions on the project site, such as a delineation of wetlands, streams, and/or other a quatic habitats. These documents must be submitted to the district engineer at least 30 days prior to commencing a ctivities in waters of the United States authorized by this NWP.

Notification: The permittee must submit a pre-construction notification to the district engineer prior to commencing any activity (see general condition 32), except for the following activities:

(1) Activities conducted on non-Federal public lands and private lands, in a ccordance with the terms and conditions of a binding stream enhancement or restoration agreement or wetland enhancement, restoration, or establishment a greement

between the landowner and the FWS, NRCS, FSA, NMFS, NOS, USFS or their designated state cooperating a gencies;

- (2) Activities conducted in a ccordance with the terms and conditions of a binding coral restoration or relocation a greement between the project proponent and the NMFS or any of its designated state cooperating a gencies;
- (3) Voluntary stream or wetland restoration or enhancement action, or wetland establishment action, documented by the NRCS or USDA Technical Service Provider pursuant to NRCS Field Office Technical Guide standards; or
- (4) The reclamation of surface coal mine lands, in a ccordance with an SMCRA permit issued by the OSMRE or the applicable state agency.

However, the permittee must submit a copy of the appropriate documentation to the district engineer to fulfill the reporting requirement. (Authorities: Sections 10 and 404).

Note: This NWP can be used to authorize compensatory mitigation projects, including mitigation banks and in-lieu fee projects. However, this NWP does not authorize the reversion of an area used for a compensatory mitigation project to its prior condition, since compensatory mitigation is generally intended to be permanent.

A. Regional Conditions


- 1. Regional Conditions for California
- 2. Regional Conditions for Nevada and Utah


B. Nationwide Permit General Conditions


Note: To qualify for NWP authorization, the prospective permittee must comply with the following general conditions, as applicable, in addition to any regional or case-specific conditions imposed by the division engineer or district engineer. Prospective permittees should contact the appropriate Corps district office to determine if regional conditions have been imposed on an NWP. Prospective permittees should also contact the appropriate Corps district of fice to determine the status of Clean Water Act Section 401 water quality certification and/or Coastal Zone Management Act consistency for an NWP. Every person who may wish to obtain permit authorization under one or more NWPs, or who is currently relying on an existing or prior permit authorization under one or more NWPs, has been and is on notice that all of the provisions of 33 CFR 330.1 through 330.6 apply to every NWP authorization. Note especially 33 CFR 330.5 relating to the modification, suspension, or revocation of any NWP authorization.

□ 1. Navigation. □ (a) No activity may cause more than a minimal adverse effect on navigation. □ (b) Any safety lights and signals prescribed by the U.S. Coast Guard, through regulations or otherwise, must be installed and maintained at the permittee's expense on authorized facilities in navigable waters of the United States. □ (c) The permittee understands and a grees that, if


future operations by the United States require the







- sought from the State Historic Preservation Officer, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, or designated tribal representative, as appropriate, and the National Register of Historic Places (see 33 CFR 330.4(g)). When reviewing pre-construction notifications, district engineers will comply with the current procedures for addressing the requirements of section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The district engineer shall make a reasonable and good faith effort to carry out appropriate identification efforts commensurate with potential impacts, which may include background research, consultation, oral history interviews, sample field investigation, and/or field survey. Based on the information submitted in the PCN and these identification efforts, the district engineer shall determine whether the proposed NWP activity has the potential to cause effects on the historic properties. Section 106 consultation is not required when the district engineer determines that the activity does not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties (see 36 CFR 800.3(a)). Section 106 consultation is required when the district engineer determines that the activity has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. The district engineer will conduct consultation with consulting parties identified under 36 CFR 800.2(c) when he or she makes any of the following effect determinations for the purposes of section 106 of the NHPA: no historic properties affected, no adverse effect, or adverse effect.
- ☐ (d) Where the non-Federal applicant has identified historic properties on which the proposed NWP activity might have the potential to cause effects and has so notified the Corps, the non-Federal applicant shall not begin the activity until notified by the district engineer either that the activity has no potential to cause effects to historic properties or that NHPA section 106 consultation has been completed. For non-federal permittees, the district engineer will notify the prospective permittee within 45 days of receipt of a complete pre-construction notification whether NHPA section 106 consultation is required. If NHPA section 106 consultation is required, the district engineer will notify the non-Federal applicant that he or she cannot begin the activity until section 106 consultation is completed. If the non-Federal applicant has not heard back from the Corps within 45 days, the applicant must still wait for notification from the Corps.
- □ (e) Prospective permittees should be a ware that section 110(k) of the NHPA (54 U.S.C. 306113) prevents the Corps from granting a permit or other assistance to an applicant who, with intent to avoid the requirements of section 106 of the NHPA, has intentionally significantly adversely a ffected a historic property to which the permit would relate, or having legal power to prevent it, allowed such significant adverse effect to occur, unless the Corps, after consultation with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), determines that circumstances justify granting such assistance despite the adverse effect created or permitted by the applicant. If circumstances justify granting the assistance, the Corps is required to notify the ACHP and provide documentation specifying the circumstances, the degree of damage to the integrity



| determined to be the most appropriate form of minimization or compensatory mitigation, the district engineer may waive or reduce the requirement to provide wetland compensatory mitigation for wetland losses. (f) Compensatory mitigation projects provided to offset losses of a quatic resources must comply with the applicable provisions of 33 CFR part 332. | ☐ (6) Compensatory mitigation requirements (e.g., resource type and a mount to be provided as compensatory mitigation, site protection, ecological performance standards, monitoring requirements) may be addressed through conditions a dded to the NWP a uthorization, instead of components of a compensatory mitigation plan (see 33 CFR 332.4(c)(1)(ii)). |
|--|---|
| ☐ (1) The prospective permittee is responsible for proposing an appropriate compensatory mitigation option if compensatory mitigation is necessary to ensure that the activity results in no more than minimal adverse environmental effects. For the NWPs, the preferred mechanism for providing compensatory mitigation is mitigation bank credits or in-lieu fee program credits (see 33 CFR 332.3(b)(2) and (3)). However, if an appropriate number and type of mitigation bank or in-lieu credits are not available at the time the PCN is submitted to the district engineer, the district engineer may approve the use of permittee-responsible mitigation. | ☐ (g) Compensatory mitigation will not be used to increase the a creage losses allowed by the a creage limits of the NWPs. For example, if an NWP has an a creage limit of 1/2-acre, it cannot be used to authorize any NWP activity resulting in the loss of greater than 1/2-acre of waters of the United States, even if compensatory mitigation is provided that replaces or restores some of the lost waters. However, compensatory mitigation can and should be used, as necessary, to ensure that an NWP activity already meeting the established a creage limits also satisfies the no more than minimal impact requirement for the NWPs. ☐ (h) Permittees may propose the use of mitigation |
| ☐ (2) The amount of compensatory mitigation required by the district engineer must be sufficient to ensure that the authorized activity results in no more than minimal individual and cumulative a dverse environmental effects (see 33 CFR 330.1(e)(3)). (See also 33 CFR 332.3(f).) | banks, in-lieu fee programs, or permittee-responsible mitigation. When developing a compensatory mitigation proposal, the permittee must consider appropriate and practicable options consistent with the framework at 33 CFR 332.3(b). For activities resulting in the loss of marine or estuarine resources, permittee-responsible |
| □ (3) Since the likelihood of success is greater and the impacts to potentially valuable uplands are reduced, aquatic resource restoration should be the first compensatory mitigation option considered for permittee-responsible mitigation. □ (4) If permittee-responsible mitigation is the proposed option, the prospective permittee is responsible for submitting a mitigation plan. A conceptual or detailed mitigation plan may be used | mitigation may be environmentally preferable if there are no mitigation banks or in-lieu fee programs in the area that have marine or estuarine credits available for sale or transfer to the permittee. For permittee-responsible mitigation, the special conditions of the NWP verification must clearly indicate the party or parties responsible for the implementation and performance of the compensatory mitigation project, and, if required, its long-term management. |
| by the district engineer to make the decision on the NWP verification request, but a final mitigation plan that addresses the applicable requirements of 33 CFR 332.4(c)(2) through (14) must be approved by the district engineer before the permittee begins work in waters of the United States, unless the district engineer determines that prior approval of the final mitigation plan is not practicable or not necessary to ensure timely completion of the required | ☐ (i) Where certain functions and services of waters of the United States are permanently adversely affected by a regulated activity, such as discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States that will convert a forested or scrub-shrub wetland to a herbaceous wetland in a permanently maintained utility line right-of-way, mitigation may be required to reduce the adverse environmental effects of the activity to the no more than minimal level. |
| compensatory mitigation (see 33 CFR 332.3(k)(3)). If permittee-responsible mitigation is the proposed option, and the proposed compensatory mitigation site is located on land in which a nother federal a gency holds an easement, the district engineer will coordinate with that federal a gency to determine if proposed compensatory mitigation project is compatible with the terms of the easement. | □ 24. Safety of Impoundment Structures. To ensure that all impoundment structures are safely designed, the district engineer may require non-Federal applicants to demonstrate that the structures comply with established state or federal, dam safety criteria or have been designed by qualified persons. The district engineer may also require documentation that the design has been independently reviewed by similarly qualified persons, and appropriate modifications made to ensure safety. |
| ☐ (5) If mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program credits are the proposed option, the mitigation plan needs to a ddress only the baseline conditions at the impact site and the number of credits to be provided (see 33 CFR 332.4(c)(1)(ii)). | ☐ 25. Water Quality. ☐ (a) Where the certifying authority (state, authorized tribe, or EPA, as appropriate) has not previously certified compliance of an NWP with CWA section 401, a CWA section 401 water quality certification for the proposed discharge must |


| cannot comply with all of the conditions of a water quality certification previously issued by certifying authority for the issuance of the NWP, then the permittee must obtain a water quality certification or waiver for the proposed discharge in order for the activity to be authorized by an NWP. | of an upland ditch authorized by NWP 46, the maximum a creage loss of waters of the United States for the commercial development under NWP 39 cannot exceed 1/2-acre, and the total acreage loss of waters of United States due to the NWP 39 and 46 activities cannot exceed 1 acre. |
|--|---|
| □ (b) If the NWP activity requires pre-construction notification and the certifying authority has not previously certified compliance of an NWP with CWA section 401, the proposed discharge is not authorized by an NWP until water quality certification is obtained or waived. If the certifying authority issues a water quality certification for the proposed discharge, the permittee must submit a copy of the certification to the district engineer. The discharge is not authorized by an NWP until the district engineer has notified the permittee that the water quality certification requirement has been satisfied by the issuance of a water quality certification or a waiver. □ (c) The district engineer or certifying authority may require additional water quality management measures to ensure that the authorized activity does not result in more than minimal degradation of water quality. □ 26. Coastal Zone Management. In coastal states where an | □ 29. Transfer of Nationwide Permit Verifications. If the permittee sells the property associated with a nationwide permit verification, the permittee may transfer the nationwide permit verification to the new owner by submitting a letter to the appropriate Corps district office to validate the transfer. A copy of the nationwide permit verification must be attached to the letter, and the letter must contain the following statement and signature: "When the structures or work authorized by this nationwide permit are still in existence at the time the property is transferred, the terms and conditions of this nationwide permit, including any special conditions, will continue to be binding on the new owner(s) of the property. To validate the transfer of this nationwide permit and the associated liabilities associated with compliance with its terms and conditions, have the transferee sign and date below." |
| NWP has not previously received a state coastal zone management consistency concurrence, an individual state coastal zone management consistency concurrence must be obtained, or a presumption of concurrence must occur (see 33 CFR 330.4(d)). | (Transferee) |
| If the permittee cannot comply with all of the conditions of a coastal zone management consistency concurrence previously issued by the state, then the permittee must obtain an individual coastal zone management consistency concurrence or presumption of concurrence in order for the activity to be authorized by an NWP. The district engineer or a state may require a dditional measures to ensure that the authorized activity is consistent with state coastal zone management requirements. | (Date) 30. Compliance Certification. Each permittee who receives an NWP verification letter from the Corps must provide a signed certification documenting completion of the authorized activity and implementation of any required compensatory mitigation. The success of any required permittee-responsible mitigation, including the achievement of ecological performance |
| □ 27. Regional and Case-By-Case Conditions. The activity must comply with any regional conditions that may have been added by the Division Engineer (see 33 CFR 330.4(e)) and with any case specific conditions added by the Corps or by the state, | standards, will be addressed separately by the district engineer. The Corps will provide the permittee the certification document with the NWP verification letter. The certification document will include: |
| Indian Tribe, or U.S. EPA in its CWA section 401 Water Quality Certification, or by the state in its Coastal Zone Management Act consistency determination. | ☐ (a) A statement that the authorized activity was done in a ccordance with the NWP authorization, including any general, regional, or activity-specific conditions; |
| □ 28. Use of Multiple Nationwide Permits. The use of more than one NWP for a single and complete project is authorized, subject to the following restrictions: □ (a) If only one of the NWPs used to authorize the single and complete project has a specified acreage limit, the acreage loss of waters of the United States cannot exceed the acreage limit of the NWP with the highest specified a creage limit. For example, if a road crossing over tidal waters is constructed under NWP 14, with a ssociated bank stabilization authorized by NWP 13, the maximum a creage loss of waters of the United States for the total project cannot exceed 1/3 -acre. □ (b) If one or more of the NWPs used to authorize the single and complete project has specified a creage limits, the a creage loss of waters of the United States authorized by those NWPs cannot exceed their respective specified a creage limits. | ☐ (b) A statement that the implementation of any required compensatory mitigation was completed in accordance with the permit conditions. If credits from a mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program are used to satisfy the compensatory mitigation requirements, the certification must include the documentation required by 33 CFR 332.3(l)(3) to confirm that the permittee secured the appropriate number and resource type of credits; and ☐ (c) The signature of the permittee certifying the completion of the activity and mitigation. The completed certification document must be submitted to the district engineer within 30 days of completion of the authorized activity or the implementation of any required compensatory mitigation, whichever occurs later. |
| For example, if a commercial development is constructed under | |

NWP 39, and the single and complete project includes the filling

be obtained or waived (see 33 CFR 330.4(c)). If the permittee

| al. Activities Affecting Structures or Works Built by the United States. If an NWP activity also requires review by, or permission from, the Corps pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 408 because it will alter or temporarily or permanently occupy or use a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) federally authorized Civil Works project (a "USACE project"), the prospective permittee must submit a pre-construction notification. See paragraph (b)(10) of general condition 32. An activity that requires section 408 permission and/or review is not authorized by an NWP until the appropriate Corps office issues the section 408 permission or completes its review to alter, occupy, or use the USACE project, and the district engineer issues a written NWP verification. | engineer issues the waiver. If the district or division engineer notifies the permittee in writing that an individual permit is required within 45 calendar days of receipt of a complete PCN, the permittee cannot begin the activity until an individual permit has been obtained. Subsequently, the permittee's right to proceed under the NWP may be modified, suspended, or revoked only in accordance with the procedure set forth in 33 CFR 330.5(d)(2). (b) Contents of Pre-Construction Notification: The PCN must be in writing and include the following information: |
|---|---|
| ☐ 32. Pre-Construction Notification. | (1) Name, address and telephone numbers of the prospective permittee: |
| □ (a) Timing. Where required by the terms of the NWP, the prospective permittee must notify the district engineer by submitting a pre-construction notification (PCN) as early as possible. The district engineer must determine if the PCN is complete within 30 calendar days of the date of receipt and, if the PCN is determined to be incomplete, notify the prospective permittee within that 30 day period to request the additional information necessary to make the PCN complete. The request must specify the information needed to make the PCN complete. As a general rule, district engineers will request additional information necessary to make the PCN complete only once. However, if the prospective permittee does not provide all of the requested information, then the district engineer will notify the prospective permittee that the PCN is still incomplete and the PCN review process will not commence until all of the requested information has been received by the district engineer. The prospective permittee shall not begin the activity until either: □ (1) He or she is notified in writing by the district engineer that the activity may proceed under the NWP with any special conditions imposed by the district or division engineer; or □ (2) 45 calendar days have passed from the district or division engineer. However, if the permittee has not received written notice from the district or division engineer. However, if the permittee was required to notify the Corps pursuant to general condition 18 that listed species or critical habitat might be affected or are in the vicinity of the activity, or to notify the Corps pursuant to general condition 20 that the activity might have the potential to cause effects to historic properties, the permittee cannot begin the activity until receiving written notification from the Corps that there is "no effect" on listed species or "no potential to cause effects" on historic properties, or that any consultation required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (see 33 CFR 330.4(f)) an | the prospective permittee; (2) Location of the proposed activity; (3) Identify the specific NWP or NWP(s) the prospective permittee wants to use to authorize the proposed activity; (4) (4) (a) (b) A description of the proposed activity; the activity's purpose; direct and indirect adverse environmental effects the activity would cause, including the anticipated amount of loss of wetlands, other special aquatic sites, and other waters expected to result from the NWP activity, in acres, linear feet, or other appropriate unit of measure; a description of any proposed mitigation measures intended to reduce the adverse environmental effects caused by the proposed activity; and any other NWP(s), regional general permit(s), or individual permit(s) used or intended to be used to authorize any part of the proposed project or any related activity, including other separate and distant crossings for linear projects that require Department of the Army authorization but do not require pre-construction notification. The description of the proposed activity and any proposed mitigation measures should be sufficiently detailed to allow the district engineer to determine that the adverse environmental effects of the activity will be no more than minimal and to determine the need for compensatory mitigation or other mitigation measures. (ii) For linear projects where one or more single and complete crossings require preconstruction notification, the PCN must include the quantity of anticipated losses of wetlands, other special a quatic sites, and other waters for each single and complete crossing of those wetlands, other special a quatic sites, and other waters (including those single and complete crossing of those wetlands, other special a quatic sites, and other waters (including those single and complete crossing of those wetlands, other special a quatic sites, and other waters (including those single and complete crossings authorized by an NWP but do not require PCNs). This information will be used by the districtengineer |
| waiver to exceed specified limits of an NWP, the | |

permittee may not begin the activity until the district



transmission, or e-mail that they intend to provide substantive, site-specific comments. The comments must explain why the agency believes the adverse environmental effects will be more than minimal. If so contacted by an agency, the district engineer will wait an additional 15 calendar days before making a decision on the pre-construction notification. The district engineer will fully consider a gency comments received within the specified time frame concerning the proposed activity's compliance with the terms and conditions of the NWPs, including the need for mitigation to ensure that the net adverse environmental effects of the proposed activity are no more than minimal. The district engineer will provide no response to the resource a gency, except as provided below. The district engineer will indicate in the administrative record associated with each preconstruction notification that the resource a gencies' concerns were considered. For NWP 37, the emergency watershed protection and rehabilitation activity may proceed immediately in cases where there is an unacceptable hazard to life or a significant loss of property or economic hardship will occur. The district engineer will consider any comments received to decide whether the NWP 37 authorization should be modified, suspended, or revoked in accordance with the procedures at 33 CFR 330.5.

- ☐ (4) In cases of where the prospective permittee is not a Federal a gency, the district engineer will provide a response to NMFS within 30 calendar days of receipt of any Essential Fish Habitat conservation recommendations, as required by section 305(b)(4)(B) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.
- ☐ (5) Applicants are encouraged to provide the Corps with either electronic files or multiple copies of pre-construction notifications to expedite agency coordination.

C. District Engineer's Decision

1. In reviewing the PCN for the proposed activity, the district engineer will determine whether the activity authorized by the NWP will result in more than minimal individual or cumulative adverse environmental effects or may be contrary to the public interest. If a project proponent requests authorization by a specific NWP, the district engineer should issue the NWP verification for that activity if it meets the terms and conditions of that NWP, unless he or she determines, after considering mitigation, that the proposed activity will result in more than minimal individual and cumulative a dverse effects on the a quatic environment and other a spects of the public interest and exercises discretionary authority to require an individual permit for the proposed activity. For a linear project, this determination will include an evaluation of the single and complete crossings of waters of the United States that require PCNs to determine whether they individually satisfy the terms and conditions of the NWP(s), as well as the cumulative effects caused by all of the crossings of waters of the United States authorized by an NWP. If an applicant requests a waiver of an applicable limit, as provided for in NWPs 13, 36, or 54, the district engineer will

only grant the waiver upon a written determination that the NWP activity will result in only minimal individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects.

☐ 2. When making minimal adverse environmental effects determinations the district engineer will consider the direct and indirect effects caused by the NWP activity. He or she will also consider the cumulative adverse environmental effects caused by activities authorized by an NWP and whether those cumulative adverse environmental effects are no more than minimal. The district engineer will also consider site specific factors, such as the environmental setting in the vicinity of the NWP activity, the type of resource that will be affected by the NWP activity, the functions provided by the aquatic resources that will be a ffected by the NWP activity, the degree or magnitude to which the a quatic resources perform those functions, the extent that aquatic resource functions will be lost as a result of the NWP activity (e.g., partial or complete loss), the duration of the adverse effects (temporary or permanent), the importance of the a quatic resource functions to the region (e.g., watershed or ecoregion), and mitigation required by the district engineer. If an appropriate functional or condition assessment method is a vailable and practicable to use, that assessment method may be used by the district engineer to a ssist in the minimal adverse environmental effects determination. The district engineer may add casespecific special conditions to the NWP authorization to address site-specific environmental concerns.

☐ 3. If the proposed activity requires a PCN and will result in a loss of greater than 1/10-acre of wetlands or 3/100-acre of stream bed, the prospective permittee should submit a mitigation proposal with the PCN. Applicants may also propose compensatory mitigation for NWP activities with smaller impacts, or for impacts to other types of waters. The district engineer will consider any proposed compensatory mitigation or other mitigation measures the applicant has included in the proposal in determining whether the net adverse environmental effects of the proposed activity are no more than minimal. The compensatory mitigation proposal may be either conceptual or detailed. If the district engineer determines that the activity complies with the terms and conditions of the NWP and that the a dverse environmental effects are no more than minimal, after considering mitigation, the district engineer will notify the permittee and include any activity-specific conditions in the NWP verification the district engineer deems necessary. Conditions for compensatory mitigation requirements must comply with the appropriate provisions at 33 CFR 332.3(k). The district engineer must approve the final mitigation plan before the permittee commences work in waters of the United States, unless the district engineer determines that prior approval of the final mitigation plan is not practicable or not necessary to ensure timely completion of the required compensatory mitigation. If the prospective permittee elects to submit a compensatory mitigation plan with the PCN, the district engineer will expeditiously review the proposed compensatory mitigation plan. The district engineer must review the proposed compensatory mitigation plan within 45 calendar days of receiving a complete PCN and determine whether the proposed mitigation would ensure that the NWP activity results in no more than minimal adverse environmental effects. If the net a dverse environmental effects of the NWP activity (after consideration of the mitigation proposal) are determined by the

district engineer to be no more than minimal, the district engineer will provide a timely written response to the applicant. The response will state that the NWP activity can proceed under the terms and conditions of the NWP, including any activity-specific conditions added to the NWP authorization by the district engineer.

☐ 4. If the district engineer determines that the adverse environmental effects of the proposed activity are more than minimal, then the district engineer will notify the applicant either: (a) that the activity does not qualify for authorization under the NWP and instruct the applicant on the procedures to seek authorization under an individual permit; (b) that the activity is authorized under the NWP subject to the applicant's submission of a mitigation plan that would reduce the adverse environmental effects so that they are no more than minimal; or (c) that the activity is authorized under the NWP with specific modifications or conditions. Where the district engineer determines that mitigation is required to ensure no more than minimal adverse environmental effects, the activity will be authorized within the 45-day PCN period (unless additional time is required to comply with general conditions 18, 20, and/or 31), with activity-specific conditions that state the mitigation requirements. The authorization will include the necessary conceptual or detailed mitigation plan or a requirement that the applicant submit a mitigation plan that would reduce the adverse environmental effects so that they are no more than minimal. When compensatory mitigation is required, no work in waters of the United States may occur until the district engineer has approved a specific mitigation plan or has determined that prior approval of a final mitigation plan is not practicable or not necessary to ensure timely completion of the required compensatory mitigation.

D. Further Information

- 1. District engineers have authority to determine if an activity complies with the terms and conditions of an NWP.
- 2. NWPs do not obviate the need to obtain other federal, state, or local permits, approvals, or authorizations required by law.
- 3. NWPs do not grant any property rights or exclusive privileges.
- 4. NWPs do not authorize any injury to the property or rights of others.
- 5. NWPs do not authorize interference with any existing or proposed Federal project (see general condition 31).

E. Nationwide Permit Definitions

Best management practices (BMPs): Policies, practices, procedures, or structures implemented to mitigate the adverse environmental effects on surface water quality resulting from development. BMPs are categorized as structural or non-structural.

Compensatory mitigation: The restoration (re-establishment or rehabilitation), establishment (creation), enhancement, and/or in certain circumstances preservation of a quatic resources for the purposes of offsetting unavoidable a dverse impacts which remain after all appropriate and practicable a voidance and minimization has been a chieved.

Currently serviceable: Useable as is or with some maintenance, but not so degraded as to essentially require reconstruction.

Direct effects: Effects that are caused by the activity and occur at the same time and place.

Discharge: The term "discharge" means any discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States.

Ecological reference: A model used to plan and design an a quatic habitat and riparian area restoration, enhancement, or establishment activity under NWP 27. An ecological reference may be based on the structure, functions, and dynamics of an a quatic habitat type or a riparian area type that currently exists in the region where the proposed NWP 27 activity is located. Alternatively, an ecological reference may be based on a conceptual model for the aquatic habitat type or riparian area type to be restored, enhanced, or established as a result of the proposed NWP 27 activity. An ecological reference takes into a ccount the range of variation of the aquatic habitat type or riparian area type in the region.

Enhancement: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of an a quatic resource to heighten, intensify, or improve a specific a quatic resource function(s). Enhancement results in the gain of selected aquatic resource function(s) but may also lead to a decline in other a quatic resource function(s). Enhancement does not result in a gain in a quatic resource area.

Establishment (creation): The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics present to develop an a quatic resource that did not previously exist at an upland site. Establishment results in a gain in a quatic resource area.

High Tide Line: The line of intersection of the land with the water's surface at the maximum height reached by a rising tide. The high tide line may be determined, in the absence of actual data, by a line of oil or scum a long shore objects, a more or less continuous deposit of fine shell or debris on the foreshore or berm, other physical markings or characteristics, vegetation lines, tidal gages, or other suitable means that delineate the general height reached by a rising tide. The line encompasses spring high tides and other high tides that occur with periodic frequency but does not include storm surges in which there is a departure from the normal or predicted reach of the tide due to the piling up of water a gainst a coast by strong winds such as those a ccompanying a hurricane or other intense storm.

Historic Property: Any prehistoric or historic district, site (including a rchaeological site), building, structure, or other object included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places maintained by the Secretary of the Interior. This term includes artifacts, records, and remains that are related to and located within such properties. The term includes properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization and that meet the National Register criteria (36 CFR part 60).

Independent utility: A test to determine what constitutes a single and complete non-linear project in the Corps Regulatory Program. A project is considered to have independent utility if it would be constructed a bsent the construction of other projects in the project area. Portions of a multi-phase project that depend upon other phases of the project do not have independent utility.

Phases of a project that would be constructed even if the other phases were not built can be considered as separate single and complete projects with independent utility.

Indirect effects: Effects that are caused by the activity and are later in time or farther removed in distance but are still reasonably foreseeable.

Loss of waters of the United States: Waters of the United States that are permanently adversely affected by filling, flooding, excavation, or drainage because of the regulated activity. The loss of stream bed includes the acres of stream bed that are permanently adversely affected by filling or excavation because of the regulated activity. Permanent adverse effects include permanent discharges of dredged or fill material that change an aquatic area to dry land, increase the bottom elevation of a waterbody, or change the use of a waterbody. The acreage of loss of waters of the United States is a threshold measurement of the impact to jurisdictional waters or wetlands for determining whether a project may qualify for an NWP; it is not a net threshold that is calculated after considering compensatory mitigation that may be used to offset losses of aquatic functions and services. Waters of the United States temporarily filled, flooded, excavated, or drained, but restored to pre-construction contours and elevations after construction, are not included in the measurement of loss of waters of the United States. Impacts resulting from activities that do not require Department of the Army authorization, such as activities eligible for exemptions under section 404(f) of the Clean Water Act, are not considered when calculating the loss of waters of the United States.

Navigable waters: Waters subject to section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. These waters are defined at 33 CFR part 329.

Non-tidal wetland: A non-tidal wetland is a wetland that is not subject to the ebb and flow of tidal waters. Non-tidal wetlands contiguous to tidal waters are located landward of the high tide line (i.e., spring high tide line).

Open water: For purposes of the NWPs, an open water is any area that in a year with normal patterns of precipitation has water flowing or standing above ground to the extent that an ordinary high-water mark can be determined. Aquatic vegetation within the area of flowing or standing water is either non-emergent, sparse, or absent. Vegetated shallows are considered to be open waters. Examples of "open waters" include rivers, streams, lakes, and ponds.

Ordinary High Water Mark: The term ordinary high water mark means that line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics such as a clear, natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, changes in the character of soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter and debris, or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding areas.

Perennial stream: A perennial stream has surface water flowing continuously year-round during a typical year.

Practicable: Available and capable of being done after taking into consideration cost, existing technology, and logistics in light of overall project purposes.

Pre-construction notification: A request submitted by the project proponent to the Corps for confirmation that a particular activity is authorized by nationwide permit. The request may be a permit application, letter, or similar document that includes information a bout the proposed work and its anticipated environmental effects. Pre-construction notification may be required by the terms and conditions of a nationwide permit, or by regional conditions. A pre-construction notification may be voluntarily submitted in cases where pre-construction notification is not required, and the project proponent wants confirmation that the activity is authorized by nationwide permit.

Preservation: The removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, a quatic resources by a naction in or near those a quatic resources. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of a quatic resources through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms. Preservation does not result in a gain of a quatic resource area or functions.

Re-establishment: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural/historic functions to a former a quatic resource. Re-establishment results in rebuilding a former a quatic resource and results in a gain in a quatic resource area and functions.

Rehabilitation: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of repairing natural/historic functions to a degraded aquatic resource. Rehabilitation results in a gain in a quatic resource function but does not result in a gain in a quatic resource area.

Restoration: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural/historic functions to a former or degraded aquatic resource. For the purpose of tracking net gains in a quatic resource area, restoration is divided into two categories: reestablishment and rehabilitation.

Riffle and pool complex: Riffle and pool complexes are special a quatic sites under the 404(b)(1) Guidelines. Riffle and pool complexes sometimes characterize steep gra dient sections of streams. Such stream sections are recognizable by their hydraulic characteristics. The rapid movement of water over a course substrate in riffles results in a rough flow, a turbulent surface, and high dissolved oxygen levels in the water. Pools are deeper areas associated with riffles. A slower stream velocity, a streaming flow, a smooth surface, and a finer substrate characterize pools.

Riparian areas: Riparian areas are lands next to streams, lakes, and estuarine-marine shorelines. Riparian areas are transitional between terrestrial and a quatic ecosystems, through which surface and subsurface hydrology connects riverine, lacustrine, estuarine, and marine waters with their adjacent wetlands, nonwetland waters, or uplands. Riparian areas provide a variety of ecological functions and services and help improve or maintain local water quality. (See general condition 23).

Shellfish seeding: The placement of shellfish seed and/or suitable substrate to increase shellfish production. Shellfish seed consists of immature individual shellfish or individual shellfish attached to shells or shell fragments (i.e., spat on shell). Suitable

substrate may consist of shellfish shells, shell fragments, or other appropriate materials placed into waters for shellfish habitat.

Single and complete linear project: A linear project is a project constructed for the purpose of getting people, goods, or services from a point of origin to a terminal point, which often involves multiple crossings of one or more waterbodies at separate and distant locations. The term "single and complete project" is defined as that portion of the total linear project proposed or a ccomplished by one owner/developer or partnership or other association of owners/developers that includes all crossings of a single water of the United States (i.e., a single waterbody) at a specific location. For linear projects crossing a single or multiple waterbodies several times at separate and distant locations, each crossing is considered a single and complete project for purposes of NWP authorization. However, individual channels in a braided stream or river, or individual arms of a large, irregularly shaped wetland or lake, etc., are not separate waterbodies, and crossings of such features cannot be considered separately.

Single and complete non-linear project: For non-linear projects, the term "single and complete project" is defined at 33 CFR 330.2(i) as the total project proposed or a complished by one owner/developer or partnership or other association of owners/developers. A single and complete non-linear project must have independent utility (see definition of "independent utility"). Single and complete non-linear projects may not be "piecemealed" to avoid the limits in an NWP authorization.

Stormwater management: Stormwater management is the mechanism for controlling stormwater runoff for the purposes of reducing downstream erosion, water quality degradation, and flooding and mitigating the adverse effects of changes in land use on the aquatic environment.

Stormwater management facilities: Stormwater management facilities are those facilities, including but not limited to, stormwater retention and detention ponds and best management practices, which retain water for a period of time to control runoff and/or improve the quality (i.e., by reducing the concentration of nutrients, sediments, hazardous substances and other pollutants) of stormwater runoff.

Stream bed: The substrate of the stream channel between the ordinary high-water marks. The substrate may be bedrock or inorganic particles that range in size from clay to boulders. Wetlands contiguous to the stream bed, but outside of the ordinary high-water marks, are not considered part of the stream bed

Stream channelization: The manipulation of a stream's course, condition, capacity, or location that causes more than minimal interruption of normal stream processes. A channelized jurisdictional stream remains a water of the United States.

Structure: An object that is arranged in a definite pattern of organization. Examples of structures include, without limitation, any pier, boat dock, boat ramp, wharf, dolphin, weir, boom, breakwater, bulkhead, revetment, riprap, jetty, artificial island, artificial reef, permanent mooring structure, power transmission line, permanently moored floating vessel, piling, aid to navigation, or any other manmade obstacle or obstruction.

Tidal wetland: A tidal wetland is a jurisdictional wetland that is inundated by tidal waters. Tidal waters rise and fall in a predictable and measurable rhythm or cycle due to the gravitational pulls of the moon and sun. Tidal waters end where the rise and fall of the water surface canno longer be practically measured in a predictable rhythm due to masking by other waters, wind, or other effects. Tidal wetlands are located channel ward of the high tide line.

Tribal lands: Any lands title to which is either: 1) held in trust by the United States for the benefit of any Indian tribe or individual; or 2) held by any Indian tribe or individual subject to restrictions by the United States against a lienation.

Tribal rights: Those rights legally a ccruing to a tribe or tribes by virtue of inherent sovereign a uthority, unextinguished a boriginal title, treaty, statute, judicial decisions, executive order or a greement, and that give rise to legally enforceable remedies.

Vegetated shallows: Vegetated shallows are special a quatic sites under the 404(b)(1) Guidelines. They are a reas that are permanently inundated and under normal circumstances have rooted a quatic vegetation, such as seagrasses in marine and estuarine systems and a variety of vascular rooted plants in freshwater systems.

Waterbody: For purposes of the NWPs, a waterbody is a "water of the United States." If a wetland is adjacent to a waterbody determined to be a water of the United States, that waterbody and any adjacent wetlands are considered together as a single a quatic unit (see 33 CFR 328.4(c)(2)).