

NEVADA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BUREAU OF AIR POLLUTION CONTROL

ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (MODELING) CHECKLIST

Facility Name & Permit No.

Type of Permit Application ____

| Facility Location | 1 | Date Completed | Modeler | Name/Firm |
|-------------------|---|----------------|-------------|-----------|
| - | | - | | |

| Mod | Modeling Protocol Submission (Optional but Recommended) | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | 1 Applicant submitted proposed modeling protocol to NDEP-BAPC for agency review/comment prior to any modeling? | | | |
| Envi | Environmental Evaluation Data to be Prepared by the Applicant | | | |
| 2 | Detailed facility plot plan drawn to appropriate scale, which <u>clearly</u> shows all fencelines/property-lines, topography, emission units, stacks, storage tanks, facility buildings & roof heights, public roads, neighboring properties, etc. | | | |
| 3 | Emissions inventory spreadsheet of all regulated pollutants (PM, PM ₁₀ , SO ₂ , NO _x , CO, VOCs, Pb, HAPs, and H ₂ S) emitted from <u>all</u> emission units and insignificant activities and submitted in hardcopy and electronic formats? | | | |
| 4 | Spreadsheet uses appropriate EPA AP-42 emission factors, manufacturer's data, and/or facility stack test results? | | | |
| 5 | Applicant researched/considered appropriate ambient background values for all pollutants modeled? | | | |
| 6 | Facility's modeling receptor grids are appropriately spaced and reflect surrounding terrain (e.g., flat or complex)? | | | |
| 7 | Complex terrain (terrain > stack height) modeling runs incorporate appropriate terrain height data (e.g., USGS)? | | | |
| 8 | Effects of nearby facilities (co-located facilities, facilities sharing a common fenceline/property-line) considered? | | | |
| Disp | Dispersion Modeling Runs | | | |
| 9 | Uses an EPA-approved/recommended dispersion model appropriate for the task (e.g., ISCST3)? | | | |
| 10 | NDEP-BAPC agrees with use of the selected meteorological data set? | | | |
| 11 | Modeled all criteria pollutants at the requested permit emission limits (including all insignificant activity emissions)? | | | |
| 12 | Highest impact for each pollutant/averaging time + background shows attainment with all NV ambient standards? | | | |
| 13 | Modeled secondary pollutants such as ozone - O ₃ (e.g., Scheffe screening analysis or ISCST3)? | | | |
| 14 | Model incorporates appropriate operating schedule (e.g., 24 hrs/day or a lesser operating schedule such as 8-5)? | | | |
| 15 | Model runs apply appropriate building downwash effects for all affected stack sources (e.g., BPIP)? | | | |
| 16 | Model runs distinguish correctly between stack (point), process fugitive (volume), and area source emissions? sources? | | | |
| Fina | Final Modeling Report (included as part of application submittal) | | | |
| 17 | Modeling report includes appropriate summary narrative (e.g., writeup), data tables, plot plan, maps, figures, etc? | | | |
| 18 | Data provided is illustrative and clearly demonstrates compliance with all appropriate ambient standards? | | | |
| 19 | Modeling report includes appropriate hardcopy printouts of all plots (graphs) and model run outputs? | | | |
| 20 | Modeling report includes electronic (diskette or CD-ROM) input/output files and meteorology data set? | | | |